Involvement of neuronal pathways in the protective effects of hindlimb perconditioning during renal ischemia
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Abstract. Remote ischemic perconditioning (RPEC) is a therapeutic intervention that has been demonstrated to reduce renal ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury. However, the underlying renal protective mechanism remains unclear. The present study hypothesized that RPEC may utilize neural pathways to transfer the protective signal from the perconditioned hindlimb to the kidney. Following a right nephrectomy, rats were randomly allocated into five groups (n=6). The sham group underwent the surgical protocol only. In all other groups, the left renal pedicle was clamped for 45 min and reperfused for 24 h. The I/R control group then underwent 45 min ischemia and 24 h reperfusion (I/R) with no more intervention but the I/R-NR control group underwent the ischemia and reperfusion followed by left femoral nerve (FN) and sciatic nerve (SN) resection. The RPEC group underwent ischemia and reperfusion followed by four cycles of 5 min occlusions of the left femoral artery and 5 min reperfusion. Finally, the RPEC-NR group underwent ischemia and reperfusion followed by left FN and SN resection. Following 24 h, renal functional indices, plasma blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and creatinine (Cr) levels, urinary N-acetyl-β-glucosaminidase (NAG) release and histopathological changes were assessed. Compared with the sham group, ischemia and reperfusion in the sham and I/R control groups resulted in renal dysfunction, indicated by significantly increased levels of BUN and Cr. This was accompanied by increased urinary NAG activity and morphological damage observed in control groups. In the RPEC group, renal histology and function were significantly improved compared with the control groups. However, FN and SN resection eliminated the protection of the kidney, which was induced by RPEC. In conclusion, remote hindlimb ischemic perconditioning reduced renal I/R injury in the rat kidney in a manner that potentially involves a neural pathway.

Introduction

Renal ischemia/reperfusion (I/R) injury occurs following reperfusion of the ischemic kidney in a number of clinical scenarios, including kidney transplantation (1). Renal I/R injury presents as acute renal functional impairment and acute tubular necrosis. These events substantially contribute to renal-associated morbidity and mortality, following acute kidney injury (2).

A number of studies have assessed the innate protective mechanisms that attenuate the deleterious effects of I/R injury (3). The phenomenon of ischemic preconditioning (IPC) has been implicated to protect the kidney from ischemic injury, following studies that applied a short cycle of I/R to the kidney prior to a severe ischemic event (4). However, preconditioning has clinical limitations in the case of acute unpredicted ischemic scenarios (5). Remote perconditioning (RPEC) is defined as repeated periods of alternating ischemia and reperfusion in the limbs, applied at the beginning of renal ischemia (3). RPEC has previously been demonstrated to be a potentially useful method in the manipulation of innate renoprotection (6). The concept of RPEC is a clinically amenable strategy, in which the ischemic preconditioning cycles of I/R are applied to an organ other than the kidney and therefore, may be beneficial in unpredicted acute ischemic conditions. However, the mechanism of protection exerted by RPEC has not been fully established. Furthermore, the mechanism through which the renoprotective signal is conveyed, from the perconditioned limb to the kidney, remains unclear and has been ascribed to a neural or humoral pathway (3).

The activation of the complicated neural mechanisms may be caused by the release of different mediators such as adenosine (7) and bradykinin (8) from the ischemic conditioned remote organ during the cyclic sublethal I/R and stimulation of local neural components. It has been suggested
that these mediators, as possible triggers, are able to induce capsaicin-sensitive sensory neurons to release calcitonin gene related peptides. Thus, activating a sub-cellular cascade of kinases as a protective mechanism (9). Previous studies have indicated that manipulation of hindlimb innervations prior to induction of ischemic perconditioning treatment exerts an effect on perconditioning-induced renoprotection (10,11).

Our previous study was the first to report the protective effects of RPEC on the I/R injury of the kidney (12). The current study aimed to evaluate the effects of hindlimb ischemic perconditioning and thus, approve or disapprove the involvement of intact remote organ innervation in transduction of protective signals.

Materials and methods

Animals. A total of 30 Male Sprague-Dawley rats (weight, 220-270 g; age, 7-8 weeks) were housed under standard conditions (12-h light/dark cycle; 20-22°C) and had ad libitum access to water and standard pellet diet. Prior to surgery, anesthesia was administered by an intraperitoneal injection of 60 mg/kg sodium pentobarbital (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany). Systolic blood pressure and heart rate were recorded during the surgery using a tail cuff linked to a pulse transducer (MLT125/; ADInstruments, Inc., Sydney, Australia), connected to a PowerLab/4SP data acquisition system (software version 5; ADInstruments, Inc.). Animals that presented with hypotension (<60 mmHg) were excluded from the subsequent experiments; however, in the present study none of the animals showed hypotension. All procedures were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Bushehr University of Medical Sciences (Tehran, Iran).

