Abstract. Inverted papilloma (IP) is a benign sinonasal lesion that has a known propensity for recurrence, local aggressiveness and an association with transformation to squamous cell carcinoma. Due to the high rate of recurrence, association with malignancy and a tendency of multicentricity, the surgical approaches to treatment are controversial. Over the years there has been a slow evolution from aggressive (en bloc) resection by lateral rhinotomy to endoscopic techniques. This progress corresponds to the advances that have been made in endoscopic sinus surgery over the past 15 years. Technological advances have allowed the detection of sinonasal IP before its extension beyond the sinonasal region, thus enabling minimally invasive techniques to be used in the treatment of selected cases of IP. Differences in recurrence rates were not observed for endoscopic management as compared with lateral rhinotomy or sublabial degloving approaches. In terms of aetiology there is certain evidence that the presence of HPV in IP could be predictive of malignant transformation. Although IPs are monoclonal proliferations, they do not fit the profile of a prototypic precursor lesion. In contrast, an increased EGFR and TGF-β expression is associated with early events in IP carcinogenesis. Parameters such as hyperkeratosis, squamous epithelial hyperplasia and a high mitotic index are negative prognostic indicators, which could be useful in the future follow-up of patients with IP. Present literature should encourage us to recommend the use of a uniformly accepted staging system. The propensity for delayed recurrences and the maximal 13% incidence of malignant transformation mandates careful, long-term follow-up.

1. Epidemiology and aetiology

Inverted papilloma (IP) is a lesion of the mucosal membrane of the nasal cavity and paranasal sinus. It is a benign epithelial growth in the underlying stroma that has also been referred to as villiform cancer, Schneiderian papilloma, transitional cell papilloma, cylindrical cell papilloma, papillary sinusitis, and Ewing's papilloma (1). IP is a benign sinonasal tumor of ectodermal origin which is locally destructive and has a tendency to recur if incompletely removed. In addition, it has a significantly malignant potential.

Ward (2) and Billroth (3) were the first to describe IP as a defined lesion but it was not until much later that Kramer and Som distinguished the pathology from simple polyps (4). Ringertz revealed microscopically the behaviour of IP in invading the underlying stroma but still felt that it was in some way associated with simple nasal polyposis (5). We now know that IP is a distinct pathology with a characteristically clinical process. Since the early 1980s research has attempted to resolve the question of deciding between conservative (endonasal) management and more radical treatment in the way of lateral rhinotomy.

IP is a rare tumor occurring in ~0.5 to 7% of all the cases of nasal tumors, thus representing ~4% of all nasal polyps and ~70% of all the cases of sinonasal papilloma (6,7). The incidence of IP has been estimated at 0.74/100,000/year (8). There is a male predominance of 2-4:1 and White Caucasians are more likely to be affected than those who are of Afro-Caribbean origin (9).

Most patients present with this pathology in their sixties, the average age at presentation being 53 (6). Isolated observations in the paediatric and adolescent group have also been reported (10,11).
The typical presentation is of unilateral polyps. Differential diagnoses include an antral choanal polyp, allergic fungal sinusitis, squamous cell carcinoma, adenocarcinoma, esthesioneuroblastoma, IP and other rare tumors.

Clinical symptoms were, initially, unilateral nasal obstruction in the majority of the presented cases, followed by epistaxis, clear rhinorrhea, post nasal drip and a feeling of pressure. Facial pain, hyposmia, anosmia, and epiphora were rarely encountered. The most frequent symptom of nasal obstruction, was observed in 80 to 98% of the patients (12,13). In patients with simultaneous association of IP and malignancy, epistaxis was the most common symptom (12). Associated sinusitis indicated by pus evident at the time of resection occured in 34 to 39% of patients (12,15).

The mean duration of the symptoms was from 7.2 months to 26 months before hospital admittance. However, some authors also observed patients with a history of symptoms of up to 5 years with no obvious progression of tumor growth (13,14).

