**Abstract.** Reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome (RPLS) is a rare clinicoradiological syndrome that is characterized by neurological symptoms, including seizures, headaches, visual abnormalities, confusion and encephalopathy, accompanied by vasogenic edema of the posterior white matter observed on neuroimaging. Sorafenib is an inhibitor of pro-angiogenic receptor tyrosine kinases, such as vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2, platelet-derived growth factor receptor β, and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 3. In the previous research literature, only one case of sorafenib-induced RPLS, in a patient with hepatocellular carcinoma, has been reported. The current report presents two cases of sorafenib-induced RPLS in patients with metastases from a renal cell carcinoma. In the first case, a 75-year-old female patient developed a fever, fell down and was unable to move her limbs as instructed after 11 days of sorafenib treatment. Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) demonstrated no typical RPLS findings. As all of the symptoms were resolved after sorafenib discontinuation, sorafenib was restarted. However, the patient remained unable to walk steadily and to articulate properly after 10 days. MRI again demonstrated no notable findings, and her condition improved only after discontinuation of sorafenib. In the second case, a 75-year-old male patient experienced a fall due to loss of consciousness. T2-weighted and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery MRI revealed high-intensity signals on both sides of the cerebellar hemisphere and pons, and also partially on both sides of the frontal lobe. At 33 days after sorafenib discontinuation, he had recovered sufficiently to walk by himself with a walker, and a repeat MRI revealed a significant improvement. Although sorafenib is associated with various adverse events, cases of sorafenib-induced RPLS have rarely been reported. To the best of our knowledge, only one case of sorafenib-induced RPLS, which occurred in a patient with hepatocellular carcinoma, has been reported in the literature (11). The present report describes the occurrence of RPLS due to the administration of sorafenib in two patients with renal cell carcinoma (RCC). Approval for this report was granted by the ethical review board of National Hospital Organization Kyushu Cancer Center (Fukuoka, Japan), and written informed consent was obtained from the patients.

**Introduction**

Reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome (RPLS) is a rare clinicoradiological syndrome that is characterized by neurological symptoms, such as seizures, headaches, visual abnormalities, confusion and encephalopathy, accompanied by vasogenic edema of the posterior white matter visible on neuroimaging (1-4). It was initially described in 1996 in patients with elevated blood pressure or eclampsia, and in those receiving immunosuppressive medications (5). The pathogenesis of RPLS is poorly understood, but is thought to be related to disruptions in the blood flow to the posterior circulation secondary to endothelial dysfunction (3,6,7). RPLS has been reported to be associated with various anti-angiogenic therapies (8). In the last few years, an increasing number of cases involving novel targeted drugs, particularly angiogenesis inhibitors, have been reported. Sorafenib (Nexavar®; Bayer HealthCare, Montville, NJ, USA; Onyx Pharmaceuticals, Emeryville, CA, USA) is an inhibitor of pro-angiogenic receptor tyrosine kinases, such as vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 2, platelet-derived growth factor receptor β and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 3 (9,10).

Although sorafenib is associated with various adverse events, cases of sorafenib-induced RPLS have rarely been reported. To the best of our knowledge, only one case of sorafenib-induced RPLS, which occurred in a patient with hepatocellular carcinoma, has been reported in the literature (11). The present report describes the occurrence of RPLS due to the administration of sorafenib in two patients with renal cell carcinoma (RCC). Approval for this report was granted by the ethical review board of National Hospital Organization Kyushu Cancer Center (Fukuoka, Japan), and written informed consent was obtained from the patients.

**Case report**

**Case 1.** A 75-year-old female, who had a previous medical history of well-controlled hypertension and type 2 diabetes, presented to the Department of Urology at National Hospital Organization Kyushu Cancer Center in May 2008, and was diagnosed with a pancreatic tumor metastasized from an RCC, oxygen, steroids, verapamil, digoxin and nicardipine hydrochloride. The oncology community should be alerted to this uncommon and life-threatening adverse event.
which had relapsed 21 years after a radical nephrectomy for a right clear cell RCC. Interferon-α treatment was initially administered for the treatment of the RCC metastasis; however, this therapy was discontinued due to the progression of the disease. Subsequently, sorafenib treatment was commenced at a dose of 400 mg twice daily. After 11 days of sorafenib treatment, the patient developed a fever, fell down, developed urinary incontinence, and was unable to move her limbs as instructed while lying down at home. She was transported to our hospital in an ambulance and sorafenib treatment was stopped. The patient’s Glasgow Coma Scale grade was E3V3M5, and her blood pressure was 142/79 mmHg. Brain magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) demonstrated no cerebral hemorrhaging, cerebral infarctions, or metastatic brain tumors, and typical RPLS findings were absent, as before sorafenib administration. All of the symptoms were resolved 8 days after sorafenib discontinuation. Therefore, sorafenib treatment was restarted at 400 mg once daily during hospitalization. However, the patient remained unable to walk steadily and to articulate properly after a further 10 days of sorafenib treatment. Although MRI again demonstrated no typical RPLS findings, the patient was clinically diagnosed with RPLS as the symptoms had recurred with re-administration of sorafenib. The patient’s condition was completely resolved through only discontinuation of the sorafenib treatment for 7 days.

