

Genetic polymorphisms and head and neck cancer risk (Review)

TORU HIYAMA¹, MASAHARU YOSHIHARA¹, SHINJI TANAKA² and KAZUAKI CHAYAMA³

¹Health Service Center, Hiroshima University, Higashihiroshima; ²Department of Endoscopy, Hiroshima University Hospital; ³Department of Medicine and Molecular Science, Division of Frontier Medical Science, Programs for Biomedical Research, Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan

Received November 1, 2007; Accepted January 22, 2008

Abstract. The aim of this report is to review and evaluate, in a comprehensive manner, the published data regarding the contribution of genetic polymorphisms to risk of head and neck cancer (HNC). All relevant studies available in MEDLINE and published before July 2007 were identified. Studies carried out in humans that compared HNC patients with at least 1 standard control group were considered for analysis. Two hundred and eighteen publications and 3 published meta-analyses were identified. Seventy-five (34%) studies were conducted in Asian, 72 (33%) in American, and 68 (31%) in European countries. The most widely studied gene was *GSTM1* (58 studies), followed by *GSTT1* (42 studies), *GSTP1* (codon 105, 22 studies) and *p53* (codon 72, 20 studies). *GSTM1*, *GSTT1*, *GSTP1*, *XRCC1* codons 194 and 399, and *CYP1A1* codon 462 were examined by meta-analyses, and significant relations were found between the *GSTM1*-null genotype and an increased risk for HNC. In addition, increased risk for HNC was associated consistently with the *ALDH2**1/*2, *p53* codon 72 Pro/Pro and *EPHX1* codon 113 Tyr/His and His/His genotypes. Cohort studies that simultaneously consider multiple genetic and environmental factors possibly involved in carcinogenesis of the head and neck are needed to ascertain not only the relative contribution of these factors to tumor development but also the contributions of their putative interactions.

Contents

1. Introduction
2. Review of the studies
3. Discussion

Correspondence to: Dr Toru Hiyama, Health Service Center, Hiroshima University, 1-7-1 Kagamiyama, Higashihiroshima 739-8521, Japan
E-mail: tohiyama@hiroshima-u.ac.jp

Key words: head and neck cancer, genetic polymorphism, risk, review

1. Introduction

Head and neck cancers (HNCs), including cancers of the oral cavity, pharynx and larynx, represent the 6 most frequent cancers and the seventh leading cause of cancer-related death worldwide. There are approximately 540,000 new cases and 271,000 deaths annually worldwide for a mortality of approximately 50% (1). HNCs represent approximately 3% of all cancers in the United States whereas these cancers are much more prevalent in other areas of the world, such as India, Thailand and Brazil (1,2). Standard therapeutic approaches, which focus on surgery, irradiation and chemotherapy (alone or in combination), have been modified over the last 30 years; however, the overall survival of HNC patients has not improved substantially. For patients affected by early-stage cancers with a high disease-specific survival rate, secondary tumors represent the most common cause of death (3). Furthermore, patients with advanced cancers have a high risk of primary treatment failure and death.

Development of HNC is a multifactorial process associated with a variety of risk factors. Major risk factors in developed countries include smoking tobacco and drinking alcohol, and chewing betel quid (4,5). For tobacco smoking, a dose-response trend has been reported. Relative risks of developing laryngeal and oropharyngeal cancers are 1.8 and 1.3, respectively, for persons who smoke ≤ 30 cigarettes per day and 7.7 and 2.9, respectively, for persons who smoke >30 cigarettes per day compared with non-smokers (6). Alcohol consumption is also linked to increased risk of HNCs. For persons who consume >4 drinks ($=47.5$ g of pure ethanol) per day, the relative risks of developing laryngeal and oropharyngeal cancers are 4.5 and 7.2, respectively, compared with non-drinkers (6). A synergistic effect was observed in persons who both smoke tobacco and drink alcohol. The relative risks of developing laryngeal and oropharyngeal cancers are 34.6 and 21.2, respectively, among those who smoke >30 cigarettes a day and consume >4 drinks per week.

Genetic factors as well as environmental factors play a role in development of HNC and of other cancers (7-13). Individual variations in cancer risk have been associated with specific variant alleles of different genes that are present in a significant proportion of the normal population. Recent studies have suggested that genetic polymorphisms may underlie some of the causes and events involved in carcinogenesis of the head and neck. A variety of genes may be associated with carcinogenesis, including genes involved in carcinogen

metabolism, alcohol metabolism, folate metabolism, DNA repair and cell-cycle control and oncogenes. Here we review and evaluate, in a comprehensive manner, the most recent published evidence regarding the relative contribution of genetics to susceptibility to HNC in humans.

We identified all studies related to the association of genetic polymorphisms with HNC risk published before July 2007 and listed in MEDLINE (National Library of Medicine). Only reviews published in English were considered. Studies of HNC patients with at least 1 standard control group were considered for analysis. Studies without control subjects or based only on serologic or histochemical assays were excluded. Studies that evaluated only the role of genetic factors as prognostic markers and those that described somatic mutations in tumor tissue were also excluded. Two hundred and eighteen publications (14-231) and 3 published meta-analyses (232-234) were identified. We extracted the first author, the year of publication, the country where the study was conducted, the size of each study, the selection and features of patients and control subjects, the availability and use of information on environmental factors (mainly smoking and alcohol) and the reported results.

