Allergen-removed *Rhus verniciflua* Stokes suppresses invasion and migration of pancreatic cancer cells through downregulation of the JAK/STAT and Src/FAK signaling pathways
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**Abstract.** Pancreatic cancer is a leading cause of mortality and morbidity worldwide. Due to drug resistance, and the high toxicity and adverse side effects of existing chemotherapeutic drugs, the current treatment of highly aggressive pancreatic cancer is considered inadequate. Allergen-removed *Rhus verniciflua* Stokes (aRVS) has a strong antiproliferative effect in various cancer cells, and due to its low toxicity, it has emerged as an attractive candidate for cancer treatment. However, the potential use of aRVS as a treatment for pancreatic cancer is relatively unexplored. The present study examined the effects of aRVS on the invasion and migration of pancreatic cancer cells, and identified the molecular mechanisms underlying its anticancer effects. aRVS inhibited the Janus kinase/signal transducer and activator of transcription pathway in pancreatic cancer cells, and decreased the protein expression of mucin 4. In addition, it inhibited the activation of focal adhesion kinase and Src signaling, and decreased the expression of matrix metalloproteinase 9, which may reduce the migration and invasion of pancreatic cancer cells. In conclusion, the present study suggested that aRVS may be a potential treatment for aggressive pancreatic cancer.

**Introduction**

Pancreatic cancer is the third most common cause of cancer-associated mortality in the United States, and is the leading cause of mortality and morbidity worldwide (1). Advances in medical technology and chemotherapy over the past few decades have increased overall survival; however, since long-term survival rates are still low, novel therapies are required (2,3). At present, surgical resection is considered a major treatment for patients with pancreatic cancer. Since pancreatic cancer has no early symptoms, in the majority of patients tumor metastasis is observed before resection of malignant tumors (4,5). Even if surgical resection is performed early, almost all patients experience recurrence following surgery, or eventually reach a pathological state due to metastatic growth (5). Therefore, therapeutic strategies that specifically inhibit or prevent invasion or metastasis may significantly improve the prognosis of pancreatic cancer.

Interferon (IFN)-γ promotes the transcriptional activation of IFN-γ-inducible genes, predominantly through the Janus kinase (JAK)/signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) intracellular signaling pathway (6). When IFN-γ binds to cell surface receptors, the receptor-associated tyrosine kinases JAK1 and JAK2 are activated. JAKs phosphorylate STAT-1; phosphorylated (p)-STAT1 is dimerized and translocated to the nucleus, where it binds to the IFN-γ-activated site element of IFN-γ responsive genes, thus resulting in gene activation (7). In general, STAT1 is considered a tumor suppressor; however, paradoxical evidence supporting the tumor-promoting function of STAT1 has emerged. Previous studies have demonstrated that extended IFN signaling or constitutive STAT1 signaling promotes tumor growth, as well as resistance to chemotherapy and radiation (8-13). STAT1 enhances cancer cell growth, invasion and exhibits various activities, including inhibition of cell death and induction of therapeutic resistance (14).

*Rhus verniciflua* Stokes (RVS) is a member of the Anacardiacea family, which is also known as the lacquer tree, and has been used for the treatment of gastric diseases, including tumors, for centuries in Traditional Korean Medicine (TKM) (15,16). RVS contains phenolic compounds, such as fustin, fisetin, sulforutin, butein, gallic acid and kaempferol. It has been reported that RVS exhibits antifibrogenic (17), antiproliferative (16,18), antioxidant (19,20) and antitumorigenic (21-23) activities. In clinical use, urushiol should be...
removed from RVS, as it may induce an allergic skin rash in sensitive individuals. Allergen-removed RVS (aRVS) has also been revealed to exert anticancer effects in preclinical studies, and has potential as an anticancer therapeutic agent for the treatment of patients with advanced cancer, including pancreatic cancer (24-26).

Since cancer cell invasion and metastasis involve STAT1 activation and aRVS has been reported to possess antitumorogenic activity, the present study investigated whether aRVS serves a pivotal role in this process. The present results demonstrated that activation and upregulation of STAT1 by IFN-γ initiated the induction of pancreatic cancer cell invasion and metastasis, whereas aRVS suppressed these carcinogenic processes, potentially through inhibition of IFN-γ-induced STAT1 activation.