Although the aetiology of IP is still unknown, recent studies using in situ hybridization and polymerase chain reaction have detected human papilloma virus (HPV) in up to 86% of IPs (16). The presence of HPV DNA in sinonasal papilloma was reported for the first time in 1987 (17,18). In particular, the viral subtypes 6, 11, 16, and 18 were the most frequently found (19-21). The current advances in viral investigations in IP will be presented in the continuation of this review.

Environmental pollutants such as cigarette smoke, are also likely to be significant. It has been shown that cigarette smoking increases the risk of progression to squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) in IP (22). Allergy, chronic sinusitis, and other chemical pollutants have also been suggested as possible causes (6,23,24). Allergy is unlikely however, since most of the patients do not have an allergic history and the polyposis associated with allergic rhinitis is usually bilateral. The presence of sinusitis is related more to the obstructive nature of the disease than the cause. The signs of chronic infection of the sinuses are much too common to be implicated in the rare case of IP.

The presence of pre- or coexisting IP is a well-known risk for the development of sinonasal cancer. A distinction between 3 different types of papillomas arising in the respiratory epithelium has to be made: i) Exophytic papillomas (ICD-O 8121/0), arising mainly in the nasal vestibule rather than in the paranasal sinuses, almost never exhibit malignant transformation. There is only 1 reported case of such malignant transformation (25). ii) IPs however, (ICD-O 8121/1), arising primarily in the paranasal sinuses show malignant transformation or coexisting carcinomas in 0% (26-29) to 53% (30) in certain studies with great variations in the numbers of treated patients. iii) Columnar cell papilloma (cylindrical cell papilloma, ICD-O 812171) is the least common type of nasal papilloma. It is known that this type of papilloma can undergo malignant transformation, as shown in a study by Kapadia et al (31). With very few exceptions, IP and sinonasal malignancy are not an inherited disease. We found only one reported case of recurrent IP, in which inheritance could be suspected (11).

2. Histopathology

IP mainly looks like a polyp with the exception that it is usually firmer and significantly bulkier, with a more granular mulberry-type appearance. It can range from a variety of shades from red to pale pink. They are generally more vascular than the average polyp. Microscopically the lesion has a thickened epithelial multilayer which covers an extensive invasion of the hyperplastic epithelium into the underlying stroma. There are often goblet and columnar respiratory elements admixed (5,32). The behaviour of the invasion into the underlying stroma has been theorised to be due to an origin from the Schneiderian membrane (Fig. 1).

The Schneiderian membrane is of ectodermal origin from the nasal placode and certain differences in the underlying stroma could permit the inversion of the papilloma. The tumor has crypts which are subepithelial and maintain a connection to the surface epithelium at all times, a finding which lead to the name inverted papilloma. Mucus containing microcysts is often trapped within the neoplastic epithelium. The covering epithelium can be squamous, respiratory, transitional cell epithelium or a combination of the three. The cells show minimal nuclear atypia with the typical basilar layer mitosis. The stroma usually has both acute and chronic inflammatory changes with areas of fibrosis and oedema. The stroma is almost lacking in eosinophils which would be prevalent in an allergic polyp.

IP typically comprises both exophytic and endophytic components (32). The tumor invaginates or infolds into the surrounding underlying bone. However, it does not invade in the absence of malignancy. To an inexperienced pathologist a specimen which is tangentially cut could lead to the misinterpretation that the epithelium is not connected to the surface, simulating stroma invasion.

The incidence of focal malignancy within IP or a site adjacent to the papilloma ranges from 0 to 53% (26-30). An association between IP and SCC as high as 53% is likely to be overestimated. Data from the largest studies (7,11,33) and recent accurate reviews of the literature (15,34) indicate that the two diseases are concomitantly diagnosed in 3 to 13% of the patients. A further 1 to 1.5% of patients have been shown to present a metachronous malignant lesion (35,36). The development of carcinoma at the site of a previously removed IP is a less common event. In the malignant areas of IP the squamous epithelium shows marked atypia, an increased nuclear to cytoplasmatic ratio, conspicuous nucleoli, atypical mitosis in the middle and upper layers, a loss of polarity and dyskeratotic cells. The loss of polarity, anaplasia of the cells and the lack of maturation are the most reliable criteria (37). There is no clearly defined criteria for borderline cases of marked dysplasia or carcinoma in situ. The type of epithelium or stromal inflammation has no role in determining which lesion represents malignancy.

