Transarterial embolization for pelvic hematoma following laparoscopic radical prostatectomy: A case report and review of the literature
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Abstract. The present study reports a case of hemorrhage from branches of the right obturator artery following laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. On post-operative day 9, the patient complained of lower abdominal pain, and the hemoglobin and hematocrit levels had decreased. Emergency computed tomography angiography showed a large pelvic hematoma suggesting active bleeding. Transarterial embolization (TAE) was performed using microcoils. There were no post-procedure complications. At 3 months post-surgery, using computed tomography, the pelvic hematoma was shown to have been absorbed. To the best of our knowledge, TAE for a hemorrhage from the obturator artery following laparoscopic prostatectomy has not previously been described. TAE is a safe and minimally invasive treatment compared with surgical intervention, and should be considered as a treatment for post-operative arterial hemorrhage.

Introduction

Prostate cancer is one of the most common malignancies of the urinary tract. Based on incidence and mortality data from several agencies, the American Cancer Society estimates that 233,000 new prostate cancer cases and 29,480 mortalities from prostate cancer are projected to occur in the United States in 2014 (1). Prostate cancer is a heterogeneous disease that varies in spectrum from tumors with a low risk of mortality to highly aggressive malignant disease (2). Newly diagnosed prostate cancer has been increasing in Japan, and is predicted to be the first or second most common cancer by 2020 (3). Although the age-adjusted mortality rate, which had rapidly increased up to ~2,000, began to reduce slightly in 2004, the crude mortality rate has continued to rise gradually due to the ageing population (4). Radical prostatectomy has commonly been performed using an open retropubic approach for localized prostate cancer. However, in the past decade, with the development of laparoscopic and robotic techniques, laparoscopic radical prostatectomy (LRP) or robotic-assisted LRP (RALRP) has been widely accepted with the advantages of less invasiveness, shorter recovery, reduced blood loss, and improved visualization of the operative region compared to open techniques (5-7). Severe hemorrhage following prostatectomy is relatively rare, but is one of the serious complications. The current study presents a case in which arteriography with transarterial embolization (TAE) was beneficial for the treatment of severe hemorrhage following LRP.

Case report

In May 2013, a 70-year-old man first presented with lower urinary tract symptoms to the outpatient clinic of Kurume University Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan and was demonstrated to have an elevated prostate-specific antigen (PSA) of 9.3 ng/ml. In September 2013, subsequent transrectal ultrasound-guided biopsy of the prostate showed prostate adenocarcinoma, with Gleason Score 3+4=7 involving 30% of the bilateral lobes. According to the imaging and biopsy findings, the tumor was classified as cT2cN0M0. In December 2013, LRP and pelvic lymphadectomy were performed without nerve sparing bilaterally. Vesico-urethral anastomosis with continuous suture was conducted using 3-0 absorbable monofilament suture. The surgical duration was 183 min and the blood loss during the procedure was 580 g. Early post-operative recovery was uneventful. Cystography showed no urinary leakage and the Foley catheter was removed on post-operative day 3.

On post-operative day 9, the patient complained of lower abdominal pain. The hemoglobin (Hb) level decreased from 11.4 to 7.3 g/dl (normal range, 13.5-17.5 g/dl) and the hematocrit (Ht) level decreased from 33.5 to 21.8% (normal range, 39.7-52.4%). Four units of blood were transfused and emergent computed tomography angiography (CTA) showed a large pelvic hematoma, with extravasation of the contrast agent in
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the hematoma, suggesting arterial bleeding. Digital subtraction angiography (DSA), performed via a transfemoral approach with selective catheterization of the two internal iliac arteries (Fig. 1), revealed active bleeding from a branch of the right obturator artery. We speculate that this branch may have been injured during pelvic lymphadectomy (PLND). Super selective catheterization of the bleeding vessel was performed using a microcatheter (2.2 Fr/2.8 Fr) with a hydrophilic 0.016-inch guidewire. Embolization was performed successfully using a platinum coil (2x10 mm).

However, the Hb level remained at 7.0 to 8.0 g/dl and follow-up CT revealed that the pelvic hematoma had increased in size. On post-operative day 16, a second DSA procedure was performed, but no arterial bleeding was revealed. Following the second DSA procedure, the Hb and Ht levels improved and the patient was discharged on post-operative day 36. At 3 months post-surgery, the pelvic hematoma was shown to have been absorbed on computed tomography scans. The patient is currently mildly incontinent, with a PSA level of 0.03 ng/ml.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year (ref.)</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>GS</th>
<th>T-stage</th>
<th>Surgical method</th>
<th>TAE, %</th>
<th>Blood transfusion, units</th>
<th>Nerve sparing</th>
<th>Hemorrhage focus</th>
<th>Material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ibarra et al, 2003 (20)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4+3</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>ORP</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>A branch of the lt internal iliac artery to seminal vesicle</td>
<td>NBCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beckley et al, 2007 (21)</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3+3</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>LRP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Lt accessory pudendal artery</td>
<td>Micro coil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park et al, 2008 (22)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3+3</td>
<td>1c</td>
<td>LRP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>A branch of the lt internal iliac artery</td>
<td>NBCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopes et al, 2009 (23)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3+3</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>LRP</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>Li internal pudendal artery</td>
<td>NBCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeong et al, 2010 (24)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3+3</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>RA LRP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>A branch of the rt internal iliac artery</td>
<td>NBCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lopes et al, 2011 (25)</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>3+3</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>LRP</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>A branch of the rt internal iliac artery</td>
<td>NBCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheng et al, 2012 (26)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>3+3</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>LRP</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>A branch of the rt internal iliac artery</td>
<td>NBCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feng et al, 2013 (27)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>3+4</td>
<td>3a</td>
<td>LRP</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>Bilateral</td>
<td>A branch of the rt obturator artery</td>
<td>NBCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3+4</td>
<td>2c</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Micro coil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table I. Literature review of transarterial embolization for hemorrhage following ORP, LRP, or RA LRP.