Genes are named according to the HUGO Gene Nomenclature Committee (HGNC; <http://www.gene.ucl.ac.uk/nomenclature/>). Polymorphisms are termed according to the proposed nomenclature of Antonarakis *et al.* In short, a polymorphism designation that starts with a number refers to a nucleotide position, and subsequent letters indicate the nucleotide change. A polymorphism designation that starts with a letter (or 2 letters separated by a slash) indicates an amino acid substitution (single-letter amino acid code), and the number following it is the codon position. Metabolic gene allele nomenclature is according to that recommended by Garte *et al.* (<http://www.gsec.net>).

2. Review of the studies

Of the 218 studies identified in our review, 75 (34%) were conducted in Asian countries, 72 (33%) in American countries, and 68 (31%) in European countries. For countries, 55 (25%) studies were conducted in the United States, 29 (13%) in China including Taiwan and Hong Kong and 15 (7%) each in Germany and Japan, respectively. The most intensively studied genes were those encoding enzymes involved in carcinogen metabolism. The most widely studied gene was *GSTM1* (58 studies) followed by *GSTT1* (42 studies), *GSTP1* (codon 105, 22 studies) and *p53* (codon 72, 20 studies). Summaries of genetic polymorphisms and risk of HNCs and meta-analyses are shown in Tables I-IX and X, respectively.

Carcinogen metabolic genes (Table I). Carcinogen metabolic enzymes, which are involved in the activation of carcinogens, convert endogenous and/or exogenous carcinogens into DNA-binding metabolites and can thereby influence intermediate effect markers, such as DNA adducts, and ultimately, risk for cancer. Accumulating data suggest that genetic polymorphisms in genes controlling carcinogen metabolism underlie individual variations in cancer risk (7,14-110,235). Most carcinogens undergo activation by Phase I enzymes, often as an oxidation reaction, and detoxification by Phase II

enzymes. The cytochrome P450 enzyme superfamily, including CYP1A1, CYP2E1 and CYP2A6, constitutes the majority of Phase I enzymes, while the glutathione *S*-transferases (GSTs) and *N*-acetyltransferases (NATs) are primarily responsible for detoxification of xenobiotics.

CYP1A1. CYP1A1 is involved in the activation of major classes of tobacco procarcinogens, such as polyaromatic hydrocarbons and aromatic amines, and is present in many epithelial tissues (236). An Ile-Val substitution in codon 462 of *CYP1A1*, which is in the heme-binding region, results in a 2-fold increase in microsomal enzyme activity and, in Caucasians, is in complete linkage disequilibrium with the *CYP1A1 MspI* polymorphism, which is also associated with increased catalytic activity (7).

We identified 15 studies (14-28) with data regarding the relation of the *CYP1A1* Ile-Val substitution at codon 462 to HNC. In 4 studies (14,19,22,24), the risk for HNC in subjects with the Ile/Val and/or Val/Val genotypes was significantly higher than that for subjects with the Ile/Ile genotype, suggesting that the Val allele may be associated with increased risk for HNC. A meta-analysis of studies that examined the association of the *CYP1A1* Ile-Val substitution with risk for HNC revealed that the Ile/Val and Val/Val genotypes tend to increase HNC risk with odds ratios (ORs) [95% confidence interval (CI)] compared with Ile/Ile of 1.32 (0.95-1.82) (232).

CYP2E1. CYP2E1 is primarily responsible for the metabolic activation of many low molecular weight carcinogens, including certain nitrosoamines, which may be involved in carcinogenesis of the esophagus (237,238). This enzyme is also believed to participate in the oxidation of other compounds, such as ethanol, to produce reactive free radicals that may initiate lipid peroxidation and consequently influence carcinogenesis (133). The variant c2 allele, which contains a novel *RsaI/PstI* site in the 5'-flanking region of the *CYP2E1* gene, appears to be associated with decreased enzyme activity.

Ten (15,17,18,27,28,35,40,43,46) of the 15 (67%) studies (15,17,18,27,28,35,39-44,46,47) suggested that the c1/c2 genotype of *CYP2E1* may increase risk for HNC compared with the c1/c1 genotype. Results of 6 (18,28,39-41,44) of 7 (86%) studies (17,18,28,39-41,44) suggested that the c2/c2 genotype may increase risk for HNC.

GSTs. GSTs are a family of multifunctional enzymes that metabolize a variety of xenobiotics with a large overlap in substrate specificity (239,240). Individuals who are homozygous for the null *GSTM1* or null *GSTT1* alleles lack the respective enzyme function. The null *GSTM1* genotype appears to be common in both Asians and Caucasians, whereas the frequency of the null *GSTT1* genotype varies among ethnicities. The null genotypes of *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* appear to be associated with increased risk of esophageal (235), gastric (241) and lung (242) cancers.

For HNCs, 36 (62%) ORs from 58 studies of the null *GSTM1* genotype vs. the positive genotype were >1, suggesting that the null *GSTM1* genotype may be associated with increased risk for HNC. Sixteen (28%) (30,35,55,58, 62,64,66-68,71,72,74,79,84-86) of the studies showed a significantly higher risk for HNC in subjects with the null

GSTM1 genotype than in subjects with the positive genotype. No studies showed a significantly lower risk in patients with the null *GSTM1* genotype than in those with the positive genotype. Two meta-analyses (232,233) of studies that examined the association of *GSTM1* with risk for HNC revealed that the null genotype significantly increases the risk with ORs (95% CI) of 1.23 (1.06-1.42) and 1.50 (1.21-1.87) compared with the positive genotype.