3. Cytogenetics and molecular genetics

Viral investigations have been performed in search for an aetiology. Two types of DNA viruses are of interest in malignant transformation: HPV and EBV. The presence of HPV DNA in sinonasal papilloma was reported for the first
time in 1987 (17,18). Several studies have been performed and the results are mixed with the range of HPV DNA present varying from 0 to 100% (17,18,38) in the IP specimens. Recent studies demonstrate that HPV could be associated with 33% of IP (39). In over 90 types of HPV that are known to date, only a few are linked with malignant transformation. While HPV types 6 and 11 are detected in the majority of exophytic papillomas of the entire upper respiratory tract (40), IPs contain these HPV types in only 6-8% of cases (41). Recent studies using in situ hybridization detected HPV 6 and 11 in 42% of cases. In particular, IP associated with severe dysplasia or carcinoma and HPV 6 or 11 was present in 57 and 67% of tumors (42). The HPV types associated with malignant transformation are, among others, HPV 16 and HPV 18. Hwang et al detected HPV 16 DNA in 2 out of 5 IPs with coexisting SCC (41), and Kashima et al detected HPV 18 DNA in 1 out of 24 SCCs (43). Katori et al presented HPV 16 or 18 DNA in 31% of IP tumors and in 42 and 50% of IPs with severe dysplasia/carcinoma (42). Certain studies have indicated that real-time PCR is more sensitive than Southern blot hybridization in the detection of HPV DNA (44). Using quantitative real-time PCR to evaluate the state of the HPV genome (episomal or integrated) McKay et al presented an association of HPV with IP in 21.4% or cases (45). In particular, it was detected in two of three patients in which IP was associated with sinonasal SCC. Real-time PCR has shown that in two of three lesions in which IP is associated with SCC, the integration of the HPV genome into the host genome has occurred. HPV E6 and E7 oncoproteins are capable of functionally inactivating cell cycle regulators such as p16, p21, p27, p53, the retinoblastoma gene product (Rb) and cyclin D1 (46,47). Thus, these oncoproteins have the ability to deregulate the cell cycle G1/S transition. Malanchi et al showed that HPV16-E6 drives p16INK4a or p27KIP1 overexpressing cells into the S phase in rodent immortalised fibroblasts (48). Viral oncoproteins can therefore bypass the negative signals exerted by p27KIP1. Zerfass-Thome et al demonstrated that HPV16-E7 oncoproteins are capable of a direct interaction with p27KIP1. Thereby its association with the cyclin/cdk complexes is inhibited (49). Affolter et al detected high p27 protein levels in 71% of an IP cohort (50). These high levels of p27 could be an attempt of the cell to prevent the transition into the S phase by overexpression of the cell cycle inhibitor. However, there was no significant association between local recurrence and the p27KIP1 expression level: Seventy-five percent of the tumors with recurrence and 68.8% of the samples without recurrence showed an overexpression of the protein (50). Saegusa et al identified weak to strong p27 immunoreactivity in inverted and exophytic papillomas. The author demonstrates that the loss of the p27 expression correlates with the increase of cell proliferation in sinonasal tumors (51). Affolter et al investigated a largely normal to an overexpressed p53 expression status in IP samples which could be associated with HPV infection (50). The mechanisms
of action of HPV in oncogenesis have been attributed to its ability to render the p53 tumor suppressor gene of the host cell ineffective. The mutation of the p53 gene is currently the most commonly identified gene mutation in human neoplasia (52).