Surgical procedure. Prior to renal ischemia induction, a nerve resection was completed in three groups of this study which is specified at the following section. The left femoral artery, femoral and sciatic nerves were separated from surrounding connective tissue. The femoral nerve was then separated from the femoral artery and vein at the proximal area near the groin. Renal ischemia was performed by occlusion of the renal pedicle with a bulldog clamp. RPEC protocol was induced by applying four cycles of 5 min ischemia and 5 min reperfusion of the left femoral artery with an atraumatic microvessel clamp, just at the beginning of the renal ischemia. Following the surgical procedure, animals were kept in metabolic cages and urine samples collection performed over a period of 24 h. At the end of the reperfusion time, rats were anesthetized with sodium pentobarbital (60 mg/kg) and blood samples were obtained using a 5-ml syringe. Following sacrifice, the chests of the rats were opened and their kidneys were resected and fixed in 10% formalin for subsequent histological study.

Experimental groups. All animals underwent a right nephrectomy before the induction of ischemia, which was accomplished through a small flank incision. Rats were randomly assigned to one of five groups (n=6 per group): i) Sham-operated group: Femoral and sciatic nerve resection plus sham surgery; ii) Control group (I/R): 45 min of renal ischemia by occlusion of the left renal pedicle; iii) Control group and nerve resection (I/R-NR): 45 min ischemia with femoral and sciatic nerve resection; iv) RPEC: 4 cycles of 5 min left femoral artery occlusion and reperfusion just prior to renal ischemia; or v) Nerve resection group (RPEC-NR): RPEC protocol plus femoral and sciatic nerve resection prior to induction of renal ischemia.

Renal functional assessment. At the end of the reperfusion period, blood samples were drawn via the inferior vena cava using a syringe. The samples were centrifuged at room temperature (1,500 x g for 10 min) to separate the plasma. In order to assess the glomerular functions, the concentration of blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and creatinine (Cr) were measured by colorimetric methods (Hitachi 704 autoanalyser; Hitachi, Ltd., Tokyo, Japan).

Urinary N-acetyl-β-D-glucosaminidase (NAG) analysis. NAG is a lysosomal glycosidase found in high concentrations in renal proximal tubules. Activity of urinary NAG, a specific indicator of early tubular injury, was measured according to the method described by Horak et al (13). The total volume of produced urine during the 24 h reperfusion time was noted, and samples were kept at -20°C until use. The assessment of urinary NAG activity was based on the enzymatic hydrolysis of p-nitrophenyl-n-acetyl-glucosaminide at pH 4.4 and the subsequent production of p-nitrophenol at 405 nm by using a spectrophotometer (Spekol 1300; Analytik Jena AG, Jena, Germany).

Histopathological analysis. Samples from the kidney of all rats were harvested following 24 h reperfusion and fixed in 10% formalin. The fixed tissue specimens were dehydrate, embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 4-µm, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histological examination under a light microscope. The sections were assessed for the presence of tubular necrosis, tubular dilatations and loss of brush borders, as well as the formation of casts and luminal debris at x400 magnification.

Statistical analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism Version 5 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA). The results are presented as mean ± standard error of the mean. The differences among groups were determined by one-way analysis of variance followed by Tukey's multiple comparison post hoc test. P<0.05 was considered to represent a statistically significant difference.

Results

Renal function and levels of plasma BUN and Cr. Following 24 h reperfusion, the levels of BUN and Cr in the plasma were 21.7±1.1 and 0.65±0.14 mg/dl, respectively in the sham group. Compared with the sham group, the levels of BUN and Cr in the I/R and IR-NR groups were significantly increased (5-fold) following 45 min of ischemia and 24 h of reperfusion (BUN, 117.0±5.3 and 111.7±8.2 mg/dl; Cr, 4.1±0.5 and 3.9±0.7 mg/dl, respectively; P<0.05). In the RPEC group, the level of BUN (47.6±6.2 mg/dl) and plasma Cr (1.2±0.3 mg/dl) were significantly lower compared with those in I/R and I/R-NR groups (P<0.05). However, the remote perconditioning-induced
improvement was significantly inhibited by the nerve resection in RPEC-NR group (BUN, 90.2±10.8 mg/dl; Cr, 2.8±0.3 mg/dl; Fig. 1).

**Renal injury and urinary NAG activity.** The urinary NAG activity in the sham group was 10.8±1.4 U/l. Compared with the sham group, the urinary NAG activity in the I/R and I/R-NR groups was significantly increased (~10-fold) following 45 min ischemia and 24 h of reperfusion (105.1±12.8 and 101.8±18.5 U/l respectively; *P<0.05*). In the RPEC group, the level of urinary NAG (30.1±3.6 mg/dl) was significantly lower compared with those in I/R and I/R-NR (*P<0.05*). This remote preconditioning-induced improvement was significantly inhibited by nerve resection in the RPEC-NR group (68.3±19.5 U/l; Fig. 2).

