Osmotic stress decreases aquaporin-4 expression in the human retinal pigment epithelial cell line, ARPE-19
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Abstract. The regulation of water movement is of utmost importance for normal retinal function. Under physiological conditions, water is transported, dependent on the osmotic gradient, through the retinal pigment epithelial cell layer from the subretinal space to the choroid. The osmotic gradient has been found to be modified in eye diseases, thus leading to water accumulation in the subretinal space and the sensory retina, and subsequently contributing to the formation of macular oedema. Understanding the regulation of aquaporin expression is therefore crucial. In this study, we investigated the effects of hyperosmolarity on aquaporin-4 (AQP4) protein expression in the human retinal pigment epithelial cell line, ARPE-19. AQP4 expression was examined by PCR, western blot analysis and immunofluorescence. Ubiquitinylation was examined by immunoprecipitation. The results revealed that hyperosmotic stress rapidly decreased AQP4 expression in the ARPE-19 cells. The effect remained unmodified by lysosomal or mitogen-activated protein kinase inhibitors, but was reversed by proteasome inhibitors. However, no ubiquitinylation of AQP4 was detected. Our results suggest that hyperosmotic stress markedly reduces AQP4 expression possibly through a proteasome ubiquitinylation-independent pathway. This may represent an adaptation to hyperosmotic stress. The results presented in this study contribute to our understanding of the formation of macular oedema.

Introduction

The blood-retinal barrier (BRB) regulates water, solutes and ion fluxes in the retina. The inner BRB is mainly the result of the isolation of the retina by the tight junctions of retinal endothelial cells (1,2). Müller cell extensions surrounding retinal blood vessels contribute to the tightness of the inner BRB. The outer BRB relies on retinal pigment epithelial (RPE) cell tight junctions, which impede paracellular flow, and ionic pumps and channels that create a transepithelial osmotic gradient. Water follows down this gradient and flows from the subretinal space to the choroid through RPE cells (3). The exact mechanisms through which water penetrates RPE cells remain elusive. Aquaporins (AQPs), the water-specific membrane channels, may be responsible for this function (4,5). Hence, it has been reported that human RPE cells express, among several AQPs (6,7), aquaporin-4 (AQP4) (6). AQP4 is abundantly expressed in the brain and is involved in the physiopathological mechanisms leading to brain oedema (8,9). On the other hand, in the inner BRB, AQP4 expression in Müller cells is associated with water transport (1,10,11).

Fluid accumulation into the retina leads to the formation of macular oedema, a hallmark of severe retinal diseases and one of the leading causes of central vision loss in developed countries. It has been demonstrated that macular oedema results in the disruption of the BRB, leading to the accumulation of proteins and solutes close to the RPE layer, thus increasing hyperosmolarity, leading to the accumulation of water into the retina (12,13). It is therefore postulated that RPE cells undergo hyperosmotic stress during macular oedema.

Hyperosmolarity modulates AQP expression in various cell types. Hence, it increases the expression of several AQPs, such as AQP1 (14-16), AQP2 (16-18), AQP3 (19,20), AQP4 (21), AQP5 (22-27) and AQP9 (21), and induces AQP4 downregulation during the formation of oedema in the brain cortex (28). Several mechanisms account for these changes in AQP expression, including the simultaneous activation of the three main mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPKs), ERK, p38 kinase and JNK, as well as the activation of transcription factors (27,29). Several AQP proteins are also degraded through lysosomal or proteasome pathways (30,31).

As RPE cells are likely to undergo hyperosmotic stress during macular oedema and AQP4 is expressed in human RPE cells, in this study, we investigated the effects of hyperosmolarity on AQP4 protein expression in the human retinal pigment epithelial cell line, ARPE-19.
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Materials and methods

Reagents and antibodies. Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM; 4.5 g/l glucose), streptomycin/penicillin and fetal bovine serum were obtained from Invitrogen Life Technologies (Carlsbad, CA, USA), MG132, chloroquine, calpain and calpeptin were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA). Anti-phospho p38 and anti-ubiquitin antibodies were from Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (Danvers, MA, USA) and Pierce Biotechnology, Inc. (Rockford, IL, USA), respectively. Antibodies against glucose transporter 1 (Glut1) were from Millipore (Billerica, MA, USA). SB203580, SB202474 and anisomycin were from EDM-Millipore.

