Adjuvant interferon for early or late recurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma and mortality from hepatocellular carcinoma following curative treatment: A meta-analysis with comparison of different types of hepatitis
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Abstract. Adjuvant interferon (IFN) therapy following curative treatment for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) has been extensively investigated; however, the clinical benefits with different hepatitis backgrounds remain unclear. Medline, Embase, PubMed and the Cochrane Library databases were searched to identify randomized trials and cohort studies that enrolled HCC patients who received curative surgery or ablation therapy followed by IFN and control subjects; the studies were required to include data on early or late recurrence and mortality rates of HCC. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) associated with HCC (HBV-HCC) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) associated with HCC (HCV-HCC) were separately analyzed and recurrence, mortality and clinicopathological factors were compared. A total of 14 studies (9 randomized trials and 5 cohort studies, including 1,385 patients in total) were eligible for meta-analysis. IFN was found to decrease mortality and early recurrence rates, but exerted no effect on late recurrence rate. The effect of IFN differed between HBV-HCC and HCV-HCC cases. In HCV-HCC, IFN significantly reduced mortality as well as recurrence rates. However, in HBV-HCC patients, IFN reduced mortality rather than recurrence rates, although it also reduced the recurrence rate in certain subgroups. In conclusion, the effect of adjuvant IFN on postoperative recurrence differed between HBV-HCC and HCV-HCC cases; therefore, different strategies with adjuvant IFN should be used to treat HCC with different hepatitis backgrounds.

Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is one of the leading causes of cancer-related mortality worldwide, with a continuously increasing incidence (1). Surgery and ablation and liver transplantation are the only potentially curative treatments for HCC; however, liver transplantation is restricted by a shortage of liver donors, with surgery and ablation currently being the mainstay of treatment. The tumor recurrence rate is high following liver resection or tumor ablation. Postoperative chemoprevention with vitamin E or vitamin K2 and intervention with 131I are used to reduce tumor recurrence following curative treatment (2); however, there is currently no widely accepted effective adjuvant therapy.

Recurrence may be classified as early, with 1 (3) or 2 years (4) as the cut-off point, or late recurrence (5). Early recurrence may be associated with dissemination of the primary HCC, whereas late recurrence mainly results from de novo tumors arising from the ‘field effect’ in the diseased liver (6,7). Variables associated with metastasis are associated with early recurrence, whereas those associated with increased carcinogenesis contribute to late recurrence (8). Antitumor treatment may be important in reducing early recurrence, whereas pre- and postoperative antiviral and anti-inflammatory treatments may be crucial in reducing both early and late recurrence (9). The recurrence patterns differ between hepatitis B virus (HBV) associated with HCC (HBV-HCC) and hepatitis C virus (HCV) associated with HCC (HCV-HCC) cases. HBV-HCC tends to involve more early recurrence due to micrometastases not identified during surgery and HCV-HCC...
tends to involve multicentric late recurrence arising from the cirrhotic liver. The mechanisms of carcinogenesis also differ between HBV-HCC and HCV-HCC; in HBV patients, HCC may occur without cirrhosis, whereas cirrhosis is consistently present in patients with HCV-HCC (10). Therefore, multistep and multicentric HCC develops most frequently in patients with HCV infection (11).

Interferons (IFNs) are cytokines possessing a variety of biological properties, including antiviral, immunomodulatory, antiproliferative and angiogenic effects (12,13). IFN is effective in suppressing the replication of HBV and was the first agent to be approved for the treatment of chronic HBV infection (13). IFN has long been used for HCV infection and has effectively decreased progression to liver cirrhosis and development of HCC (14). However, the use of IFN following curative treatment for HCC was not found to be consistently effective and IFN is not considered as the standard adjuvant treatment for HCC following curative treatment. Previous meta-analyses demonstrated that IFN decreased disease recurrence and mortality and prolonged recurrence-free survival (15-17); however, no study has compared the different efficacies of IFN in HBV-HCC and HCV-HCC. The present study focuses on the effect of adjuvant IFN therapy on early and late recurrence of HCC with different hepatitis backgrounds.