Twenty-three (55%) ORs from 42 studies of the null *GSTT1* genotype vs. the positive genotype were >1, and 7 studies (56,64,72,83,85,95,96) showed a significantly higher risk for HNC in subjects with the null genotype than in those with the positive genotype, suggesting that the null *GSTT1* genotype may be associated with increased risk for HNC. In contrast, only 1 study showed a significantly lower risk with the null *GSTT1* genotype than the positive genotype. A meta-analysis (232) of studies that examined the association of *GSTT1* with risk of HNC revealed that the null genotype tends to increase HNC risk with ORs (95% CI) of 1.17 (0.98-1.40) compared with positive genotype.

GSTP1 is a major GST isoform that eliminates thymidine and uracil propenal, products of DNA oxidation (243,244). An Ile to Val substitution at codon 105 (exon 5) has been identified. The 105Val form shows altered affinity and enzymatic activity for some substrates. Four (18%) (77,88-90) of the 22 studies (18,20,21,25,31,33,63,69,73,77,80,82,84,87-94) showed a significantly higher risk for HNC in persons with the Ile/Val and/or Val/Val genotypes than in those with the Ile/Ile genotype. No studies showed a significantly lower risk with the Ile/Val and/or Val/Val genotypes than the Ile/Ile genotype. The 105Val allele might be associated with an increased risk for HNC. One meta-analysis revealed that the Ile/Val and Val/Val genotypes tend to increase HNC risk with ORs (95% CI) of 1.10 (0.92-1.31) compared with the positive genotype (232).

NATs. Two NAT isozymes, NAT1 and NAT2, are polymorphic and catalyze both *O*-acetylation (activation) and *N*-acetylation (usually detoxification) of aromatic and heterocyclic amine carcinogens. Molecular epidemiologic studies suggest that genetic polymorphisms in *NAT1* and *NAT2* modify risk of developing certain cancers (245). For HNC, all 7 (100%) ORs (18,23,33,42,97,100,101) for the slow *NAT2* genotype vs. the rapid genotype were >1, suggesting that the slow *NAT2* genotype may be associated with an increased risk for HNC.

EPHX1. The human microsomal epoxide hydrase (mEH), which is encoded by *EPHX1*, cleaves a range of alkene and arene oxides to form *trans*-dihydrodiols. For some polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, including benzo[a]pyrene, dihydrodiol derivatives are substrates for additional metabolic reactions that produce more highly reactive and carcinogenic compounds. Two amino acid-altering polymorphisms, Tyr113His and His139Arg, have been identified in *EPHX1* and both are associated with alterations in mEH activity. The *EPHX1* His113 variant shows a 40% decrease in EH activity, whereas the *EPHX1* Arg139 variant shows 25% increased enzyme activity (246). These polymorphic alleles have been linked to increases in risk for lung (247), colon (248) and ovarian (249) cancers.

Five (83%) ORs (73,92,103,104) from 6 studies (37,73,92,103,104) of the *EPHX1* Tyr/His genotype vs. the Tyr/Tyr genotype were <1, and 3 studies (92,103) showed a significantly lower risk for HNC in subjects with the Tyr/His genotype than in those with the Tyr/Tyr genotype. Five (83%) ORs (73,92,103,104) from 6 studies (37,73,92,103,104) of the His/His genotype vs. Tyr/Tyr genotype were <1, and 1 study (92) showed a significantly lower risk for HNC in subjects with the His/His genotype than in those with the Tyr/Tyr genotype. These results suggest that the His allele at codon 113 may be associated with an increased risk for HNC.

ORs for the His/Arg genotype vs. the His/His genotype at codon 139 of *EPHX1* varied from 0.69 to 1.21. However, 5 (83%) ORs (37,92,103,104) from 6 studies (37,73,92,103,104) of the Arg/Arg genotype vs. the His/His genotype were >1, suggesting that the Arg/Arg genotype at codon 139 may be associated with an increased risk for HNC.

Alcohol metabolic enzymes (Table II). Alcohol consumption is classified as a risk factor for HNC according to data from epidemiologic studies (6). Alcohol intake increases exposure to high levels of acetaldehyde, the principal metabolite of alcohol, which increases risk of cancers such as HNC. Acetaldehyde is produced mainly from ethanol via oxidation by alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH) and is subsequently detoxified into acetate by aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH)-2.

ALDH2. *ALDH2* is a polymorphic gene, and an individual's genotype at this locus determines blood acetaldehyde concentrations after drinking. A single point alteration in *ALDH2* results in the *ALDH2**2 allele. The protein encoded by *ALDH2**2 has a Glu to Lys substitution at residue 487, resulting in an inactive subunit and the inability to metabolize acetaldehyde. The *ALDH2**2 allele is rare in Western populations but prevalent in East Asian populations including Chinese, Korean, Thai, and Japanese populations (250,251) *ALDH2**2/*2 homozygotes have serum acetaldehyde levels that are 13 times higher and heterozygotes have levels 4 times higher than those in *1*1 homozygotes (252). *ALDH2**2/*2 homozygotes are characterized by a facial flushing response after alcohol consumption with nausea, drowsiness, headache and other unpleasant symptoms.

Six studies (17,66,117-120) reported a relation between *ALDH2* polymorphisms and risk for HNC, and all were conducted in Japanese populations. Four (67%) studies (66,117,118,120) showed a significantly increased risk for HNC in *1/*2 heterozygotes compared with *1*1 homozygotes. In contrast, 1 (119) of 2 (50%) studies (17,119) showed a lower risk for HNC in *2/*2 homozygotes than in *1*1 homozygotes.