4. Classification

Although the last five years have brought some important advances in the classification of IP, the classification of tumors at this anatomical site is still problematic: The anatomical site is complex, and the incidence is low, therefore reliable statistical data on prognosis is still scarce. The classification of malignant tumors by the Union Internationale contre le Cancer took place in 2002 (53). This classification applies to carcinomas of the maxillary sinuses and the ethmoid sinuses and nasal cavity. There is still no widely accepted classification for carcinomas located in the sphenoid and frontal sinuses. The practical, clinical and prognostic use of the T classification for tumors in this region is still controversial, and alternative classifications have been proposed in the past few years (54). The most widely applied system is the one described by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (55). In this system, commonly described as the TNM (tumor, node, metastasis) system, the extent and location of the tumor are reflected in the four T stages, with the T1 lesions being the smallest, and T4 the most contained and more extensive ones. T1 malignancies of the nose and sinuses are confined to one discrete location without any bone involvement. T4 lesions are more extensive, with penetration through the bone and into contiguous regions such as the intracranial compartment.

A similar system that also has practical utility was described by Carinci et al (56). In this approach, malignancies confined to one site are considered T1 lesions and tumors that extend into separate regions, including the orbit and cranium, are staged as T4. Intermediate-stage lesions extend from the nose into the sinuses or involve more than one sinus cavity. Both of these systems of staging malignancy share two characteristics. They both describe a serial staging system, with confined disease staged as T1 and intermediate tumors staged as T2 and T3 based on the extent of involvement. In addition, they both stage the extensive spread of disease into structures outside the confines of the sinuses as T4 lesions.

Staging systems have also been developed for use in patients with chronic sinusitis. Besides the five-stage system described by Friedman et al (57), Lund and MacKay outlined a rating score with a range of 0 to 24 (58). In this system, each of the six anatomical locations is graded for the absence of disease, partial involvement, or complete opacification. The locations are each rated bilaterally.

Several authors have developed staging systems specifically for use with IP, although none have been applied in any systematic manner. The first of such systems was described by Skolnick et al (59), who applied the tumor component of the TNM system for staging malignancies of the nose and sinuses. Similar to the staging noted in the AJCC system, the authors described T1 lesions as those confined to one anatomical site within the nose and T2 lesions as involving two sites within the nose. They staged any involvement of the sinuses as T3, with an extension outside the nose and sinuses as T4. A similar approach was also described by Norris (60). Schneider (61) described a second staging system for IP in which the radiological appearance of the tumor was considered in determining the clinical stage. He argued that the AJCC staging system was not appropriate for use with these benign lesions. In Schneider's system, stage I was described as a tumor confined to the nasal cavity, with stage IV demonstrating erosion through the bone and extension into the dura or periorbit. Intermediate stages demonstrated progressive involvement into the sinuses from the nose.

A third system for staging IP was described by Schwab et al (62). In this system, which is similar to the one proposed by Skolnick et al (59), T1 lesions were confined to the nasal cavity, T2 and T3 lesions demonstrated progressive involvement of the paranasal sinuses, and T4 lesions extended into the orbit or intracranial cavity.

In each of these systems described for use with IP, a four-stage approach was proposed, with disease isolated to the nose staged as T1, independent of the extent of the disease within the nose. In each system, the involvement of structures outside of the nose and sinuses such as the brain or orbit was staged as T4. Intermediate lesions were staged as either T2 or T3, with authors differing on the assignment of the levels based on the varying involvement of the sinuses.

In all the staging systems, the minimal extent of disease is graded as a lesser stage than diffuse tumor involvement. Furthermore, disease that fills the sinuses diffusely is graded as more advanced.

Krouse established a staging system which would help to segregate patients into easily definable categories (63). The four categories are based on the extent and location of the disease. The described staging system uses CT imaging of the nose and paranasal sinuses and endoscopic examination to determine a certain stage of disease. T1 lesions were confined to the nasal cavity, without extension into the sinuses. The tumor can be localized to one wall or region of the nasal cavity without extension into the sinuses or extrasinus compartments. Stages T1 to T3 do not involve concurrent malignancy. Stage T4 involves all tumors with any extrasinus/extrasinus extension to adjacent structures but also all tumors associated with malignancy.