Materials and methods

Databases and searches. An electronic search was conducted through Medline, Embase, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (Central) and the Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effectiveness (DARE). The search was limited to the period between January, 1998 and June, 2013, as IFN had not been approved for the treatment of viral hepatitis prior to the late 1990s. ‘Hepatocellular carcinoma’, ‘interferon’, ‘adjuvant’, ‘resection and ablation’ and ‘recurrence’ were used as keywords. All the included studies were also entered in the PubMed ‘related articles’ application and the Science Citation Index to cross-search for similarly indexed studies. Additional studies were identified from article citations.

Data extraction and synthesis. The literature search, data extraction, evaluation and summary were performed independently. Any disagreement was resolved through discussion. The primary efficacy indicator was mortality rate between the IFN treatment and the control groups, whereas the second indicator was recurrence rate, including early and late recurrence. Data regarding the following potential prognostic factors, if available, were extracted from the published reports: patient age, etiology of underlying liver disease (HBV or HCV), types of curative therapy (surgery or ablation), tumor characteristics, liver cirrhosis and type, dosage and duration of IFN treatment, including cumulative dosage and average dosage per week. We used early and late recurrence to evaluate the effect of IFN on the tumor or the liver. Since early recurrence was defined as 1 or 2 years postoperatively, we analyzed both 1- and 2-year recurrence; late recurrence was defined as recurrence occurring after 2 years. As HCC mainly develops in hepatitis virus-infected liver, we performed a comparison between studies that enrolled HBV-HCC and those that enrolled HCV-HCC patients.

Figure 1. Study flowchart of the data extraction process and selection of studies for meta-analysis. IFN, interferon; RBV, ribavirin; FU, fluorouracil.

Statistical analysis. Meta-analyses were conducted using the fixed-effects and random-effects models and treatment effects were expressed as risk ratios (RRs) with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs). If the fixed- and random-effects models produced the same result, only the fixed-effects estimate was reported. Heterogeneity was assessed using the $\chi^2$ statistic and the proportion of variation attributable to heterogeneity was expressed as $I^2$. In the presence of significant heterogeneity ($P<0.05$ for $\chi^2$), defined sources of heterogeneity were used, which included the type of IFN (α or β), type of viral hepatitis (HCV or HBV) and other factors. All the analyses were conducted with Review Manager 5.2 software (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK).

Results

Study selection and characteristics. The data extraction process and the selection of studies are outlined in Fig. 1. We also assessed all the systemic reviews on IFN as adjuvant therapy for HCC following curative treatment and studies that were not initially enrolled were added. Finally, we included 14 studies, namely 9 randomized controlled trials (RCT) and 5 case-control studies, including a total of 1,385 patients, comparing the recurrence and mortality rates of HCC following curative treatment, including resection (n=5), ablation (n=5), or resection plus ablation (n=4).

The characteristics of the studies are summarized in Tables I and II. The sample size for each study varied between 20 and 268 patients, with the median age varying between 49 and 68.5 years. IFN treatment included IFN-α-2a, IFN-α-2b, IFN-α-1b, IFN-β and pegylated IFN (PEG-IFN). The median tumor size varied between 1.5 and 5.7 cm and the proportion of multiple tumors varied between 0.0 and 43.8%. The incidence of vessel invasion varied between 19.7 and 76.2% and the proportion of cirrhosis varied between 47.0 and 100.0%. The median follow-up time was 25-85 months (Table II).
A total of 12 studies used IFNα (6 studies used IFNα-2b, 1 used IFNα-1b and 5 used what was only referred to as IFNα), 1 study used IFNβ, 2 used PEG-IFNα-2a and 1 used PEG-IFNα-2b. The schedules of IFN administration were also distinct, ranging between 1 and 3 times per week. The cumulative dosage of IFN varied between 104 and 1,440 million units (MU), the duration of IFN treatment varied from 16 to 104 weeks and some studies used IFN without discontinuation. The average dosage per week varied between 4 and 90 MU (Table I).