ADH3. ADH isoenzymes, which are primarily involved in ethanol oxidation, consist of subunits encoded by *ADH2* and *ADH3*. In contrast to *ADH2*, *ADH3* is highly polymorphic in Caucasians. Of the 2 allelic variants, the *ADH3**1 allele is associated with higher enzyme activity than the *ADH3**2 allele and occurs in Caucasians at frequencies of 55-63% (253).

In 5 (43,58,111-113) of 8 (63%) studies (43,58,111-116), *ADH3**2/*1 heterozygotes showed decreased risk for HNC compared with *2/*2 homozygotes. However, in 6 (43,58,

Table I. Studies on polymorphisms of carcinogen metabolic enzymes and risk of head and neck cancer.

Gene and poly-morphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Result-2 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Covariates	Ref.
<i>CYP1A1</i> codon 462	OC	133	133	Ile/Val+Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	2.6 (1.2-5.7)			Age, sex, ethnicity	14
<i>CYP1A1</i> codon 462	OC, P, L	380	193	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.08 (0.65-1.79) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.51 (0.07-3.66) ^d	-	15
<i>CYP1A1</i> codon 462	OC, P, L, O	185	207	Ile/Val+Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.15 (0.68-1.93) ^d			-	16
<i>CYP1A1</i> codon 462	OC	92	147	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.31 (0.71-2.42)	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.30 (0.38-4.50)	Age, sex, smoking	17
<i>CYP1A1</i> codon 462	OC, P, L	145	164	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.72 (0.44-1.20) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	2.35 (0.86-6.42) ^d	-	18
<i>CYP1A1</i> codon 462	OC	142	142	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.58 (0.96-2.62)	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	4.19 (1.59-11.1)	-	19
<i>CYP1A1</i> codon 462	OC, P, L	172	193	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.5 (0.6-3.6)	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	-	Age, sex, ethnicity	20
<i>CYP1A1</i> codon 462	OC, P, L, O	139	121	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.45 (0.19-1.06) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	-	-	21
<i>CYP1A1</i> codon 462	OC	98	60	Ile/Val+Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	5.28 (1.03-26.28)			-	22
<i>CYP1A1</i> codon 462	OC	94	92	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.64 (0.17-2.34) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	-	-	23
<i>CYP1A1</i> codon 462	L	88	178	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	2.28 (1.14-4.58) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.76 (0.08-7.44) ^d	-	24
<i>CYP1A1</i> codon 462	OC, P, L	282	208	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.81 (0.45-1.45) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.72 (0.14-3.61) ^d	-	25
<i>CYP1A1</i> codon 462	OC	132	143	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.94 (0.56-1.58)	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.52 (0.15-1.78)	-	26
<i>CYP1A1</i> codon 462	OC	231	212	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.09 (0.66-1.80) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	2.85 (0.50-29.16) ^d	-	27
<i>CYP1A1</i> codon 462	OC	122	241	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.61 (0.37-1.01)	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.97 (0.38-2.46)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	28
<i>CYP1A1</i> MspI	OC, P, L	381	205	m1/m2 vs. m1/m1	1.82 (1.05-3.14) ^d	m2/m2 vs. m1/m1	0.29 (0.03-3.19) ^d	-	15
<i>CYP1A1</i> MspI	OC, P, L, O	185	207	m1/m2+m2/m2 vs. m1/m1	1.14 (0.67-1.94) ^d			-	16
<i>CYP1A1</i> MspI	OC	100	100	m1/m2 vs. m1/m1	3.42 (1.84-6.35) ^d	m2/m2 vs. m1/m1	3.63 (1.39-9.47) ^d	-	29
<i>CYP1A1</i> MspI	OC	142	142	m1/m2 vs. m1/m1	0.9 (0.6-1.7)	m2/m2 vs. m1/m1	2.3 (1.1-4.7)	-	30
<i>CYP1A1</i> MspI	NS	312	300	m1/m2 vs. m1/m1	1.17 (0.78-1.77) ^d	m2/m2 vs. m1/m1	0.49 (0.09-2.71) ^d	-	31
<i>CYP1A1</i> MspI	OC	106	146	m1/m2 vs. m1/m1	0.87 (0.51-1.50)	m2/m2 vs. m1/m1	1.32 (0.6-3.1)	-	32
<i>CYP1A1</i> MspI	P	172	218	m1/m2 vs. m1/m1	1.2 (0.7-1.8)	m2/m2 vs. m1/m1	1.4 (0.8-2.6)	Age, sex, smoking, ethnicity, education level	33
<i>CYP1A1</i> MspI	OC, P, L, O	187	139	m1/m2 vs. m1/m1	1.49 (0.86-2.60) ^d	m2/m2 vs. m1/m1	-	-	34
<i>CYP1A1</i> MspI	L	88	178	m1/m2 vs. m1/m1	0.90 (0.49-1.67) ^d	m1/m2 vs. m1/m1	-	-	24
<i>CYP1A1</i> MspI	OC, P, L	103	102	m2/m2+m1/m2 vs. m1/m1	0.9 (0.53-1.66)			Age, sex	35
<i>CYP1A1</i> MspI	OC	72	163	m1/m2 vs. m1/m1	0.8 (0.4-1.4)	m2/m2 vs. m1/m1	3.3 (1.4-10)	-	36
<i>CYP1A1</i> MspI	OC, P, L, O	210	245	m1/m2 +m2/m2 vs. m1/m1	0.80 (0.51-1.27)			Age, sex	37
<i>CYP1B1</i>	NS	312	300	Val/Leu vs. Val/Val	1.56 (1.08-2.25) ^d	Leu/Leu vs. Val/Val	1.90 (1.21-3.00) ^d	-	31
<i>CYP1B1</i>	OC, P, L	724	1,226	Val/Leu vs. Val/Val	0.86 (0.70-1.07)	Leu/Leu vs. Val/Val	0.89 (0.68-1.16)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	38
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	P	48	50	c1/c2 vs. c1/c1	0.76 (0.30-1.9)	c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	7.7 (0.87-68)	-	39
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	OC	41 ^e	122 ^e	c1/c2 vs. c1/c1	1.8 (0.9-3.8)	c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	1.8 (0.3-10.7)	-	40
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	P	364	320	c1/c2 vs. c1/c1	0.79 (0.44-1.4)	c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	3.2 (0.69-15)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	41
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	OC, P, L	75	200	c1/c2 vs. c1/c1	0.75 (0.29-1.94) ^d	c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	-	-	42
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	OC, P, L	379	175	c1/c2 vs. c1/c1	1.07 (0.50-2.30) ^d	c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	-	-	15