Figure 1. Computed tomography angiography showing a large pelvic hematoma and extravasation of the contrast agent in the hematoma.

Figure 2. Digital subtraction angiography revealing active bleeding from a branch of the right obturator artery.
Discussion

LRP was first described by Schuessler et al. (8) and Raboy et al. (9) in 1997. The surgical procedure was established in 1999 by Guillonneau et al. (10). A large body of literature has shown that LRP and RALRP are an effective option and the standard surgical treatment for localized prostate cancer, as these approaches are minimally invasive compared with open radical prostatectomy (ORP) and achieve a level of cancer control equivalent to that following ORP (5-7,10-13). Severe post-operative hemorrhage following radical prostatectomy has been reported in 0.5 to 1.6% of cases, according to a range of definitions (14,15). A meta-analysis of the incidence of complications showed that pelvic hematoma was significantly less frequent following RALRP compared with ORP. In addition, the study showed that there is no significant difference in the frequency between LRP and ORP (16).

Severe hemorrhage following radical prostatectomy was first described by Foss in 1923 (17). The incidence rates of intraoperative and post-operative hemorrhage have decreased since Reiner and Walsh (18) described the anatomy of the dorsal venous complex and a method for early hemorrhage control during radical prostatectomy. Hemorrhage following radical prostatectomy is mainly of venous origin. Arterial hemorrhage is uncommon. Compression of the retropubic space with tissue-to-tissue contact leads to rapid resolution in cases of venous hemorrhage. By contrast, surgical intervention is recommended for arterial hemorrhage. In a retrospective study of 7 cases with serious hemorrhage among 1,350 cases treated with ORP, Hedican and Walsh (14) suggested that evacuation of the pelvic hematoma decreased the likelihood of bladder neck contracture and incontinence. In an examination of post-operative and quality of life outcomes in patients with major hemorrhage following ORP that was managed by reoperation or observation, Kaufman and Lepor (15) reported that reoperation facilitated the healing of the vesico-urethral anastomosis and removal of the urinary catheter.

TAE has become an increasingly common treatment for life-threatening hemorrhage from various bleeding sites. TAE has the advantages of a rapid recovery, short hospital stay and early resumption of physical activities compared with surgical intervention (19). To the best of our knowledge, 12 patients, including the present case, have been reported thus far with post-operative hemorrhage treated by TAE (Table I) (20 -26). To the best of our knowledge, 12 patients, including the present case, have been reported thus far with post-operative hemorrhage treated by TAE (Table I) (20 -26). In this previously reported literature, 3 patients underwent ORP, 4 underwent RALRP and 5 underwent LRP. Almost all cases, with the exception of the present case, underwent bilateral or unilateral nerve sparing. CTA revealed active bleeding in all cases, and extravasation in CTA was important for discriminating between venous and arterial hemorrhage. The bleeding focus was frequently the internal pudendal or accessory pudendal artery. However, in the present case, the bleeding focus was a branch of the obturator artery which may have been injured during PLND. Bratt et al (27) recorded 4 cases of post-operative pelvic hematoma among 156 patients treated with PLND following radical prostatectomy. TAE for hemorrhage from the obturator artery following laparoscopic prostatectomy has not been described previously.

Materials for use in embolization include coils, polyvinyl alcohol particles, gelatin sponge (Gelfoam), and N-butyl-cyanoacrylate (NBCA) (28). The major advantages of microcoils are the absence of movement to a peripheral blood vessel and a lower risk of recanalization compared with other materials. However, the small diameters of distal branches usually do not allow the use of microcoils. Collateralization is a further possible disadvantage of microcoil embolization and may lead to the persistent flow of blood into the vascular region of the embolized vessel, thus decreasing the therapeutic efficacy (29). Gelfoam has commonly been used for hemorrhage from small branches in cases in which microcoils could not be used or were unavailable, but the temporary nature of Gelfoam leaves a risk of recanalization. NBCA has been used most commonly in previous studies (24,26). NBCA is administered in liquid form prior to polymerization, and is generally mixed with iodized oil to minimize the exothermic reaction of NBCA, which delays and controls the polymerization time. This feature is beneficial in the occlusion of small vessels (29).

With regard to post-operative urinary incontinence, 6 cases from the literature regained excellent urinary continence within a 6-month follow-up period, while only 2 patients experienced mild incontinence. These continence results are not inferior to those of previous studies of surgical intervention. In the present case, the patient experienced a certain degree of stress urinary continence at the 3-month follow-up, but it is likely that the incontinence will improve with time.

In summary, the current study presented a case in which TAE was performed for pelvic hematoma following LRP. Arterial hemorrhage following prostatectomy is relatively rare, but is one of the serious complications. It may be concluded that TAE for arterial hemorrhage following radical prostatectomy is a safe and minimally invasive treatment compared with surgical intervention. Moreover, it may be advantageous with regard to urinary incontinence.
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