Usefulness of immunohistochemistry for the detection of the BRAF V600E mutation in ovarian serous borderline tumors
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Abstract. Serous borderline tumors and low-grade serous adenocarcinomas typically exhibit a low mitotic index and are largely resistant to chemotherapy. They are characterized by specific mutations, including mutations of KRAS and BRAF, which target specific cell signaling pathways. Mutational analyses may provide further insight into the development sequence of low-grade serous carcinomas. There are 3 methods to detect BRAF mutations: direct sequencing, such as Sanger sequencing (Sas); immunohistochemistry (IHC); and competitive allele-specific hydrolysis probe (TaqMan) PCR technology (CAST-PCR). In the present study, we matched the results of these 3 methods in ovarian serous borderline tumor cases. This study was carried out in 11 surgically removed ovarian serous borderline tumors. Detection of the BRAF V600E mutation was carried out by the FLEX detection system using the VE1 clone antibody and the results were compared with those of Sas and CAST-PCR. The autostainer IHC VE1 assay was positive in 3 of the 11 ovarian serous borderline tumor cases. Detection of the BRAF V600E mutation was carried out by the FLEX detection system using the VE1 clone antibody and the results were compared with those of Sas and CAST-PCR. The autostainer IHC VE1 assay was positive in 3 of the 11 ovarian serous borderline tumor cases. CAST-PCR demonstrated a BRAF V600E mutation ratio of 16.4, 17.7 and 12.7%, respectively, in the 3 IHC-positive cases. Sas detected the BRAF V600E mutation in only 2 cases, while revealing wild-type BRAF in the remaining 9 cases. Sas revealed KRAS mutations in 2 of these 9 cases with wild-type BRAF. Our data suggest a high concordance rate of the results between CAST-PCR and IHC. Thus, IHC using the VE1 clone and FLEX linker is a specific method for the detection of BRAF V600E and may be an alternative to molecular-biologic techniques for the detection of mutations in ovarian serous borderline tumors. This method may be a useful screening method for the BRAF mutation.

Introduction

The origin and mechanism of development of ovarian tumors remains unclear. In recent years, a method to classify ovarian cancer into two types, type I and II tumors, has been proposed. Type I tumors comprise low-grade serous adenocarcinoma, low-grade endometrioid adenocarcinoma, clear cell adenocarcinoma and mucinous carcinomas and Brenner tumors. They are generally indolent, present in stage I at diagnosis (tumor confined to the ovary) and characterized by specific mutations, including mutations of KRAS, BRAF and ERBB2, which target specific cell signaling pathways. Type II tumors comprise high-grade serous adenocarcinoma, high-grade endometrioid adenocarcinoma and malignant mixed mesodermal tumors (carcinosarcomas) and undifferentiated carcinomas. They are aggressive, present in an advanced stage at diagnosis and have a very high frequency of TP53 mutations, but rarely harbor the mutations detected in type I tumors (1).