Cell culture. Human ARPE-19 cells were grown in DMEM/HAM-F12 medium containing 10% foetal calf serum, 100 UI/ml streptomycin-penicillin and 4 mM glutamine, and were passaged twice a week. The cells were treated for different periods of time (10, 30 and 60 min) with a control medium or a hyperosmotic medium containing an additional 200 mM NaCl or 400 mM sucrose (osmotic stress). In some experiments, the ARPE-19 cells were pre-treated for 1 h in the absence or presence of inhibitors of lysosomes, the proteasome, p38 kinase, MAPK/ERK kinase or activator of p38 kinase.

RT-PCR. Total RNA from the ARPE-19 cells was extracted using the Aurum™ total RNA kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and verified for its quality using the Experion automated electrophoresis system (Bio-Rad). The RNA was then reverse transcribed into cDNA using a RevertAid™ first-strand cDNA synthesis kit (Fermentas, St. Leon-Rot, Germany). The primers used for the amplification of human AQP4 cDNA were 5'-GGAATTTCTGGCCATGCTTA-3' and 5'-GAGACTTTGGCGATGCTGATCT-3' and for β-actin cDNA were 5'-TGACGGGGTCACCCACACTGTGCCCCTC-3' and 5'-CTAGAAAGCATGACGGGGTC-3' (amplimers, 226 and 661 bp). The PCR reactions were performed in 20 µl reaction volume containing 1 µl of cDNA, 0.5 U GoTaq DNA polymerase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), 0.2 mM dNTP, 0.5 µM of each primer and 4 µl GoTaq Green buffer using the iCycler Thermocycler (Bio-Rad). PCR conditions were 94°C for 3 min followed by 35 cycles of 30 sec at 95°C, 30 sec at 57°C and 1 min at 72°C. PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis in a 1.5% agarose gel. Direct sequencing of the AQP4 PCR was performed.

Isolation of polyubiquitinated proteins. Polyubiquitinated proteins were isolated from total protein from ARPE-19 cells (see below) using an ubiquitin enrichment kit (Pierce) following the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 150 µg of total protein from the ARPE-19 cells were subjected to a high binding affinity resin allowing the binding of polyubiquitinated proteins. The polyubiquitinated proteins were then eluted and subjected to western blot analysis using either anti-ubiquitin or anti-AQP4 antibodies.

Western blot analysis. Crude plasma membrane protein or total protein from the ARPE-19 cells was analyzed by SDS-PAGE in the presence of 5% β-mercaptoethanol using 12% polyacrylamide gels. For crude plasma membrane protein preparation, 2 ml of 1 mM NaHCO₃ containing a protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete EDTA free; Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) was added to a 910 cm plate of ARPE-19 cells prior to harvest and immediate freezing in liquid nitrogen. The disrupted cells were subjected to a 10-min centrifugation at 1,250 x g at 4°C; the supernatant was subjected to a further 20-min centrifugation at 25,000 x g at 4°C, and the pellet was resuspended in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, containing protease inhibitors (Complete EDTA free; Roche Diagnostics GmbH). For total protein preparation, the cells were washed with calcium- and magnesium-free PBS and lysed in 50 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 0.5% NP-40, 50 mM NaF, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate, and dithiothreitol and protease inhibitors (complete EDTA free, Roche Diagnostics GmbH). The proteins were transferred onto PVDF membranes and immunolabeled using specific antibodies against AQP4 (see above, dilution, 1:1,000), Glut1 (1:100,000; used as a loading control), anti-phospho p38 (dilution, 1:1,000), anti-ubiquitin (dilution, 1:7,500). Bound antibodies were detected using the ECL chemiluminescence method (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, UK). The AQP4 antibody, obtained from rabbit immunization using a synthetic peptide corresponding to amino acids 301-318 of mouse AQP4 (89% identity to the human AQP4 sequence), was affinity purified, and its specificity was verified.

Immunofluorescence. The ARPE-19 cells were grown on gelatinized glass covers, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PAF), permeabilized with 100% methanol for 10 min at 4°C, then successively incubated with 10% horse serum for 60 min, primary antibodies [anti-AQP4 and anti-pan-cytokeratin (Sigma-Aldrich)] overnight at 4°C, biotinylated anti-rabbit IgG (1/200; GE Healthcare) and streptavidin-cyanine 2 (1/300; Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA, USA) and/or anti-mouse IgG coupled to cyanine 3 for 60 min. The cells were mounted using ProLong Gold Antifade reagent with DAPI (Invitrogen Life Technologies). For the negative controls, the primary antibody was omitted. Images were acquired using an AxioCam MRB fluorescent microscope using a x40 objective (Carl Zeiss, Jena, Germany).