**Histological changes.** Notable histopathological changes were not observed in the kidney sections of the sham group. However, renal IR resulted in considerable changes in the renal tissues. The alteration observed in the control groups (I/R and I/R-NR groups) included severe destruction of renal tubules caused by cellular necrosis, specifically in the proximal tubules, formation of cast and obstruction of the more distal tubules. The brush borders were lost and tubular patency was attenuated. Perconditioning reduced the extent of structural changes in the kidney, compared with the I/R and I/R-N groups. Noticeable cellular necrosis was not detected in the RPEC-treated group and there was less cast formation in the tubules. However, NR prior to preconditioning treatment (RPEC-NR group) was observed to cause more histological damage compared with that observed in the animals of RPEC group (Fig. 3).

**Discussion**

The current study demonstrates the renoprotective effects of RPEC, achieved via short-cycle I/R of the hindlimb in a rat model of renal ischemia and reperfusion-induced acute kidney injury. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to propose the potential contribution of neural pathways in the transduction of renoprotective signals elicited by remote perconditioning.

The mechanism underlying remote perconditioning therapy remains unknown, with a number of previous studies collecting data from cardiological and hepatic studies that used different remote ischemic conditioning models (14,15). There is limited data available regarding the ischemic features of the kidney and to the best of our knowledge, no data has been reported assessing the role of neural pathways leading to the renoprotective outcome of RPEC. In a previous study,
Lim et al (10) reported that the cardioprotection caused by hindlimb preconditioning is completely eradicated following cross-section of the femoral nerve and sciatic nerve. Based on these results and previous data (6), the present study aimed to assess the effects of the limb nerve cross-section on the efficiency of remote preconditioning to mitigate renal I/R injury.

Ischemia and reperfusion of the kidney result in both glomerular and tubular malfunction (16). In the present study, the significant increases in the plasma levels of creatinine and BUN, subsequent to I/R, indicate an impairment of glomerular function. However, I/R also resulted in significant increases in urinary NAG activities, which may be regarded as a marker for tubular injury (17). Preconditioning was able to significantly reduce renal functional impairment, this is indicated by a decreased level of BUN and Cr in the plasma as well as reduced urinary NAG activity, the activity of which was abrogated by nerve resection.

In accordance with results from the present study, the extent of renal histological damages was prominent and extended in the I/R damaged groups (IR and IR-N) at the end of reperfusion time. Preconditioning induction diminished the extent of these injured tubules, although such protective effects were not observed in the RPEC-NR group where cross-section of the nerve prior to the ischemic conditioning treatment provoked renal morphological changes. The notable infarct size reducing effects of remote preconditioning were also reported by Lu et al (18) and Czigány et al (11) in different experimental methods of myocardial infarction and liver I/R.

It is suggested that the mechanisms involved in local and remote preconditioning and postconditioning models may also have roles in the RPEC treatment (3). These mechanisms include the release of a number of protective autacoids such as adenosine (19) and nitric oxide (20), the activation of the innate immune system (21), activation of the reperfusion injury salvage kinase pathway (22) and the inhibition of the mitochondrial permeability transition pore (23). Moreover, we have recently reported the renoprotective effect of remote preconditioning by modulation of inflammatory cytokines in the kidney (24) and attenuated oxidative damages in more distantly located organs, such as the liver (25). Supporting the involvement of a neurogenic pathway, the protective effects of different ischemic organs, such as the liver (25) and rat kidneys (26) were also reported by ganglionic blockers (26). In addition, remote IPC using short-time limb ischemia and reperfusion may be blocked by prior cross-section of the femoral nerves (10). Previous evidence indicates that autacoids such as adenosine or bradykinin may activate a local neural path within the ischemic conditioned limb (8). A study by Liem et al (27) proposed that the release of adenosine by the remote preconditioned small intestine triggers localafferent nerves and thereby protects the heart from consequent I/R damage via triggering the adenosine receptors in the myocardium. These data are in line with the results of the present study, indicating a possible role of neuronal elements in the conduction of protective signals from the remote conditioned site to the ischemic organ.

In conclusion, the results of the present study have indicated that the renoprotective effect of RPEC may be mitigated by nerve resection of the remote ischemic conditioned organ. Therefore, the current study indicates that the protective signals elicited by remote preconditioning, to a significant extent, are directed to the target ischemic organ by certain neural factors. In the present study, the molecular and cellular details of the neural pathway behind the protective effects of RPEC were not assessed, which is a limitation. Accordingly, further investigation should be conducted to reveal the exact molecular and cellular mechanisms and neural components behind the protective effect of remote ischemic preconditioning treatment.
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