In contrast to patients with high-grade disease, low-grade serous ovarian cancer occurs at a younger age, typically exhibits a low mitotic index and is largely resistant to chemotherapy (2-5). Mutational analyses may provide further insight into the development sequence of low-grade serous carcinomas. Ovarian serous borderline tumors are relatively rare in Japan and are somewhat more difficult to diagnose. The differentiation of serous borderline tumors from serous adenocarcinoma rests on whether destructive stromal invasion is present or not. According to reports, BRAF gene mutation is detected in ~30-50% of serous borderline tumors, but in very few serous adenocarcinomas. Furthermore, the reported rate of BRAF or KRAS mutation in serous borderline tumors is 61% (6), while neither BRAF nor KRAS mutation is found often in invasive high-grade serous adenocarcinomas (6-8). It has recently been shown by whole exome sequencing that low-grade serous ovarian tumors contain very few mutations other than those involving BRAF and KRAS, indicating that MAPK activation is of central importance in the pathogenesis of these neoplasms (9).
The advent of specific inhibitors of mutant BRAF with clinical activity against malignant melanoma (10) and preclinical data indicating that inhibition of the MAPK pathway in BRAF-mutated ovarian tumors may yield clinical benefit, suggest that reliable and sensitive detection of the V600E mutation may be of clinical relevance in serous ovarian tumors (11,12). Therefore, an accurate and practical assay is urgently needed to detect this molecular subset of ovarian cancer. Currently, the methods available for detecting the mutation are: polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based assays such as Sanger sequencing (Sas), pyrosequencing, and qPCR. PCR is a single detection test, detecting gene mutations; however, it generally requires multiple tissue sections, dissection for tumor cell enrichment and a multiplex system. Competitive allele-specific TaqMan PCR technology (CAST) for BRAF mutation detection is highly specific and has a sensitivity of <1% of mutation rate (13). However, it may require a high quality laboratory infrastructure with well-trained staff and it is also expensive. Recently, it has been demonstrated that mutation-specific antibodies can reliably detect the exchange of even single amino acids in routinely processed, formalin-fixed and paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor tissues (14,15). To increase the sensitivity, we modified the BRAF V600E protein expression detection system by immunohistochemistry (IHC) using specific monoclonal antibody, VE1 and Dako EnVision™ FLEX, using a linker in a Japanese cohort (16). A good concordance of the results has been demonstrated between IHC and direct sequencing (16,17). However, to date, there have been no reported studies that included all three methods, or compared the pros and cons of each. In this study, we matched the results of Sas, IHC and the mutation rate of the BRAF gene detected by CAST-PCR in ovarian serous borderline tumor cases.

Materials and methods

Patient samples. The study group included 11 patients with ovarian serous borderline tumors who had undergone surgery and were diagnosed as having serous borderline tumor by pathological diagnosis at the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Nagoya City University Hospital, between February 1995 and August 2013. Additionally, 20 randomly selected germ cell tumors, including 6 serous adenocarcinomas, 3 endometrioid adenocarcinomas, 3 clear cell adenocarcinomas, 1 mucinous adenocarcinoma, 2 mucinous borderline tumors, 1 granulosa cell tumor, 1 carcinosarcoma, 1 mixed germ-cell tumor, 1 undifferentiated carcinoma and 1 metastatic colorectal cancer were analyzed by the CAST-PCR BRAF assay. This study was conducted with the approval of the Research Ethics Committee of Nagoya City University Graduate School of Medical Sciences.

VE-1 IHC was previously established in a cohort of 26 lung cancer cases (16), and that study confirmed the presence of BRAF V600E in 5 cases. We used these 5 cases with BRAF V600E and 30 cases of wild-type BRAF, as determined by Sas, as the control samples for CAST-PCR.

Direct sequencing for detecting BRAF and KRAS gene mutations. Genomic DNA was extracted from FFPE tumor tissue using the Qiagen PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Tokyo, Japan) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA concentration was determined with the NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies Inc., Rockland, DE, USA). The primer sequences for the BRAF gene at exon 15 were: forward primer, 5'-TCTATAATGCTGTCTGATAGGA-3' and reverse primer, 5'-GGCCAAAATTTAATCGTGG-3'. The cycling conditions were: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles at 95°C for 45 sec, 58°C for 45 sec and 72°C for 45 sec. The PCRs were performed using the rTaq kit (Takara Bio Inc, Shiga, Japan) in a 50 µl volume of the reaction mixture. The primer sequences for the KRAS gene were: forward primer, 5'-TCATTATTTTTATTAAGGCTGCTGAA-3' and reverse primer, 5'-CAACACTGTCTCCGACACGTA-3'. The cycling conditions were: initial denaturation at 95°C for 3 min, followed by 40 cycles at 94°C for 45 sec, 60°C for 45 sec, 72°C for 30 sec. The PCR reactions were performed using an LA-Taq kit (Takara Bio Inc.) in a 50 µl volume of the reaction mixture.

The products were purified using the Qiagen PCR purification kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). The amplified cDNAs were separated on 1% agarose gels and the bands were visualized by ethidium bromide and photographed under ultraviolet transillumination. Sequencing was then carried out with the ABI prism 3100 analyzer (Applied Biosystems Japan Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) and analyzed by BLAST and manual review of chromatograms.