Table I. Continued.

Gene and polymorphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Result-2 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Covariates	Ref.
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	OC	92	147	c1/c2 vs. c1/c1	1.52 (0.82-2.79)	c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	0.94 (0.17-5.10)	Age, sex, smoking	17
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	OC, P, L	145	164	c1/c2 vs. c1/c1	1.02 (0.63-1.66) ^d	c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	1.32 (0.46-3.78) ^d	-	18
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	OC, P	121	172	c1/c2 vs. c1/c1	2.07 (0.81-5.31) ^d	c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	-	-	43
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	L	129	172	c1/c2 vs. c1/c1	1.54 (0.58-4.10) ^d	c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	-	-	43
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	P	217	297	c1/c2 vs. c1/c1	0.94 (0.64-1.39)	c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	2.19 (0.62-8.68)	-	44
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	OC	160	365	other than c1/c1 vs. c1/c1	0.51 (0.22-1.20)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, ethnicity, site of subject recruitment	45
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	NS	312	297	c1/c2 vs. c1/c1	1.58 (0.56-4.49)	c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	-	Age, sex	46
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	L	288	323	c1/c2 vs. c1/c1	0.55 (0.24-1.24)	c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	-	-	47
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	P	103	553	c1/c2+c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	1.45 (0.79-2.65)			Age, sex, smoking, betel nut consumption, wood and formaldehyde exposure, and Guangdong and other salted fish consumption during childhood	48
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	OC	231	212	c1/c2 vs. c1/c1	1.16 (0.64-2.11)	c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	-	-	27
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	OC	122	241	c1/c2 vs. c1/c1	1.26 (0.76-2.07)	c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	3.38 (1.22-9.36)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	28
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	OC, P, L	103	102	c1/c2 vs. c1/c1	2.3 (0.84-6.34)	c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	-	Age, sex	35
<i>CYP2E1</i> RsaI/PstI	OC, P, L, O	210	245	c1/c2+c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	0.72 (0.33-1.63)			Age, sex	37
<i>CYP2E1</i> DraI	P	48	50	DC vs. DD	1.1 (0.45-2.7)	CC vs. DD	5.0 (0.95-16)	-	39
<i>CYP2E1</i> DraI	P	364	320	DC vs. DD	1.1 (0.61-1.9)	CC vs. DD	0.81 (0.20-3.3)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	41
<i>CYP2E1</i> DraI	OC, P, L	347	121	DC vs. DD	1.04 (0.57-1.88) ^d	CC vs. DD	0.17 (0.02-1.93) ^d	-	15
<i>CYP2E1</i> DraI	OC, P	121	172	DC vs. DD	1.81 (0.94-3.47) ^d	CC vs. DD	3.15 (0.28-35.17) ^d	-	43
<i>CYP2E1</i> DraI	L	129	172	DC vs. DD	1.83 (0.97-3.47) ^d	CC vs. DD	1.47 (0.09-23.70) ^d	-	43
<i>CYP2E1</i> DraI	OC	122	241	DC vs. DD	0.97 (0.59-1.58)	CC vs. DD	2.28 (1.06-4.91)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	28
<i>CYP2E1</i> DraI	OC, P, L, O	210	245	DC+CC vs. DD	0.87 (0.43-1.76)			Age, sex	37
<i>CYP2E1</i> -71	NS	312	299	GT vs. GG	0.49 (0.25-0.98)	TT vs. GG	-	Age, sex	46
<i>CYP2E1</i> 1,532	OC, P, L	724	1,226	GC vs. GG	0.73 (0.49-1.10)	CC vs. GG	1.97 (0.39-9.86)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	38
<i>CYP2E1</i> 7,632	NS	262	236	TA vs. TT	1.02 (0.56-1.84)	AA vs. TT	-	Age, sex	46
<i>CYP2D6</i>	OC, P, L	75	200	HM vs. EM	0.69 (0.33-1.43) ^d	PM vs. EM	1.07 (0.27-4.29) ^d	-	42
<i>CYP2D6</i>	OC, P, L	385	191	HM vs. EM	0.95 (0.66-1.37) ^d	PM vs. EM	1.07 (0.50-2.26) ^d	-	15
<i>CYP2D6</i>	OC	100	467	HM vs. EM	0.87 (0.53-1.43) ^d	PM vs. EM	3.03 (1.44-6.39) ^d	-	49
<i>CYP2D6</i>	NS	25	36	HM vs. EM	1.96 (0.67-5.77) ^d	PM vs. EM	-	-	50
<i>CYP2D6</i>	NS	56	144	HM vs. EM	1.46 (0.72-2.95) ^d	PM vs. EM	0.94 (0.09-9.31) ^d	-	51
<i>CYP2D6</i>	OC, P, L, O	187	139	HM vs. EM	0.78 (0.49-1.25) ^d	PM vs. EM	1.29 (0.49-3.37) ^d	-	34

Table I. Continued.