Materials and methods

Materials. aRVS was obtained from Bflux Pharma Corp (Seoul, South Korea). The voucher specimen was registered and deposited at East-West Medical Research Institute, Kyung Hee University (Seoul, South Korea). The preparative process and quality control of aRVS was conducted according to the standard operational procedure of Bflux Pharma Corp (Korean patent no. 10-2016-0101802) (27). Briefly, RVS stalk, which was 10 years old, was purchased from Kyung Hee Herb Pharm (Wonju, Korea). The dried RVS stalk was chopped into pieces, and extracted twice with a 4-fold volume of purified water at 105-110°C for 4 h. The extract was filtered using a 1-mm filtration device, and was vacuum concentrated. The concentrate was lyophilized to powder form, resulting in a 3% yield of aRVS extract. Quality control was performed using high-performance liquid chromatography (fisetin, >10.0%; fustin, >8.0%; gallic acid, >8.0%; sulfuretin, >1.5%; urushiol, not detected), a pesticide detection test and a residual water at 105‑110˚C for 4 h. The extract was filtered using a 1-mm filtration device, and was vacuum concentrated. The concentrate was lyophilized to powder form, resulting in a 3% yield of aRVS extract. Quality control was performed using high-performance liquid chromatography (fisetin, >10.0%; fustin, >8.0%; gallic acid, >8.0%; sulfuretin, >1.5%; urushiol, not detected), a pesticide detection test and a residual

Western blotting. Cells were treated with IFN-γ (20 ng/ml) and aRVS (200 µg/ml), or with IFN-γ (20 ng/ml) alone, for 24 or 48 h at 37°C, and were then washed and collected. Total protein was extracted using radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer (Cell Signaling Technology Inc.) containing a complete protease inhibitor cocktail tablet (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany), and proteins were then incubated on ice. After 10 min, cells were centrifuged at 16,000 g for 10 min at 4°C, and the protein concentration was measured using the bicinchoninic acid protein assay kit (Pierce; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Subsequently, 30 µg protein samples were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE, after which, proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride membrane. The membrane was blocked with 5% skim milk in Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20 (TBST) at room temperature for 1 h. The membrane was washed three times with TBST and incubated with the primary antibodies overnight at 4°C. The membrane was then washed three times in TBST, and incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-rabbit (dilution 1:2,000; cat. no. 7074) and HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibodies (dilution 1:2,000; cat. no. 7076) (both Cell Signaling Technology, Inc.) for 1 h at room temperature. The immunoreactive proteins were visualized using SuperSignalWest Pico PLUS Chemiluminescent Substrate (cat. no. 34580) or SuperSignalWest Dura Extended Duration Substrate (cat. no. 34075) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.), according to the manufacturer's protocols.
Images were captured using an Imagequant™ LAS 4000 (GE Healthcare Japan, Tokyo, Japan).

Dilutions for primary antibodies used in the present study are as follows; MUC4 (1:1,000), p-Src (1:1,000), Src (1:1,000), XIAP (1:1,000), cleaved-caspase-3 (1:1,000), p-JAK1 (1:1,000), p-JAK2 (1:1,000), p-STAT1 (Y701, S727) (1:1,000), STAT1 (1:1,000), p-STAT3 (Y705) (1:1,000), p-FAK (1:1,000), FAK (1:1,000), JAK1 (1:1,000), JAK2 (1:1,000), STAT3 (1:1,000) and β-actin (1:2,000).

Matrigel-invasion assay. The in vitro invasion assay was performed using a 24-well Transwell unit (pore size, 8 µm) with polycarbonate membranes (Costar; Corning Incorporated). The upper and lower sides of the membrane were coated with Matrigel (1 mg/ml) and type I collagen (0.5 mg/ml). The lower chamber was filled with 10% FBS-containing medium or serum-free medium containing IFN-γ (20 ng/ml). Cells (1 x 10^5/ml) were placed in the upper chamber of the Transwell unit and were cultured for 18 h at 37°C with or without aRVS (200 µg/ml). The noninvading cells on the upper surface of membrane were removed from the chamber, and the invasive cells on the lower surface of the membrane were stained with Quick-Diff stain kit (BD Biosciences). Briefly, the cells were fixed in REASTAIN Quick-Diff Fix for 5 min, and were then stained with REASTAIN Quick-Diff Red followed by REASTAIN Quick-Diff Blue for 10 min each. The number of invasive cells was counted in five randomly selected fields under a Nikon Ti-U microscope (Nikon Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) at x200 magnification.