BRAF V600E protein IHC. The 11 tumor specimens were immunostained by automated methods (Dako Japan Co.) for BRAF V600E expression, using the mouse monoclonal BRAF V600E clone, VE1 (Spring Bioscience, Pleasanton, CA, USA). Unstained 4-µm sections of FFPE tumor tissue that were slid from the same FFPE block that were used for extracting genomic DNA were submitted for the analysis. The Dako EnVision™ FLEX detection system included pretreatment with Dako PT Link (pretreatment module) and Target Retrieval Solution, High pH (K8004, Dako Co., Tokyo, Japan) at 97°C for 20 min, followed by incubation with x200 diluted mouse anti-BRAF V600E (clone VE1) with Antibody Diluent (K8006, Dako Co.) overnight at 4°C. Antibody incubation was followed by standard signal amplification, including with rabbit LINKER (K8019) at room temperature for 15 min, HRP-conjugated EnVision™ FLEX at room temperature for 20 min, DAB reaction for 10 min and counterstaining with hematoxylin for 3 min. An IHC score was assigned to each case according to the following criteria based on the staining pattern: 0, no staining; 1+, faint staining; 2+, moderate staining; 3+, intense staining. The staining area was categorized as 0-10, 25, 50, 75 and 90%. The staining was evaluated with a minimum of 50% of the tumor cells were considered to be positive for BRAF V600E expression. These criteria were determined by one of the co-authors who was blinded to the clinical data.

CAST-PCR. CAST-PCR was carried out using the Mutation Detector™ (Life Technologies) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. CAST is a real-time quantitative Clamp-based PCR technology (qPCR) (18). qPCR allows measurement of a
quantitative variable, namely, the quantification cycle (Cq) that quantifies the presence of the molecular variant. Each TaqMan mutation detection assay contained a separate set of wild-type and mutant probe mix. qPCRs were run in a final volume of the reaction mixture of 10 µl in 96-well plates containing 5 µl of 2x TaqMan genotyping master mix (Life Technologies), 1 µl of 10x assay mix for allele 1 (or 2), 2.5 µl of deionized water and 10 ng of the DNA template. Runs were performed on an Applied Biosystems 7500 real-time PCR System. The cycling conditions for the CAST-PCR were: initial denaturation at 95˚C for 10 min, followed by 5 cycles at 92˚C for 15 sec, 58˚C for 1 min, then 40 cycles at 92˚C for 15 sec, 60˚C for 1 min. Specific assays for wild-type and mutant BRAF were commercially obtained from Life Technologies. The data were analyzed with the SDS 2.0 software program (13).

Results

**BRAF and KRAS gene alterations in ovarian serous borderline tumors.** We carried out sequencing of exon 15 of the BRAF gene in histopathology specimens of the 11 ovarian serous borderline tumors. Of the 11 tumors, we found 2 cases of BRAF V600E by direct sequencing of DNA samples, which involved a change of nucleotide 1799 from thymine to adenine, resulting in a change of the amino acid valine to glutamic acid (case 4, Fig. 1A). No BRAF mutations were detected in the remaining 9 patients. We also found 2 cases of KRAS mutation among the 11 tumors, consisting of one case of KRAS glycine to valine substitution at codon 12 (ggT>gTT, g12V) (case 6, Fig. 2) and the other of KRAS glycine to alanine substitution at codon 12 (ggT>gCT, g12A) (case 7, Fig. 3A).

Both of the tumors that showed KRAS mutation were BRAF wild-type. Thus, the BRAF and KRAS mutations were mutually exclusive.

**IHC for BRAF V600E.** Of the 11 tumors, 3 were found by IHC to be positive for BRAF mutation; one of the cases showed 3+ staining intensity with an involved area of 90% (case 11, Fig. 4) and the remaining two showed 2+ staining intensity with an involved area of 75% (case 4, Fig. 1A). The staining...
Three methods, one case was false-negative by direct sequencing (case 11). In addition, one case showed a 1+ staining intensity with an involved area of 25-50% (case 7, Fig. 3B) and was negative for BRAF mutation by direct sequencing (stained <50%).