**IFN regimen.** A total of 12 studies used IFNα (6 studies used IFNα-2b, 1 used IFNα-1b and 5 used what was only referred to as IFNα), 1 study used IFNβ, 2 used PEG-IFNα-2a and 1 used PEG-IFNα-2b. The schedules of IFN administration were also distinct, ranging between 1 and 3 times per week. The cumulative dosage of IFN varied between 104 and 1,440 million units (MU), the duration of IFN treatment varied from 16 to 104 weeks and some studies used IFN without discontinuation. The average dosage per week varied between 4 and 90 MU (Table I).

**Treatment efficacy.** In all the studies investigating HBV-HCC and HCV-HCC, we analyzed mortality rates and 1- and 2-year recurrence rates. For mortality rate analysis, data from one study were unavailable; therefore, a total of 14 studies with 1,385 patients were finally included. IFN treatment significantly reduced the risk of mortality (pooled RR=0.60; 95% CI: 0.50-0.71; P<0.00001) (Fig. 2A). The statistical heterogeneity (I²=54%; P=0.01 for χ²) may be partly explained by the study of Chen et al (19), which is the only study reporting that IFN did not reduce the mortality rate for HCC. When this study was excluded from the analysis, the heterogeneity was not statistically significant (I²=36%; P=0.10 for χ²) (data not shown) and the efficacy of IFN was similar among studies.

HCC recurrence was classified as early and late, with the cut-off point for early recurrence varying between 1 and 2 years. We analyzed early (1- and 2-year) and late recurrence rates of HCC.
A total of 14 studies, including 1,385 patients, were used for the assessment of early and late recurrence and IFN treatment was found to significantly reduce the risk of 1-year recurrence (pooled RR=0.76; 95% CI: 0.62-0.92; P=0.005). The heterogeneity was not significant (I²=3%; P=0.42 for χ²) (Fig. 2B). IFN treatment significantly reduced the risk of 2-year recurrence (pooled RR=0.77; 95% CI: 0.68-0.87; P<0.0001) and the heterogeneity was not statistically significant (I²=33%; P=0.05 for χ²) (Fig. 2C).

IFN treatment did not significantly reduce the risk of late recurrence (recurrence >2 years; pooled RR=0.91; 95% CI: 0.71-1.17; P=0.45). The heterogeneity was marginally statistically significant (I²=35%; P=0.09 for χ²) (Fig. 2D).

Subgroup analysis according to type of hepatitis virus. As HBV-HCC and HCV-HCC exhibited different characteristics in the carcinogenesis of HCC, we performed a subgroup analysis according to different types of hepatitis. In studies that enrolled only patients with HCV-HCC, IFN reduced the mortality and recurrence rates, including both early and late recurrence (Fig. 3). IFN reduced mortality rate (pooled RR=0.44; 95% CI: 0.34-0.58; P<0.00001) and the heterogeneity was not significant (I²=48%; P=0.05 for χ²) (Fig. 3A). IFN also decreased 1-year early recurrence rate (pooled RR=0.69; 95% CI: 0.51-0.93; P=0.02) (Fig. 3B) and 2-year recurrence rate (pooled RR=0.69; 95% CI: 0.58-0.82; P<0.0001) (Fig. 3C). Moreover, late recurrence rate was also significantly decreased (pooled RR=0.68; 95% CI: 0.49-0.94; P=0.02) (Fig. 3D).

In studies enrolling only patients with HBV-HCC, IFN only reduced the mortality rate (pooled RR=0.79; 95% CI: 0.63-0.98; P=0.03). However, early recurrence rates were not significantly reduced at 1-year (pooled RR=0.82; 95% CI: 0.64-1.05; P=0.12) or at 2-years (pooled RR=0.89; 95% CI: 0.74-1.08; P=0.24). Additionally, the late recurrence rate was not reduced with IFN treatment (pooled RR=1.45; 95% CI: 0.96-2.20; P=0.08) (Fig. 4).
We further compared the clinicopathological data for HBV-HCC and HCV-HCC patients and found that patients with HBV-HCC had larger tumors, a higher proportion of single tumors and more tumors with vascular invasion; however, these patients had less severe liver cirrhosis, indicating that HBV-HCC was more aggressive and that liver cirrhosis in...
HBV-HCC was less severe compared to that in HCV-HCC (Table III).