Wound healing assay. Once cells reached 80% confluence, they were pretreated with mitomycin C (25 µg/ml) in serum-free medium for 30 min to suppress cell proliferation before a wound was made to the cell monolayer using a 200-µl pipette tip (28,29). In all subsequent experimental steps, medium contained mitomycin C. After washing with serum-free medium, cells were incubated with 10% FBS-containing medium or serum-free medium containing IFN-γ (20 ng/ml) in the presence or absence of aRVS (200 µg/ml) for 24 h at 37°C. The migration of the cells at the edge of the scratch was analyzed at 0, 18 and 24 h, when microscopic images of the cells were captured. Images were captured using a digital camera system (Nikon Corporation) connected to a light microscope (Olympus America, Inc., Melville, NY, USA) at different time points.

Statistical analysis. The results obtained from each experiment are expressed as the means ± standard deviation from at least three independent experiments. Statistical analysis was performed using one way analysis of variance followed by Tukey’s post hoc test for multiple comparisons. GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) was used to analyze data. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

Results

aRVS inhibits cell invasion and migration of pancreatic cancer cells. To evaluate the therapeutic potential of aRVS in pancreatic cancer, its effects on invasion and migration were analyzed using Matrigel invasion and wound healing assays. The preliminary results demonstrated that HPAC cells had the highest metastatic capacity among several pancreatic cancer cell lines (data not shown); therefore, HPAC cells were used for the following experiments. As shown in Fig. 1A, cells treated with aRVS experienced a significant decrease in the number of invading cells compared with those treated with 10% FBS. The wound healing assay also indicated that 10% FBS-treated cells migrated across the wound much faster than aRVS-treated cells (Fig. 1B). These results indicated that
aRVS significantly inhibited the invasion and migration of HPAC pancreatic cancer cells.

**aRVS downregulates the IFN-γ-induced STAT1 signaling pathway.** STAT1 and STAT3 transcription factors serve an important role in tumorigenesis. Despite the controversial nature of the effects of STAT1 on tumorigenesis, STAT3 strongly induces cancer invasion or migration (14,30,31). Therefore, the present study examined whether aRVS regulated the activity of STAT1 or STAT3, thus leading to tumor invasion and migration. The results demonstrated that aRVS inhibited the IFN-γ-induced activation of STAT1 and STAT3; however, STAT1 was more strongly inhibited by aRVS in IFN-γ-stimulated PANC-1, HPAC and Bxpc3 cells (Fig. 2A and B). In the process of STAT1 activation, the phosphorylation of Ser727 is required for the dimerization of STAT1, and the phosphorylation of Tyr701 is essential for translocation of STAT1 into the nucleus (32,33). Upon examination, the present study demonstrated that aRVS inhibited the phosphorylation of both serine and tyrosine residues, thus suggesting that aRVS may block the phosphorylation of both residues. However, the suppression of Tyr701 phosphorylation in STAT1 by aRVS was more marked than that of Ser727. Based on these results, the present study aimed to determine whether aRVS inhibited the upstream kinases of the STAT signaling pathway. As shown in Fig. 2C, aRVS also inhibited the activity of JAK1 and JAK2.