**CAST-PCR for BRAF.** CAST-PCR using DNA samples from 35 lung cancer cases revealed the BRAF V600E mutation in 5 cases. The mutation ratios were 8, 8.9, 10, 14.9 and 45.2%, respectively, and all cases were positive by IHC. All 30 cases assessed as wild-type BRAF by Sas were found to be negative by CAST-PCR (data not shown). We used these samples for positive and negative controls.

Of the 11 ovarian serous borderline cases, CAST-PCR carried out using DNA samples revealed BRAF V600E in 3 cases. The mutation ratios were 17.7% (case 11), 16.3% (case 4) and 12.7% (case 9) and all cases were positive by IHC. One case was false-negative by direct sequencing (case 11). All the results are summarized in Table I. The 20 randomly selected ovarian cancers were all wild-type BRAF.

**Discussion**

From the results of the detection of the BRAF mutation using 3 methods, one case was false-negative in direct sequencing (case 11). In this study, we used modified methods for the detection of BRAF V600E, namely, EnVision™ FLEX IHC, a sensitive method using a linker and the results showed excellent concordance of the results with CAST-PCR. As shown by our study as well as previous studies, IHC serves as a highly specific and sensitive test for mutated BRAF and may be used to confirm the diagnosis. The results of BRAF mutation rate in CAST-PCR were not those in the tumor tissues but those of the FFPE graft which we used for extracting DNA. Under controlled conditions, the same FFPE block was used for all 3 methods. When examined with both normal and tumor tissue, IHC and CAST-PCR were the most effective at detecting BRAF mutations. We also confirmed that IHC was the best of the three methods in terms of accuracy, convenience and economic advantage.

Currently, the presence of point mutations in clinically relevant genes is assessed by various molecular-biologic techniques, including allele-specific PCR, single-strand conformational polymorphism, as well as conventional Sas and pyrosequencing, all of which require a high quality laboratory infrastructure with well-trained staff and are time-consuming. Currently, Sas is probably the most frequently used technique owing to its reliability and high specificity. However, potential sources of error of molecular-diagnostic techniques include poor DNA quality and an inadequate number of tumor cells in the sample, a relevant problem in the case of low-grade serous ovarian tumors, which was also encountered in our study. The sensitivity rate of Sas generally does not allow for the detection of mutations existing at a frequency of <15-20% of tumor cells (19,20). Mutant-specific PCR (MS-PCR) may detect mutations in a greater proportion of cases than routine Sas (21). According to one report, BRAF V600E was detected by Sas in only 32.1% of melanomas, while 75.9% of the melanomas had V600E mutations as assessed by MS-PCR (21). Notably, intra- and inter-tumor heterogeneity of BRAF V600E mutations in melanomas has also been reported by the group (21). Amplification refractory mutation system (ARMS)-PCR detected BRAF V600E in only 55% (30/55) cases of papillary thyroid carcinoma, whereas Sas detected the mutation in 27 of 30 cases (22). We selected CAST-PCR, a technology based on small-fragment amplification and qPCR suitable for low quantities of DNA templates (16). CAST-PCR allows efficient amplification from FFPE samples, the probes are highly specific and all assays used technique owing to its reliability and high specificity.

Of the 11 ovarian serous borderline cases, CAST-PCR carried out using DNA samples revealed BRAF V600E in 3 cases. The mutation ratios were 17.7% (case 11), 16.3% (case 4) and 12.7% (case 9) and all cases were positive by IHC. One case was false-negative by direct sequencing (case 11). All the results are summarized in Table I. The 20 randomly selected ovarian cancers were all wild-type BRAF.

**Table I. Results of IHC, direct sequencing and CAST-PCR.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case (no.)</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>IHC</th>
<th>Direct sequence</th>
<th>CAST-PCR (mutation rate)</th>
<th>KRAS direct sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>P++</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P (16.3%)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>P++</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P (12.7%)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>P+++</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>P (17.7%)</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P, positive; N, negative; IHC, immunohistochemistry.

pattern was diffuse and cytoplasmic. One of the 3 positive cases showed a negative result for BRAF mutation by direct sequencing (case 11).