Since IFN did not reduce the recurrence rate in HBV-HCC, we performed further analyses of individual studies and found that in the study by Lo et al (13), IFN significantly reduced the recurrence rate in patients with TNM stage III/IVA, indicating the direct antitumor effect of IFN. In the study by Sun et al (18), IFN significantly prolonged disease-free survival, although the tumor recurrence rate was not decreased. However, in the study by Chen et al (19), IFN neither prolonged survival nor reduced the mortality or recurrence rates, which may be attributable to the obvious bias in that study, with the IFN group exhibiting...
more unfavorable tumor characteristics, such as larger tumors (3.5 vs. 3.0 cm), multiple tumors (21.5 vs. 14.8%) and high incidence of microvascular invasion (30.8 vs. 24.4%). Liver traits, such as hepatitis activity, were also more severe in the IFN group (hepatitis activity, 63.9% vs. 51.1%). However, information regarding TNM staging for both groups was unavailable, making subset analysis of TNM staging impossible.

Second recurrence and prognosis of patients with recurrent tumors. The reduction in mortality rate by IFN is associated with its inhibition of recurrence; a number of studies have reported that recurrent tumors after adjuvant IFN therapy are more likely to receive potentially curative treatment. Jeong et al. (20) demonstrated that the second recurrence rate was significantly lower in the IFN compared to that in the non-IFN group.

Table III. Comparison of clinicopathological characteristics between HBV-HCC and HCV-HCC cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>HBV-HCCa, median (range)</th>
<th>HCV-HCCb, median (range)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (cm)</td>
<td>IFN</td>
<td>3.9 (2.5-5.5)</td>
<td>2 (1.5-3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>3.95 (2.1-5.7)</td>
<td>2.1 (1.5-2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple tumors (%)</td>
<td>IFN</td>
<td>17.5 (17-21.5)</td>
<td>27.2 (10-43.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>15.9 (12.7-27)</td>
<td>25.7 (10-37.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vascular invasion (%)</td>
<td>IFN</td>
<td>48 (30.8-76.2)</td>
<td>19.7b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>35 (24.4-75.4)</td>
<td>23b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhosis (%)</td>
<td>IFN</td>
<td>53.8 (48-83)</td>
<td>90 (47-100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>83 (44.2-88.1)</td>
<td>90 (53-100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Patients with HBV-HCC had larger tumors, less multiple tumors, more tumors with vascular invasion and less severe liver cirrhosis. 5 Only the study by Mazzaferro et al (4) was included because information regarding vascular invasion in other studies was unavailable. HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; HCV, hepatitis C virus; IFN, interferon.

Figure 4. Forest plot of the 3 studies on adjuvant interferon therapy for hepatitis B virus associated with hepatocellular carcinoma patients following curative therapy. (A) overall mortality; (B) 1-year early recurrence; (C) 2-year early recurrence; (D) late recurrence. CI, confidence interval.
Kudo et al (21) also demonstrated that, in IFN-treated patients, all the recurrent tumors were single and <2.0 cm in diameter and could be completely retreated by radiofrequency ablation in all the cases. However, in the control group, recurrent tumors tended to be multiple and the majority were incurable by local therapy. Kubo et al (22) reported that recurrent tumors in the IFN group were mostly single, but in the control group multiple tumors were more common. Sun et al (18) demonstrated that the median overall survival rate of patients with tumor recurrence was longer in the IFNα compared to that in the control group.

Bias analysis. One study used IFNβ, whereas other studies exclusively used IFNα, including IFNα in 6, IFNα-2b in 6, IFNα-2a in 2, IFNα-1b in 1 and PEG-IFNα in 2 studies. The cumulative dosage varied between 104 and 1,440 MU, the duration of the IFN treatment varied from 4 months to 2 years. We also calculated dosage per week, which varied 4-90 MU/week. The withdrawal rate varied between 0 and 17.1% and adverse effects were common in the IFN group. We did not analyze side effects, due to the heterogeneity of the data. In the study by Mazzaferrro et al (4), only 28 of the 76 patients in the IFN group were adherent to the protocol according to definition, reflecting poor compliance.