**aRVS inhibits IFN-γ-induced invasion, migration and proliferation.** The present study also investigated whether the activation of STAT1 by IFN-γ induced invasion, migration and proliferation of pancreatic cancer cells, and examined whether this was inhibited by aRVS. The results demonstrated that IFN-γ induced the invasion, migration and proliferation of pancreatic cancer cells, and that aRVS treatment significantly inhibited these effects (Fig. 3). The wound healing assay indicated that IFN-γ-treated cells migrated much faster than cells treated with aRVS (Fig. 3B). In the invasion assay, treatment with aRVS resulted in a significantly reduced number of invasive cells compared with in the IFN-γ-treated group (Fig. 3A). In addition, aRVS significantly inhibited IFN-γ-induced proliferation of pancreatic cancer cells in a concentration-dependent manner (Fig. 3C). The present study also confirmed that the inhibitory effects of aRVS were not due to toxicity (data not shown).

Our preliminary experiments revealed that HPAC cell mobility was the most abundant and aRVS exhibited potent inhibitory effects on all three pancreatic cell lines. Subsequently, the expression levels of MMP9, which targets various extracellular proteins during invasion and metastasis, were analyzed, in order to confirm the ability of aRVS to inhibit the invasion and metastasis of pancreatic cancer cells. The results indicated that the expression levels of MMP9 were lower in cells treated with aRVS compared with in those treated with IFN-γ (Fig. 4). Based on these results, it was
suggested that aRVS may inhibit the invasion and metastasis of pancreatic cancer cells via the inhibition of STAT1.

**aRVS downregulates mucin 4 (MUC4) expression in pancreatic cancer cells.** It has previously been indicated the involvement of MUC4 in pancreatic cancer cell motility and invasion; furthermore, it promotes resistance to apoptosis when cells are treated with various chemotherapeutic agents (34). In addition, the activated transcription factors STAT1 and STAT3 have been reported to serve as potential regulators of MUC4 expression in pancreatic cancer cells (35). Based on these reports, the present study determined whether aRVS could affect MUC4 expression in pancreatic cancer cells. PANC-1, HPAC and Bxpc3 cells were untreated for 48 h, or were treated with IFN-γ and aRVS, or with IFN-γ alone for 24 and 48 h. The cells were then harvested and MUC4 expression was evaluated using western blotting. aRVS reduced MUC4 expression in the three pancreatic cancer cell lines; MUC4 was almost eliminated following treatment with aRVS for 48 h (Fig. 4).
aRVS downregulates cell cycle regulatory and anti-apoptotic proteins. The present study also examined the effects of aRVS on the expression levels of various proteins associated with cell cycle regulation and apoptosis. Treatment with aRVS reduced the expression of the cell cycle regulatory protein cyclin D1. In addition, the expression levels of the anti-apoptotic molecules Bcl2 and XIAP were decreased by aRVS, whereas the expression of the cleaved form of the proapoptotic molecule caspase-3 was increased (Fig. 4). These results suggested that aRVS may modulate the cell cycle and the intrinsic mitochondrial apoptotic pathway in pancreatic cancer cells.

aRVS downregulates FAK and Src signaling. The FAK protein has an important role in cellular motility and invasion; recently, studies have been conducted regarding the association between FAK and STAT1 (36,37). In addition, the phosphorylation and activation of FAK by Src is required for actin stress fiber formation, and for focal adhesion assembly during cell adhesion and cell spreading. Therefore, the present study aimed to determine whether aRVS was involved in the activities of FAK and Src. The results demonstrated that FAK and Src were activated by IFN-γ, whereas aRVS reduced the phosphorylation of FAK and Src in PANC-1, HPAC and Bxpc3 cells (Fig. 5).

Discussion

RVS and aRVS have been reported to induce apoptosis, inhibit angiogenesis, and possess antioxidant and antiproliferative activities (20,24,26,38,39). aRVS and RVS consist of multiple constituents, including phenolic acids (gallic acid, protocatechuic acid, etc.), flavonoids (luteolin, rutin, fisetin, quercetin, etc.), and other constituents (kaempferol-3-O-glucoside, p-coumaric acid, etc.). Kim et al previously described the chemical structures of the aforementioned constituents (26). In TKM, aRVS has a long history of use due to its various efficacies and low toxicity; however, there is still uncertainty about the specific mechanism of aRVS treatment for the treatment of patients with cancer. Therefore, the present study evaluated the anticancer effect of aRVS using various pancreatic cancer cell lines. The present study indicated that aRVS treatment affected the regulation of MUC4 and FAK expression via the inhibition of JAK/STAT1 signaling, thus potentially reducing invasion and metastasis. To the best of our knowledge, the present study is the first to demonstrate that aRVS may modulate MUC4 and identified MUC4 as a potential therapeutic target for pancreatic cancer. Therefore, mucin expression may have an important role in carcinogenesis progression of pancreatic cancer. This finding may be valuable for a large number of patients with pancreatic cancer.
cancer, in whom significant overexpression of MUC4 has been detected in pancreatic cancer compared with normal pancreatic expression (34).