Apart from the staging system published by Krouse which is based on extent, location, and malignant association, Han et al used a four-tier categorization (64). This categorization is primarily based on the location and extension of IP and is further focused in planning surgical approaches. Group I involves IP which can be endoscopically removed. Group II requires an adjunctive transantral approach due to exposure to the lateral and anterior maxillary sinus. IPs in group III involve the frontal sinus and additional non-endoscopic procedures are necessary to remove the tumor. In group IV, a cranietomy, orbitotomy, or other open approaches may be required if the extrasinus component of the tumor cannot be adequately visualized and resected endoscopically.

Krouse developed a staging system based on the extent of tumor involvement depending on endoscopic, CT and MRI examinations. Han et al set up a categorization which is focused on planning surgical and endoscopic procedures.
Kamel et al. recently introduced a new classification system of IP based on the origin of the lesion (65). In type I the tumor originates from the nasal septum or the lateral nasal wall whereas in type II it originates from the maxillary sinus. Kamel claims that with recent advances in functional endoscopic sinus surgery (FESS) IP could be traced to its origin. Furthermore, type I tumors result in nasal obstruction and early diagnosis while the lesion is still small, whereas type II lesions result in late diagnosis with broader extension (66). In terms of recurrence, Kamel et al. agree with other authors that recurrence always occurs at the original site due to the incomplete removal of the primary lesion (6,7,67,68). Kamel et al. recommend this new classification system to all cases of IP regardless of the extent of the tumor. The authors admit that a long experience in FESS is necessary and that in some cases staging is only finalized during surgery.

There is still no widely accepted classification or staging system for IP. Thus, few reports describe recurrence rates as a function of tumor stage. A relationship between advanced stage and a higher incidence of recurrence has not yet been established (34,64,69).

5. Macroscopic aspects

The workup consists of a thorough history and physical exam. The associated symptoms are classically those of unilateral nasal obstruction of varied duration. The patient may have a history of facial pain, rhinorrhea, sinusitis or epistaxis. These are all quite rare. On review, the lateral wall of the nasal fossa and the maxillary sinus is the most frequent sites of origin for IP, whereas its exclusive localization to the frontal (26,70) or sphenoid sinus (71,72) is exceedingly rare and, when involved, is usually caused by an invasion from adjacent sites. Likewise, the intracranial invasion of IP is a rare event, which has been mostly noted at the level of the cribriform plate or the ethmoid roof area in recurrent lesions (73). Intraorbital extension can be observed in lesions with extensive ethmoid involvement. However, the tumor usually displaces the orbital content laterally without transgressing the periorbit (74,75).

The presentation of IP is generally unilateral, but the bilateral involvement of the sinonasal tract has been reported in a percentage of patients ranging from <1% (76) to 9% (35).

The endoscopic appearance of IP, commonly showing one or more polypoid masses with multiple digitations and a papillary surface located laterally to the middle turbinate, is suggestive of the diagnosis. A CT scan is essential in the evaluation of the tumor (Fig. 2). This allows for the proper surgical approach and extent to be performed. Nevertheless, bony walls; less commonly, sclerotic bony changes can be seen (77-79). However, the CT findings commonly observed in IP are highly aspecific. According to Ojiri et al (80) this limitation can be overcome by using MRI, which, apart from differentiating neoplastic tissue from inflammatory changes, identifies a convoluted cerebriform pattern suggestive of IP on T2 or enhanced T1-weighted sequences in about 80% of cases. If there is any possibility of skull base involvement or vascular tumor by imaging, further radiological studies should be performed such as an MRI or an angiogram. However, an MRI is unable to differentiate the foci of a concomitant malignant neoplasm from IP.

A biopsy of the specimen is necessary to obtain a definitive diagnosis. All nasal biopsies must be taken seriously because of the chance of severe epistaxis or biopsy of the brain. The biopsy should be performed in a controlled setting such as the operating room.