Discussion

From the results of the detection of the BRAF mutation using 3 methods, one case was false-negative in direct sequencing (case 11). In this study, we used modified methods for the detection of BRAF V600E, namely, EnVision™ FLEX IHC, a sensitive method using a linker and the results showed excellent concordance of the results with CAST-PCR. As shown by our study as well as previous studies, IHC serves as a highly specific and sensitive test for mutated BRAF and may be used to confirm the diagnosis. The results of BRAF mutation rate in CAST-PCR were not those in the tumor tissues but those of the FFPE graft which we used for extracting DNA. Under controlled conditions, the same FFPE block was used for all 3 methods. When examined with both normal and tumor tissue, IHC and CAST-PCR were the most effective at detecting BRAF mutations. We also confirmed that IHC was the best of the three methods in terms of accuracy, convenience and economic advantage.

Currently, the presence of point mutations in clinically relevant genes is assessed by various molecular-biologic techniques, including allele-specific PCR, single-strand conformational polymorphism, as well as conventional Sas and pyrosequencing, all of which require a high quality laboratory infrastructure with well-trained staff and are time-consuming. Currently, Sas is probably the most frequently used technique owing to its reliability and high specificity. However, potential sources of error of molecular-diagnostic techniques include poor DNA quality and an inadequate number of tumor cells in the sample, a relevant problem in the case of low-grade serous ovarian tumors, which was also encountered in our study. The sensitivity rate of Sas generally does not allow for the detection of mutations existing at a frequency of <15-20% of tumor cells (19,20). Mutant-specific PCR (MS-PCR) may detect mutations in a greater proportion of cases than routine Sas (21). According to one report, BRAF V600E was detected by Sas in only 32.1% of melanomas, while 75.9% of the melanomas had V600E mutations as assessed by MS-PCR (21). Notably, intra- and inter-tumor heterogeneity of BRAF V600E mutations in melanomas has also been reported by the group (21). Amplification refractory mutation system (ARMS)-PCR detected BRAF V600E in only 55% (30/55) cases of papillary thyroid carcinoma, whereas Sas detected the mutation in 27 of 30 cases (22). We selected CAST-PCR, a technology based on small-fragment amplification and qPCR suitable for low quantities of DNA templates (16). CAST-PCR allows efficient amplification from FFPE samples, the probes are highly specific and all assays used technique owing to its reliability and high specificity.

Of the 11 ovarian serous borderline cases, CAST-PCR carried out using DNA samples revealed BRAF V600E in 3 cases. The mutation ratios were 17.7% (case 11), 16.3% (case 4) and 12.7% (case 9) and all cases were positive by IHC. One case was false-negative by direct sequencing (case 11). All the results are summarized in Table I. The 20 randomly selected ovarian cancers were all wild-type BRAF.
tion to confirm the presence of the mutation (17). VE-1 IHC positivity was detected in 39 out of 265 colorectal cancer cases (14.7%), while only 24 of the 39 were confirmed by Sas and only 13 of 15 were confirmed by ultra-deep sequencing (26).

The V600E mutation rate in ovarian serous borderline tumor specimens obtained from the Japanese patients was lower than that in previous Caucasian reports [44.6% (27) and 30% (28)], which could be attributed to racial differences in the prevalence of the BRAF mutation. However, V600E substitution accounts for all the BRAF mutations in serous borderline tumors (27). Serous borderline tumors and low-grade serous ovarian cancers are typically chemotherapy-resistant and the reported response rates to cytotoxic chemotherapy are 4% in ovarian cancers are typically chemotherapy-resistant and the reported response rates to cytotoxic chemotherapy are 4% in the neoadjuvant setting and 2.1-4.9% in the recurrence setting (3,4).

Given the high prevalence of BRAF and KRAS mutations in ovarian serous borderline tumors, testing the effect of inhibitors targeting the MAPK pathway has gained increasing attention. For the case of serous ovarian tumors, preclinical studies demonstrating a profound effect of MAPK pathway inhibition with the compound CI-1040 on tumor cells carrying BRAF or KRAS mutations indicate that this approach may have clinical potential (11,12). The MEK inhibitor selumetinib has been used in a phase II treatment trial for serous ovarian tumors (29).
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