One of the notable discoveries of the present study is the modulation of MUC4. MUC4 is a member of the membrane-binding mucins, which is known to be overexpressed in pancreatic cancer cells. Conversely, it is not expressed in normal pancreatic tissue, whereas its expression is steadily increased with the stage of disease progression and is associated with a poor prognosis (40,41). Other studies have also reported the oncogenic role of MUC4 and revealed that MUC4 induces the survival, invasion, metastasis and proliferation of pancreatic cancer (42,43). MUC4 also induces epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition through the stabilization of N-cadherin expression in pancreatic cancer cells (44). Not only MUC4, but also MUC1 and MUC16, are overexpressed in pancreatic cancer cells and contribute to its pathogenesis (45,46). Considering the importance of MUC4 in the pathogenesis of pancreatic cancer, the present study identified a downregulation mechanism of MUC4 induced by aRVS.

Several cytokines, including interleukin (IL)-4, IL-6, tumor necrosis factor-α and IFN-γ, have been reported to be involved in overexpression of MUC4 via the JAK/STAT pathway, particularly STAT1 and STAT3 (47). Previous studies regarding the MUC4 promoter have identified the binding sites for several transcription factors, including STAT1 and STAT3 (34,35,48). These findings suggested that the STAT transcription factors may have an important role in the transcriptional regulation of MUC4. In the present study, STAT1 and STAT3 phosphorylation, and MUC4 expression were reduced in pancreatic cells treated with aRVS; furthermore, the phosphorylation of the upstream kinases JAK1 and JAK2 were also reduced. These results suggested that the inhibition of MUC4 by aRVS may have an effect on STAT expression.

The induction of apoptosis and the inhibition of cell proliferation are important mechanisms underlying the anticancer action of numerous drugs from natural sources (49). The present study revealed that aRVS treatment inhibited cell proliferation and induced apoptosis, via the activation of caspase-3 and the suppression of Bcl-2, XIAP and cyclin D1. These results indicated that aRVS may be involved in the intrinsic apoptosis pathway. The downregulation of MUC4 has also been revealed to induce the intrinsic pathway of apoptosis (50), which may be a possible mechanism by which aRVS induces apoptosis in pancreatic cancer cells.

Cell motility is an important process in tumor invasion and is an attractive therapeutic target for advanced pancreatic cancer. Previous studies have reported that MUC4 modulates the mobility, morphology, adhesion and actin-cytoskeleton of cancer cells (42,51). In the present study, aRVS inhibited the mobility and invasion of pancreatic cancer cells, and the results suggested that the inhibitory effects of aRVS may be caused by downregulation of MUC4. FAK is also overexpressed in invasive tumors, and induces the invasion and metastasis of cancer cells, in addition to re-organization of the cytoskeleton and MMPs (52). The present study revealed that aRVS inhibited the phosphorylation of FAK and Src, without altering total FAK and Src levels. Therefore, it may be suggested that aRVS reduces cell motility and invasion by regulating FAK and Src signaling through STAT1 and MUC4.

In conclusion, the present study is the first, to the best of our knowledge, to report that aRVS downregulated MUC4. In addition, this study provides further evidence regarding the molecular mechanism underlying the effects of aRVS on pancreatic cancer. Considering the overexpression of MUC4, and its association with chemotherapeutic resistance, in pancreatic cancer (50,53), MUC4-targeted chemotherapy using aRVS may be a potential therapeutic strategy. Overall, aRVS may serve an important role in the downregulation of MUC4 and offers a potential for the development of novel therapies for pancreatic cancer. Further studies are required to assess the therapeutic value of aRVS in preclinical models.
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