6. Surgical advances

There is no doubt that surgery is the treatment of choice, combined with radiotherapy in cases of associated malignancy (35). However, the best surgical approach and the extent of resection are somewhat controversial, as is discussed in the literature (34). Since most sinonasal papillomas arise from the lateral nasal wall, procedures involving a medial maxil-
lectomy have resulted in the best outcome (35) (Fig. 3). This procedure can be performed with similar results by an endonasal approach or the previously preferred lateral rhinotomy, as shown in various studies (6,23,28,35,66,67). Many authors believe that complete resection is essential for the adequate management and long-term control of IP. Since most sinonasal papillomas arise from the lateral nasal wall, procedures involving a medial maxillectomy have resulted in the best outcome (35). Therefore, for decades, the traditional approach to this lesion has been a lateral rhinotomy or sublabial degloving approach and a medial maxillectomy (81).

Medial maxillectomy through lateral rhinotomy incision is the gold standard for the removal of IP. It has the advantages of the excellent exposure of the lateral nasal wall and paranasal sinuses. The success is related to the en bloc resection of the lateral nasal wall, ethmoid labyrinth, and medial portion of the maxilla which are the sites of formation and extension of this tumor (82). This is a procedure that allows the visualization of the tumor margins while still allowing the preservation of the orbital rim, the eye and its attachments, the lacrimal apparatus, the nasal pyramid, and the palate. Medial maxillectomy allows the en bloc removal of the ethmoidal labyrinth and the medial aspect of the maxilla from the cribiform plate superiorly, to the floor of the nose inferiorly, and from the anterior extent of the ethmoidal cells back to the area of the optic nerve. The lamina papyracea is included in the tissue block. This technique can be expanded to involve the removal of the cribiform plate when combined with an intracranial approach. A lateral rhinotomy incision is made, beginning in the medial aspect of the eyebrow, angling around to midway up the lateral wall of the nose and into the alar groove. A notch can be made in the medial canthal area to prevent webbing. The exposure should be adequate without cutting the lip which also leaves a better final cosmesis. A subperiosteal dissection is performed exposing the anterior wall of the maxillary sinus. The infraorbital nerve is identified and protected. The medial wall of the orbit is dissected exposing the anterior and posterior ethmoid artery which will be the most superior aspect of the dissection. The lacrimal sac is dissected out of its sulcus and is divided at its most distal aspect. An antrostomy in to the maxillary sinus is performed and then the remainder of the maxillary sinus is removed taking care to preserve the infraorbital nerve.

A lateral osteotomy of the nasal bone is performed to give better visual exposure. The first major cut is along the floor of the sinus. This cut is made in the inferior meatus from the anterior tip of the inferior turbinate to the most posterior aspect. The second bone cut entails the most medial part of the orbital rim which is drilled down into the floor of the orbit using a cutting bur. This aspect can be omitted but it can give better visual access with minimal structural defect. The third cut is made along the anterior aspect of the maxillary sinus involving the lacrimal fossa, anterior to the middle turbinate and into the ethmoid cells. The anterior bony rim forming the piriform aperture and the nasal rim are left intact. If the lacrimal duct is left in place the cut is made posterior to it. It is usually cut and marked for stenting later on in the surgery. The fourth cut involves retracting the orbital contents to expose the frontoethmoidal suture line and the anterior ethmoid artery. A small osteotome is used to perforate the ethmoidal cells and the nasal cavity is entered inferior to the suture line, beginning anteriorly in the lacrimal fossa and extending posteriorly.

The suture line and ethmoidal arteries establish the position of the cribiform plate. If a more posterior dissection is required the anterior ethmoid artery can be ligated. The fifth cut involves freeing the posterior and lateral aspect of the lamina papyracea. The cut is extended along the posterior part of the lamina with a curved mayo scissors and goes along the inferior part of the orbit along the rim medial to the infraorbital nerve. This will then join with the drilled incision through the rim. The remaining bony attachment of the lateral nasal wall is that portion of the palatine bone that is anterior to the pterygoid process of the sphenoid bone. This attachment extends from the nasal floor up to the superior turbinate. The en bloc specimen is gently rocked bimanually to reveal the remaining attachments. This is performed using a right-angled scissors starting interiorly through the nose placing the lateral blade into the maxillary sinus while the medial blade lies in the inferior meatus. The curved scissors will make a cut anterior to the pterygoid plate which is the posterior aspect of the inferior and middle turbinates. The superior aspect of the incision is technically impossible to perform using the scissors.