Results

Expression of AQP4 in ARPE-19 cells. AQP4 was expressed in the ARPE-19 cells. RT-PCR of AQP4 and β-actin using human kidney cDNA as a positive control and ARPE-19 cell cDNA revealed a unique amplicon of expected size, i.e., 225 and 660 bp, respectively, while no amplicons were detected in the negative non-target control (Fig. 1A). Western blot analysis of the crude plasma membrane proteins of human kidney, used as a positive control, and of the ARPE-19 cells revealed the presence of AQP4 protein (Fig. 1B). AQP4 was detected by immunofluorescence in pancytokeratin-positive ARPE-19 cells (Fig. 1C).

Decreased AQP4 expression observed in response to osmotic stress. As early as 10 min following hyperosmotic stimulation with 200 mM NaCl or 400 mM sucrose, membrane AQP4 protein expression was markedly decreased and seemed to reach its minimum 30-60 min post-stimulation (Fig. 2). The expression of Glut1, used a loading control, was not modified by the hyperosmotic stimulations (Fig. 2).
Degradative pathways are involved in the decrease in AQP4 expression following exposure to osmotic stress. Pre-incubation of the cells with the lysosomal inhibitor, chloroquine (100 µM), or the calpain (a non-lysosomal cysteine protease) inhibitor, calpeptin (50 µM), had no effect on the decrease in total AQP4 protein expression induced by 4 h of exposure to osmotic stress (Fig. 3A). By contrast, pre-treatment of the cells with the proteasome inhibitor, MG132 (10 µM), prior to 4 h of exposure to osmotic stress, markedly restored AQP4 protein expression under both NaCl and sucrose stimulation (Fig. 3B). The expression of Glut1, used as a loading control, was not modified under these conditions (Fig. 3A and B). Therefore, the proteasome is likely to be involved in AQP4 degradation.

Ubiquitinylation is not likely to be involved in AQP4 degradation by the proteasome. Following the exposure of the ARPE-19 cells to osmotic stress, polyubiquitinated proteins, purified from total ARPE-19 cell proteins, were subjected to western blot analysis using anti-ubiquitin and anti-AQP4 antibodies. The use of anti-ubiquitin antibodies revealed a diffuse pattern of bands that increased in the presence of MG132 as compared to its absence in both the unstimulated (control) and stimulated (NaCl and sucrose) ARPE-19 cells. The accumulation of ubiquitinylated proteins observed in the presence of MG132 indicated that it effectively inhibited the proteasome. The use of anti-AQP4 antibodies did not reveal any diffuse pattern of bands in the absence or presence of MG132 in both...
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The unstimulated (control) and stimulated (NaCl and sucrose) ARPE-19 cells (Fig. 4).

*p38 activation following exposure to osmotic stress.* In response to 4 h of exposure to osmotic stress (200 mM NaCl and 400 mM sucrose), the MAPK pathway was activated in the ARPE-19 cells, as revealed by the detection of p38 activation using an anti-phospho p38 antibody specifically recognizing the active double-phosphorylated protein (Fig. 5A). However, 1 µM SB203580, a p38 kinase inhibitor and 1 µM SB202474 (an inactive analog of SB203580), as well as 10 µM UO126, an inhibitor of MAPK/ERK kinase, had no effect on the decrease in AQP4 expression following exposure to osmotic stress (Fig. 5B and C). Furthermore, the presence of a p38 activator (10 µg/ml anisomycin) for 4 h had no effect on AQP4 expression in ARPE-19 cells incubated under the control conditions (Fig. 5B).

Discussion

Tightly regulated water movements through RPE cells are required for normal retinal function. Under several pathophysiological situations, the osmotic gradient is modified and water consequently accumulates in the subretinal space and the sensory retina, leading to the formation of macular oedema.

Although it is accepted that water passes through RPE cells, the exact molecular mechanisms responsible for this flux remain elusive. As AQPs are recognized water channels, it seems likely that the expression of AQPs in RPE cells may play an important role in this phenomenon. Human RPE cells appear to express several AQPs (6,7), including AQP4 (6). These data prompted us to investigate the expression of AQP4 and its regulation following hyperosmotic stress stimulation in the human ARPE-19 cell line. We found that the ARPE-19 cells indeed expressed AQP4 at the mRNA and protein level.

Based on the fact that osmotic pressure is the main force involved in water movement, we hypothesized that osmotic stress may affect AQP4 expression in ARPE-19 cells. Indeed, upon hyperosmotic stress stimulation, we observed a rapid decrease in AQP4 expression that was found to be more pronounced following stimulation with sucrose than with NaCl. Since an inhibitor of the proteasome, MG132, blocked AQP4 degradation upon hyperosmotic stress, it is likely that this degradation occurs through the proteasome.