Gene and poly-morphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Result-2 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Covariates	Ref.
<i>CYP2D6</i>	OC	286 ^f	135	wt/vt+vt/vt vs. wt/wt	0.84 (0.55-1.27) ^d			-	52
<i>CYP2D6</i>	P	74	137	wt/vt+vt/vt vs. wt/wt	2.23 (1.19-4.44)			Sex	53
<i>CYP17</i>	OC	137	102	CC vs. TC	1.5 (0.85-2.66)	TT vs. TC	3.56 (1.56-8.13)	-	54
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P, L	186	42	Null vs. Positive	2.37 (1.20-4.67)			-	55
<i>GSTM1</i>	L	269	216	Null vs. Positive	0.84 (0.59-1.21) ^d			-	56
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	40	577	Null vs. Positive	1.01 (0.53-1.92) ^d			-	57
<i>GSTM1</i>	P, L	39	37	Null vs. Positive	4.51 (1.60-12.70) ^d			-	58
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	41 ^e	123 ^e	Null vs. Positive	1.0 (0.5-2.0)			-	40
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P, L, O	158	474	Null vs. Positive	1.29 (0.90-1.86) ^d			-	59
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	133	133	Null vs. Positive	1.0 (0.6-1.7)			Age, sex, ethnicity	14
<i>GSTM1</i>	L	171	180	Null vs. Positive	0.7 (0.5-1.1)			-	60
<i>GSTM1</i>	L	129	172	Null vs. Positive	1.6 (1.0-2.8)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	61
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P, L	75	200	Null vs. Positive	1.34 (0.78-2.29) ^d			-	42
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P, L, O	185	207	Null vs. Positive	0.97 (0.65-1.44) ^d			-	16
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P	122	178	Null vs. Positive	1.2 (0.8-2.0)			Age, sex	15
<i>GSTM1</i>	L	264	178	Null vs. Positive	1.0 (0.7-1.5)			Age, sex	15
<i>GSTM1</i>	L	160	158	Null vs. Positive	1.9 (1.18-3.05)			-	62
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P	121	172	Null vs. Positive	0.9 (0.5-1.5)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	63
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	100	100	Null vs. Positive	1.04 (0.59-1.83) ^d			-	29
<i>GSTM1</i>	NS	162	315	Null vs. Positive	1.50 (1.01-2.23)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, ethnicity	64
<i>GSTM1</i>	P	83	142	Null vs. Positive	1.9 (1.0-3.3)			Age, sex, smoking	65
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	142	142	Null vs. Positive	2.2 (1.4-3.6)			-	30
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	92	147	Null vs. Positive	1.81 (1.00-3.28)			Age, sex, smoking	17
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P, L	145	164	Null vs. Positive	0.94 (0.60-1.46) ^d			-	18
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P, L, O	147	129	Null vs. Positive	0.99 (0.62-1.59)			-	21
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P, L	172	193	Null vs. Positive	1.1 (0.7-1.7)			Age, sex, ethnicity	20
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	114	33	Null vs. Positive	2.5 (1.1-5.4)			-	66
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	101	212	Null vs. Positive	1.4 (0.68-2.8)			-	67
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	63	132	Null vs. Positive	3.1 (1.1-8.5)			-	67
<i>GSTM1</i>	L	82 ^e	63 ^e	Null vs. Positive	3.53 (1.27-9.83)			Age, smoking	68
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P, L	151	264	Null vs. Positive	0.99 (0.64-1.5)			Age, smoking	69
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	98	60	Null vs. Positive	1.34 (0.37-4.82)			-	22
<i>GSTM1</i>	NS	312	300	Null vs. Positive	1.03 (0.71-1.49)			Age, sex	31
<i>GSTM1</i>	L	20	20	Null vs. Positive	4.00 (0.98-16.27) ^d			-	70
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	53	53	Null vs. Positive	3.0 (1.4-6.7)			-	71
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	297	450	Null vs. Positive	3.2 (2.4-4.3)			Age	72
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	286 ^f	135	Null vs. Positive	1.43 (0.91-2.25)			-	52
<i>GSTM1</i>	L	204	203	Null vs. Positive	0.94 (0.61-1.47)			Age, sex, smoking	73
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	94	92	Null vs. Positive	1.29 (0.72-2.31) ^d			-	23
<i>GSTM1</i>	P	314	337	Null vs. Positive	0.8 (0.6-1.1)			Age, sex, smoking, ethnicity, education level	33
<i>GSTM1</i>	L	36	35	Null vs. Positive	2.70 (1.02-7.14) ^d			-	74

Table I. Continued.