In the era when transnasal resection without endoscopic or microscopic assistance was the most commonly used technique, the percentage of recurrences ranged from 40% (83) to 78% (84). We concur with many authors (7,85) that most of these recurrences are basically residual lesions as the exposure offered by the transnasal approach did not guarantee the adequate radicality of the resection. However, although the frequency of recurrence has been lowered, reportedly from 0% (35) to 29% (86), this technique has been associated with complications such as epiphora, chronic dacryocystitis, transient diplopia, and eustachian tube dysfunction. An average hospitalization time of 7 days and possibleesthetic sequelae related to the facial scar were also present (1,86). With the intent to avoid the latter concern, other techniques not requiring facial incisions such as Rouge-Denker's surgery (87), septal translocation (88), and midfacial degloving (89) have been used.

The local aggressiveness of IP has caused a change in management from the lateral rhinotomy to other external approaches. Midfacial degloving is an excellent alternative open procedure. It also provides excellent bilateral exposure and does not require an external scar. The midfacial degloving procedure utilizes a combination of four facial incisions with or without osteotomies of the nasal bone and frontal process of the maxilla. In this technique four incisions are made: i) A bilateral sublabial incision, ii) a complete transfixion between the columella and septum, iii) bilateral piriform aperture incisions extending to the vestibule, and iv) either an intercartilaginous or marginal incision (used with an external rhinoplasty). It is best to overlap at right angles at the corners to prevent rounding and web formation. The lower framework of the nose is released similar to the septrhinoplasty approach. The periosteum of the maxilla is elevated preserving the infraorbital nerves. The skin, and lower 1/3 of the nose can be elevated to the glabella and orbit. This is secured and retracted with a penrose through the nostrils. The internal
maxillary artery may be encountered and ligated as it enters the pterygopalatine fossa. Sachs et al., in their series of 46 patients, offered the midfacial degloving approach, as it improves the visibility of the total surgical field (89). Results of these techniques have been more acceptable with recurrence rates in the 3 to 13% range (89). Similarly, Price et al. described the use of the midfacial degloving approach, although a follow-up of the patients was not given (90).

As an alternative to lateral rhinotomy Esteban et al. recommended midfacial degloving as the procedure of choice for block medial maxillectomy and ethmoidectomy (91), compared to Lawson et al. who reported that lateral rhinotomy and medial maxillectomy were the gold standard for the majority of cases (6). A study by Yoskovitch et al. stated that more aggressive surgery is associated with a more definitive treatment and significantly less recurrence, as recurrence was seen in 45% of patients treated conservatively by local excision compared with no recurrence in patients treated aggressively with lateral rhinotomy or medial maxillectomy (92).

The disadvantages to this approach are: i) The potential for nasal vestibular stenosis, and ii) difficulty with superior ethmoid exposure in large tumors. Vestibular stenosis can be avoided with a proper incision design. Other complications include oroantral fistula, epistaxis, and nasal crusting which are present with the medial maxillectomy as well as midfacial degloving. The advantage is avoidance of a facial scar and allowing bilateral exposure.