Other systemic reviews or meta-analyses. Breitenstein et al (23) reported that IFN significantly reduced the 2-year mortality rate following curative treatment of HCC (pooled RR=0.65; 95% CI: 0.52-0.80; P<0.001) in the absence of any significant heterogeneity (I²=0%; P=0.823 for χ²). The effect on reduction of tumor recurrence was less pronounced, but still significant (pooled RR=0.86; 95% CI: 0.76-0.97; P=0.013). Zhang et al (24) reported that IFN significantly reduced early recurrence (1-1.5 years after surgery) and 1-year survival. Zhong et al (2) reported that IFN significantly reduced 2-year recurrence and 2-year overall survival. Shen et al (16) demonstrated that adjuvant IFN prolonged 1-, 2- and 3-year recurrence-free survival rates of patients with HCC. However, all the meta-analyses included patients with HBV-HCC and patients with HCV-HCC and stratification according to different types of hepatitis was lacking.

Discussion

The present study systematically reviewed the published RCTs and cohort studies on adjuvant IFN therapy for HCC and found that IFN significantly reduced the mortality and early recurrence rates (1- and 2-year) of HCC following curative treatment; however, IFN treatment did not reduce late (>2 years) recurrence. Furthermore, the effect of IFN on HCC with a different hepatitis background varied. In HCV-HCC cases, IFN reduced the mortality and recurrence rates, including both early and late recurrence. However, in HBV-HCC cases, IFN only reduced the mortality rate, whereas neither early nor late recurrence rates were reduced. Further analysis demonstrated that tumors associated with HBV-HCC were more aggressive compared to tumors associated with HCV-HCC and HCV-HCC was associated with more severe cirrhosis compared to HBV-HCC.

The effects of IFN on HCC are affected by several factors, including antitumor, antiviral, antiangiogenic and modulatory inflammatory factors or hepatic stellate cells in the tumor microenvironment (12,23). IFN is currently the standard therapy for HCV infection and has also been proven to inhibit HCC development in HCV patients through clearance of HCV RNA, improving the degree of inflammation and preventing worsening of compensated cirrhosis (25). However, the preferred treatment option for the majority of patients with HBV infection is not IFN, but rather nucleotide/nucleoside analogs (NA). A previous meta-analysis demonstrated that NA treatment achieved a more profound reduction in HCC risk compared to IFN, which produced only a modest effect (78 vs. 34%, respectively) (26). Since a high viral load is the most important factor leading to cirrhosis and cancer development in the liver, the more effective reduction in HCC risk may be associated with the more profound effects of viral suppression with oral antiviral agents compared to IFN.

IFN in HCV-HCC reduced both early and late recurrence. IFN reduced early recurrence by directly inhibiting tumor metastasis. Ikeda et al (27) found that IFNα significantly reduced early recurrence of pure HCV-HCC through a direct antitumor effect. Both IFNα and IFNβ are type I IFNs, share the same IFN receptors and have several similar biological functions, although the direct antitumor effect of IFNα is weaker. However, the efficacy of IFN in reducing early recurrence of HCV-HCC may also be associated with its antiviral and anti-inflammatory effects, as microenvironmental factors (28) have been found to contribute to tumor micrometastasis and IFN may reduce early recurrence by improving the microenvironment. IFN improves liver function and relieves liver cirrhosis, which lead to a decrease in late recurrence. IFN was found to reduce transaminase levels and to improve liver function and liver cirrhosis. In the present study, liver cirrhosis was more severe in HCV-HCC compared to that in HBV-HCC patients. The benefits of antiviral therapy for reducing late recurrence of HCC have already been accepted by several investigators, as there are data suggesting that antiviral therapy may modulate the pro-oncogenic field effect in the liver. Kubo et al (22) reported increased albumin and decreased alanine transaminase and total bilirubin levels in IFN-treated patients, indicating improved liver function. In the study by Mazzaferrro et al (4), early (within 2 years) and late recurrences were analyzed separately and the only significant result was observed for late recurrences among HCV-pure patients (P=0.032). Huang et al (29) proved the feasibility of PEG-IFN/ribavirin therapy with current treatment guidelines in chronic HCV patients after successful eradication of HCC.