Gene and polymorphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Result-2 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Covariates	Ref.
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P, L, O	187	139	Null vs. Positive	0.78 (0.50-1.21) ^d			-	34
<i>GSTM1</i>	L	245	251	Null vs. Positive	0.94 (0.62-1.42)			Smoking, alcohol	75
<i>GSTM1</i>	L	42	47	Null vs. Positive	1.76 (0.74-4.17)			-	76
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	256	259	Null vs. Positive	1.05 (0.7-1.5)			Age, sex, smoking	77
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P, L	282	208	Null vs. Positive	1.0 (0.7-1.5)			-	25
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P, L	149	180	Null vs. Positive	0.88 (0.50-1.5)			Age, sex, ethnicity	78
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	70	82	Null vs. Positive	2.01 (1.04-3.88)			-	79
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	132	143	Null vs. Positive	0.6 (0.3-1.0)			Age, sex, alcohol, raw vegetable and fruit intake	26
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	310	348	Null vs. Positive	1.00 (0.72-1.38) ^d			-	80
<i>GSTM1</i>	L	292	321	Null vs. Positive	0.88 (0.64-1.21)			-	47
<i>GSTM1</i>	P	78	145	Null vs. Positive	1.7 (0.9-3.0)			-	81
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	122	241	Null vs. Positive	0.87 (0.55-1.37)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	28
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P, L	103	102	Null vs. Positive	2.2 (1.24-3.79)			Age, sex	35
<i>GSTM1</i>	P, L, O	185	207	Null vs. Positive	0.96 (0.65-1.43)			-	82
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	40	87	Null vs. Positive	2.2 (0.9-5.1)			-	83
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P, L	690	749	Null vs. Positive	1.29 (1.03-1.62)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, ethnicity	84
<i>GSTM1</i>	L	110 ^e	197 ^c	Null vs. Positive	1.78 (1.11-2.87)			-	85
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P, L	100	100	Null vs. Positive	3.35 (1.69-6.67)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	86
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC, P, L, O	210	245	Null vs. Positive	1.07 (0.75-1.56)			Age, sex	37
<i>GSTM1</i>	OC	72	221	Null vs. Positive	0.7 (0.4-1.3)			-	36
<i>GSTM3</i>	L	269	216	AB vs. AA	0.79 (0.52-1.20) ^d	BB vs AA	0.20 (0.07-0.63) ^d	-	56
<i>GSTM3</i>	OC, P, L	386	170	AB vs. AA	0.63 (0.42-0.95) ^d	BB vs AA	0.49 (0.18-1.35) ^d	-	15
<i>GSTM3</i>	L	129	172	AB vs. AA	1.79 (1.08-2.97) ^d	BB vs AA	1.28 (0.33-4.92) ^d	-	87
<i>GSTM3</i>	OC, P	121	172	AB vs. AA	0.98 (0.57-1.69)	BB vs AA	1.28 (0.33-4.93)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	63
<i>GSTM3</i>	OC	99	210	AB vs. AA	1.06 (0.64-1.74) ^d	BB vs AA	1.28 (0.33-4.94) ^d	-	67
<i>GSTM3</i>	OC	63	132	AB vs. AA	0.66 (0.26-1.63) ^d	BB vs AA	1.28 (0.33-4.95) ^d	-	67
<i>GSTM3</i>	OC	297	450	AB vs. AA	1.07 (0.7-1.8)	BB vs AA	1.28 (0.33-4.96)	Age	72
<i>GSTM3</i>	L	202	202	AB vs. AA	0.80 (0.49-1.31)	BB vs AA	1.28 (0.33-4.97)	Age, sex, smoking	73
<i>GSTM3</i>	OC	256	259	AB+BB vs. AA	0.7 (0.5-1.1)			Age, sex, smoking	77
<i>GSTM3</i>	OC	310	348	AB+BB vs. AA	0.71 (0.48-1.05) ^d			-	80
<i>GSTM3</i>	OC	231	212	AB vs. AA	1.37 (0.90-2.09)	BB vs AA	0.88 (0.47-1.66)	-	27
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	OC, P	120	180	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	2.04 (1.24-3.37) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.34 (0.63-2.87) ^d	-	88
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	L	260	180	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.30 (0.87-1.96) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.86 (0.46-1.61) ^d	-	88
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	L	129	172	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.13 (0.69-1.84) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.95 (0.45-1.97) ^d	-	87
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	OC, P	121	172	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.45 (0.88-2.40) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.33 (0.65-2.74) ^d	-	63
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	OC, P, L	145	164	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.67 (0.40-1.13) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.33 (0.65-2.75) ^d	-	18
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	OC	157	260	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.79 (0.47-1.3)	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.33 (0.65-2.76)	Smoking, alcohol, ethnicity	89
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	OC	83	22	Ile/Val+Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.93 (1.05-3.58)			Age, sex	90
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	OC, P, L, O	146	124	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.38 (0.83-2.30) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.84 (0.37-1.91) ^d	-	21

Table I. Continued.