The precise identification of lesions by modern imaging techniques such as CT and MRI and the excision of intra-nasal lesions with endoscopes has led to the application of these procedures in the treatment of IP. The first report on the endoscopic treatment of IP dates back to 1981, when Stammberger documented 15 patients treated by a purely endoscopic approach (93). Buchwald et al. reported that the endoscopic surgery of IP can be supplemented by a midfacial degloving procedure or a lateral rhinotomy if the tumor cannot be visualized sufficiently by an endoscopy (8). Watz and Wigand reported on a series of 35 patients with a mean follow-up of 46 months (67). They reported a 19% recurrence rate with the extranasal approach and 17% with the endoscopic approach. This study could be biased however, due to the selection of very small lesions with no evidence of malignancy. These lesions were less aggressive with a lower overall final malignancy rate of 4% compared to averages of about 11% in other literature. Lawson et al. compared various aspects of IP management, such as treatment concepts and surgical approaches in 160 patients with an average follow-up period of 5.2 years (33). Endoscopic removal can be performed on selected lesions with a recurrence rate of 12% compared to that of more aggressive techniques of 18% (33). Pasquini et al. compared traditional and endoscopic methods in 89 cases with a follow-up period of 96 months and 54 months, respectively (94). They reported a lower recurrence rate of 3% and a mean hospital stay of 1 day with endoscopic approaches compared to a 24% recurrence rate and 5 days hospitalization with traditional methods. Pasquini et al. concluded that Krouse stages T1, T2, and select T3 cases are all suitable for endoscopic resection. Tomenzi et al. reported the accurate removal of IP by different endoscopic strategies in 47 patients during an 8-year period. No recurrences were observed after a mean follow-up of 55 months (69). Kaza and Casiano reported 51 cases of IP being removed endoscopically over a 10-year period (95). They reported a recurrence rate of 14% with a mean follow-up of 30 months. The authors recommend that the extent of resection should be based on the intraoperative endoscopic findings, and not necessarily on the preoperative CT or MRI findings. Kraft et al. reported 17 cases of IP with a recurrence rate of 11.7% and a mean follow-up of 62 months (96). Busquets and Hwang treated 28 patients endoscopically with a recurrence rate of 10% with a mean follow-up of 22 months (97). Complications of FESS for IP have been reported between 0 and 19.6% (33,69,94,96). Most were minor complications, such as epistaxis, epiphora, temporary infraorbital hypesthesia, minimal orbital fat exposure and so on. Cerebral spinal fluid leakage was reported in ≤6% of cases (95).

It is extremely difficult to compare the results obtained with external vs endoscopic or micro-endoscopic procedures due to the extreme variability in preoperative assessment, the extent of disease, and the follow-up length in the different studies reported. A follow-up period of at least two years should be mandatory in order to compare the approaches as most recurrent lesions are diagnosed 24 months after surgery (64,82,86). Another important fact is the lack of an officially recognized staging or classification system although several systems have been reported by Krouse (63), Han (64) and Kamel (65).

Radiation therapy is not usually recommended instead of surgery. It has been suggested that it could be effective in the treatment of advanced aggressive tumors or in patients who are poor surgical candidates. There has been some concern with the use of radiation therapy for the treatment of IP without an associated malignancy. A study by Gomez et al. reported 4 out of 14 patients treated for benign disease with radiation therapy (98). Two other studies by Weissler et al. and Mendenhall et al. have shown no conversion to malignancy and excellent control rates (35,99). Combined surgical and radiation therapy is effective in patients found to have SCCA associated with their IP.

To our knowledge, no investigation so far has emphasized the importance of a preoperative medical protocol. Systemic antibiotics and corticosteroids can reduce concomitant inflammatory polyps, affording a clearer picture of the extent of disease and eventually the site of origin. In addition, they significantly diminish bleeding during the surgical procedure (100). Hence, radiological investigations without the above-mentioned protocol could result in an overestimation of the tumor extent. This can lead the surgeon to a more aggressive surgery than necessary (82).

Recently, however, new concepts in the pathogenesis of IP have been raised by Roh et al. (101). They suggest that IP could be the end-stage of a chronic inflammatory condition, and not a neoplasm. Therefore, conservative surgical treatment with a close follow-up and careful review of the final pathological specimen would be more appropriate.

With some of the new data suggesting that HPV could be a potential aetiological factor in the development of IP as described in 'Cytogenetics and molecular genetics', the use of antiviral agents could be beneficial. Interferon has been suggested for the use of a patient with multiple recurrences,
advanced disease, or spread to the orbit and skull base. This is still under investigation.

7. Conclusion

Unilateral nasal obstruction or unilateral nasal polyps should alert the otolaryngologist to the possibility of IP. IP represents a benign neoplastic proliferation with a high recurrence rate. It does not fit the profile of a prototypic precursor lesion. There is a high recurrence rate is still under investigation.
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