**Case 2.** A 75-year-old male with a previous medical history of well-controlled hypertension and type 2 diabetes presented to the Department of Urology at National Hospital Organization Kyushu Cancer Center in July 2015, and was diagnosed with a metastatic lung and pancreatic tumor from a left RCC. Brain MRI demonstrated no metastatic brain tumors and only an old cerebral infarction in the left cerebellar hemisphere. Left radical nephrectomy was initially performed, and specimens collected at this time led to a pathological diagnosis of clear cell RCC. Thereafter, sorafenib treatment was selected due to renal dysfunction, and was administered at a dose of 400 mg twice daily. The patient was hospitalized for three weeks; however, he only experienced adverse events of grade 2 or less. After 32 days on sorafenib therapy, the patient failed to attend his first hospital visit following discharge. The following day, it was discovered that he had fallen down at home due to a loss
of consciousness and had extensive bruising on the right side of his body. He was then transported to hospital in an ambulance. The patient's Glasgow Coma Scale grade was E3V2M1 and his blood pressure was 178/100 mmHg. Sorafenib treatment was therefore discontinued, and immediate treatment with a continuous infusion of nicardipine hydrochloride was administered. Brain computed tomography scans demonstrated the same findings as the brain MRI prior to sorafenib administration; however, at this time, T2-weighted and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) MRI revealed high-intensity signals predominantly located on both sides of the cerebellar hemisphere and pons, and also partially on both sides of the frontal lobe (Fig. 1A). RPLS was managed with combined-modality therapy and tight blood pressure control, including oxygen, steroids, verapamil, digoxin and nicardipine hydrochloride. At 33 days after sorafenib discontinuation, the patient had recovered sufficiently to walk assisted only by a walker, and repeat MRI revealed a significant improvement on T2-weighted and FLAIR images on both sides of the frontal lobe, cerebellar hemisphere and pons (Fig. 1B). The patient's symptoms and imaging findings eventually resolved completely. Another treatment protocol with pazopanib was commenced 5 months after the discontinuation of sorafenib.

Discussion

The diagnosis of RPLS is primarily dependent on neurological symptoms and neuroradiological imaging findings. However, its diagnosis relies heavily on MRI findings as the symptoms of RPLS can be vague (8). The MRI findings of typical RPLS comprise high-intensity signals on T2-weighted and FLAIR images, predominantly in the posterior regions and in particular the parieto-occipital lobes (5,6).

In the present study, all clinical symptoms observed in Case 1 were consistent with RPLS; the symptoms were relieved following discontinuation, and recurred subsequent to restarting sorafenib. However, no characteristic brain MRI findings were detected in Case 1. Another case of RPLS with no brain MRI findings was reported previously (11). It has also been reported that it is difficult to identify abnormal findings on imaging examinations in the early period of administration of carmustine (12). Fugate and Rabinstein (13) reported that posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome (PRES) can be also diagnosed in the presence of normal brain imaging following the elimination of other diagnoses. The symptoms and signs are nonspecific in isolation, thus necessitating brain imaging with the primary intent to exclude alternative diagnoses. However, the diagnosis of PRES is predominantly not radiological; the clinical context and the judgment of the clinician are crucial to making the correct diagnosis. Therefore, when clinical symptoms suggestive of RPLS occur, it is important to start the treatment as early as possible, even if there are no findings on imaging examinations.

Case 2 in the present study demonstrated characteristic neurological symptoms as well as neuroradiological imaging findings; however, the MRI findings included high-intensity signals on FLAIR imaging primarily on both sides of the cerebellar hemisphere and pons, and slightly on both sides of the frontal lobe, but not in the posterior regions. When neuroimaging abnormalities occur elsewhere in the brain, cases are referred to as atypical RPLS. However, involvement outside of the parietal and occipital lobes may not be so rare, as there have been cases of RPLS occurring in the frontal lobes, basal ganglia, thalamus and brainstem (14). In one case series, Lee et al (15) reported that 58% of RPLS patients had brainstem or cerebellar involvement. Considering all of these previous reports, the two patients in the current study were diagnosed with RPLS induced by sorafenib treatment.

Symptoms and imaging abnormalities are generally reversible, and improvements are often observed within days or weeks of drug discontinuation (15). In the two cases in the present study, the symptoms and/or imaging abnormalities improved by discontinuing sorafenib, but it took a longer time in Case 2 than in Case 1. As the patient in Case 1 was a woman living with her partner, the detection of RPLS occurred early in the pathogenesis. However, in Case 2, the patient was a male who lived alone and, as a result, the detection of RPLS was delayed. As he had extensive bruising from falling on the right side of his body, it was estimated that he had remained in the same position for a prolonged period of time. Additionally, it was not possible to control the patient's blood pressure during this time and, therefore, there was a possibility that his brain was damaged, which may explain the long time required for the patient to recover. The Naranjo Adverse Drug Reaction Probability Scale scores for these neurological symptoms associated with leukoencephalopathy were 9 and 7, respectively, indicating the probable association of these events with sorafenib treatment (16).

As sorafenib is widely used in the treatment of RCC, hepatocellular carcinoma and thyroid carcinoma (17-22), the oncology community should be alerted to this uncommon but life-threatening adverse event.
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