IFN did not reduce recurrence rates in HBV-HCC for two main reasons. First, IFN is not the preferred antiviral treatment option for the majority of patients with HBV infection. High viral load is recognized as one of the key risk factors for HBV-HCC recurrence following resection (30) and antiviral treatment with NA has been proven to significantly decrease early recurrence of HBV-HCC (31). Since early HBV-DNA suppression with antiviral treatment improved the prognosis of patients with HBV-HCC (32) and since IFN has been reported to be more effective in responders compared to non-responders, it is important to monitor serum HBV DNA levels prior to and following surgery and/or ablation of HCC and to evaluate its association with recurrence. IFN plus NA administered in an adjuvant setting may be more promising.
Second, there may be subgroups of patients who are sensitive to IFN therapy. Evidence has demonstrated that IFN exerts direct antitumor effects. IFNα/β exerted direct antitumor effects on HBV-HCC independent of hepatitis status and liver inflammation (33,34). Lo et al (13) reported that IFN was more effective in TNM stage III/IVA patients, indicating that IFN exerts direct antitumor effects. Moreover, Qian et al (35) reported that IFN only reduced tumor recurrence in patients with P48-positive tumors. More recently, Ji et al (36) observed that patients whose tumors exhibited low miR-26 expression had shorter overall survival, but a better response to IFN therapy compared to patients whose tumors exhibited a high expression of miR-26. Therefore, personalized treatment should be administered to HBV-HCC patients according to specific tumor markers, such as P48 or miR-26.

Subset analyses of individual studies suggested that IFN should be administered for longer periods of time, as it may not only reduce recurrence rates, but also increase the possibility of receiving curative treatment after tumor recurrence. In the study by Sun et al (18), during the first 18 months after resection (the planned duration for IFNα treatment), the recurrence rate in the control group was higher compared to that in the IFNα treatment group [49.2% (58/118) vs. 36.4% (43/118); P=0.0485 for χ2], but the recurrence rates after the first 18 months were not significantly different between the two groups [23.2% (13/56) vs. 32.9% (24/73); P=0.2292 for χ2].

Lo et al (13) used high-dose IFN for 6 months after surgery and recorded 10 recurrence events in the control group and 3 recurrence events in the IFN group during 6 months of treatment, 12 recurrence events in the control group during 12 months of treatment and 9 recurrence events in the IFN group after 12 months of treatment. Thereafter, after another 6 months, there were 12 recurrence events in the control and 12 recurrence events in the IFN group, indicating that IFN should be administered over a longer period of time.

This study has several limitations. The included studies used surgery, ablation or surgery and ablation. Furthermore, there were only a few RCTs, they were all from a single center instead of multiple centers and most of the published studies had small sample sizes. The types of IFN and IFN regimens used in the published studies varied widely regarding total dosage, average dosage per week and treatment duration. Long-term IFN, such as PEG-IFN, as well as short-term IFN, were used and certain studies used IFNα or IFNβ or IFNα-1b and IFNα-2b. Further clinical trials should use a standardized IFN regimen to yield more comparable results. Other limitations are that the side effects of IFN were not investigated and the dropout ratio was not described. The side-effects of IFNα are dose-dependent and may be severe. Lo et al (13) reported that minor influenza-like side effects were so frequent that double blinding in their study was not possible. In the study by Mazzaferro et al (4), the withdrawal rate was extremely high, which may be the reason for its negative results. In addition, the quantification and monitoring of the serum levels of HBV DNA and HCV RNA were unavailable in the majority of the studies and we were unable to evaluate the association between viral inhibition and the efficacy of IFN. Finally, study-related bias was significant in certain studies.

In conclusion, our study demonstrated that the effect of adjuvant IFN following curative treatment for HCC differed between HBV-HCC and HCV-HCC; therefore, different strategies with IFN in an adjuvant setting should be used to treat HCCs with different hepatitis backgrounds. For HCV-HCC, IFN with an additional antiviral treatment, such as ribavirin, may be promising. However, in HBV-HCC, individualized therapy according to specific molecular markers may be necessary prior to IFN treatment, while antiviral drugs combinations may be required for patients with high viral loads.
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