Despite their possible exposure to extreme hyperosmotic stress, cells can survive and function owing to protective adaptation, including the accumulation of large amounts of organic osmolytes that normalize cell volume and intracellular ionic...
strength. In RPE cells, it has been shown that hyperosmolarity regulates aldose reductase activity, and taurine transporter expression and function (32,33).

In other tissues and cells, hyperosmolarity has generally been reported to increase the expression of several AQPs (14-20,22-29,23,34). By contrast, in ARPE-19 cells, a decreased AQP4 protein expression was observed in response to the hyperosmotic stress induced by 200 mM NaCl and 400 mM sucrose using both membrane protein (Fig. 2) and total protein (Fig. 3). Therefore, the reduced membrane AQP4 expression is unlikely due to the decreased insertion of AQP4 into the membrane. Our results are in agreement with those of a previous study, which demonstrated a decrease in AQP4 expression observed in response to hyperosmotic stress during oedema formation in the contusional brain cortex (28).

The activation of p38 (21) or ERK (24) has been considered to be essential for the induction of adaptive responses to osmotic stress (35) and the increased expression of several AQPs in response to hyperosmotic stress. However, despite the simultaneous activation of the three MAPKs (ERK, p38 kinase and JNK) (14,18), these mechanisms do not seem to account for the decreased AQP4 protein expression in response to hyperosmotic stress in ARPE-19 cells. Indeed, our data demonstrated that the pre-incubation of ARPE-19 cells with inhibitors of these kinases did not affect the decrease in AQP4 protein expression in response to the subsequent hyperosmotic stress.

Some AQP proteins have also been shown to be the target for proteolysis through lysosomal degradation (16,30,31,36-38). However, in our study, the lysosomal pathway did not appear to participate in the protein degradation of AQP4 in ARPE-19 cells subjected to hyperosmotic stress. Indeed, the lysosomal protease inhibitors, calpeptin and chloroquine, had no effect on the decrease in AQP4 protein levels observed in response to hyperosmotic stress.

The ubiquitinylation (39-42) and proteasomal degradation (16,31,36) of several AQPs has been previously described. The proteasomal pathway may participate in the protein degradation of AQP4 in ARPE-19 cells subjected to hyperosmotic stress, as MG132, an inhibitor of the proteasome, prevented the decrease in the AQP4 protein level in response to hyperosmotic stress. Our data are in agreement with those of a previous study which demonstrated the presence of an active ubiquitin-dependent proteasomal pathway in ARPE-19 cells subjected to hyperosmotic stress. Indeed, the lysosomal protease inhibitors, calpeptin and chloroquine, had no effect on the decrease in AQP4 protein levels observed in response to hyperosmotic stress.

The ubiquitinylation (39-42) and proteasomal degradation (16,31,36) of several AQPs has been previously described. The proteasomal pathway may participate in the protein degradation of AQP4 in ARPE-19 cells subjected to hyperosmotic stress, as MG132, an inhibitor of the proteasome, prevented the decrease in the AQP4 protein level in response to hyperosmotic stress. Our data are in agreement with those of a previous study which demonstrated the presence of an active ubiquitin-dependent proteasomal pathway in ARPE-19 cells subjected to hyperosmotic stress. Indeed, the lysosomal protease inhibitors, calpeptin and chloroquine, had no effect on the decrease in AQP4 protein levels observed in response to hyperosmotic stress.

The decreased AQP4 protein expression observed in the ARPE-19 cells under osmotic stress may reflect one of the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms occurring during the formation of macular oedema and/or a protective/adaptive mechanism in response to the hyperosmotic cellular stress occurring during the formation of macular oedema.

In conclusion, hyperosmotic stress in the ARPE-19 cells induced a marked decrease in AQP4 expression due to AQP4 protein degradation by the proteasome that is possibly independent of ubiquitinylation.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Fund for Medical Scientific Research (FRSM, Belgium; grant no. 3.4502.09) and the Funds for Research in Ophthalmology (FRO, Belgium). S.J. is a recipient of a Vésale Foundation Award; T.A. is a recipient of a FNRS fellowship (FNRS, Belgium).

References


20. Sugiyama Y, Ota Y, Hara M and Inoue S: Osmotic stress up-regulation of aquaporin-3 expression in cultured human kera-


37. Madrid R, Le Maout S, Barrault MB, Janvier K, Benichou S and Merot J: Polarized trafficking and surface expression of the AQP4 water channel are coordinated by serial and regulated inter-


47. de Nadal E, Alejuz PM and Posas F: Dealing with osmorestress through MAP kinase activation. EMBO Rep 3: 735-740, 2002.