Gene and poly-morphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Result-2 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Covariates	Ref.
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	OC, P, L	172	193	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.4 (0.9-2.2)	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.6 (0.2-1.5)	Age, sex, ethnicity	20
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	OC, P, L	151	264	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.67 (0.43-1.02) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.07 (0.52-2.19) ^d	-	69
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	NS	312	300	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.79 (0.58-1.11) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.26 (0.76-2.10) ^d	-	31
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	L	204	201	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.17 (0.73-1.88)	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.78 (0.37-1.63)	Age, sex, smoking	73
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	OC, P, L	87	51	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.52 (0.72-3.24) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.34 (0.47-3.83) ^d	-	91
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	P	137	99	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.97 (0.54-1.75) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.03 (0.38-2.74) ^d	-	92
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	P	264	323	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.0 (0.6-1.4)	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.7 (0.2-2.3)	Age, sex, smoking, ethnicity, education level	33
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	OC, P, L	235	285	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.80 (0.55-1.16) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.80 (0.47-1.38) ^d	-	93
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	OC, P, L	282	208	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.22 (0.84-1.79) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.89 (0.48-1.63) ^d	-	25
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	OC	256	259	Ile/Val+Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.43 (1.01-2.02) ^d			Age, sex, smoking	77
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	OC	310	348	Ile/Val+Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.80 (0.59-1.09) ^d			-	80
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	P, L, O	185	207	Ile/Val+Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.01 (0.70-1.45)			-	82
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	OC, P, L	294	333	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.80 (0.57-1.12) ^d	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.73 (0.27-1.97) ^d	-	94
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 105	OC, P, L	690	748	Ile/Val+Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.04 (0.83-1.31)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, ethnicity	84
<i>GSTP1</i> codon 114	OC	256	259	Ala/Val+Val/Val vs. Ala/Ala	1.2 (0.4-4.0)			Age, sex, smoking	77
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC, P, L	127	42	Null vs. Positive	1.47 (0.71-3.02)			-	55
<i>GSTT1</i>	O	34	509	Null vs. Positive	0.59 (0.20-1.71) ^d			-	57
<i>GSTT1</i>	L	269	216	Null vs. Positive	1.77 (1.08-2.89) ^d			-	56
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC	41 ^e	123 ^e	Null vs. Positive	1.2 (0.6-2.5)			-	40
<i>GSTT1</i>	L	129	172	Null vs. Positive	1.4 (0.7-2.9)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	61
<i>GSTT1</i>	L	171	180	Null vs. Positive	0.8 (0.5-1.3)			-	60
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC, P, L, O	185	207	Null vs. Positive	0.95 (0.58-1.56) ^d			-	16
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC, P	119	203	Null vs. Positive	1.5 (0.9-2.5)			Age, sex	15
<i>GSTT1</i>	L	263	203	Null vs. Positive	0.9 (0.5-1.4)			Age, sex	15
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC, P	121	172	Null vs. Positive	2.0 (1.0-4.0)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	63
<i>GSTT1</i>	NS	162	315	Null vs. Positive	2.27 (1.43-3.60)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, ethnicity	64
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC	92	147	Null vs. Positive	0.68 (0.38-1.22)			Age, sex, smoking	17
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC, P, L, O	142	109	Null vs. Positive	0.91 (0.47-1.74)			-	21
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC, P, L	172	193	Null vs. Positive	1.2 (0.7-2.3)			Age, sex, ethnicity	20
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC, P, L, O	46	44	Null vs. Positive	5.00 (1.66-15.1)			Smoking, alcohol	95
<i>GSTT1</i>	L	82 ^e	63 ^e	Null vs. Positive	1.83 (0.70-4.79)			Age, smoking	68
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC	98	60	Null vs. Positive	2.48 (0.28-21.71)			-	22
<i>GSTT1</i>	NS	312	300	Null vs. Positive	1.00 (0.64-1.60)			Age, sex	31
<i>GSTT1</i>	L	20	20	Null vs. Positive	0.71 (0.14-3.66) ^d			-	70
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC, P, L	151	264	Null vs. Positive	0.98 (0.6-1.7)			Age, smoking	69

Table I. Continued.

Gene and polymorphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Result-2 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Covariates	Ref.
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC	53	53	Null vs. Positive	0.6 (0.3-1.3)			-	71
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC	297	450	Null vs. Positive	1.6 (1.04-2.6)			Age	72
<i>GSTT1</i>	L	204	203	Null vs. Positive	0.61 (0.35-1.06)			Age, sex, smoking	73
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC, P, L, O	187	139	Null vs. Positive	1.07 (0.59-1.97) ^d			-	34
<i>GSTT1</i>	P	316	336	Null vs. Positive	1.0 (0.8-1.4)			Age, sex, smoking, ethnicity, education level	33
<i>GSTT1</i>	L	245	251	Null vs. Positive	1.34 (0.74-2.42)			Smoking, alcohol	75
<i>GSTT1</i>	L	42	47	Null vs. Positive	2.52 (1.0-6.4)			-	76
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC	256	259	Null vs. Positive	1.4 (0.9-2.4)			Age, sex, smoking	77
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC, P, L	283	208	Null vs. Positive	0.6 (0.4-0.9)			-	25
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC, P, L	149	180	Null vs. Positive	1.2 (0.55-2.5)			Age, sex, ethnicity	78
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC	132	143	Null vs. Positive	1.0 (0.5-1.9)			Age, sex, alcohol, raw vegetable and fruit intake	26
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC	310	348	Null vs. Positive	1.15 (0.76-1.74) ⁴			-	80
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC	87	81	Null vs. Positive	7.20 (3.50-14.84)			-	96
<i>GSTT1</i>	L	290	316	Null vs. Positive	0.96 (0.64-1.44)			-	47
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC	122	241	Null vs. Positive	0.78 (0.49-1.23)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	28
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC, P, L	103	102	Null vs. Positive	1.5 (0.76-2.95)			Age, sex	35
<i>GSTT1</i>	P, L, O	185	207	Null vs. Positive	0.95 (0.57-1.56)			-	82
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC	40	87	Null vs. Positive	4.2 (1.6-10.9)			-	83
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC, P, L	690	750	Null vs. Positive	0.78 (0.59-1.04)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, ethnicity	84
<i>GSTT1</i>	L	110 ^e	197 ^e	Null vs. Positive	2.29 (1.31-4.01)			-	85
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC, P, L	100	100	Null vs. Positive	1.20 (0.64-2.26)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	86
<i>GSTT1</i>	OC, P, L, O	210	245	Null vs. Positive	0.97 (0.63-1.51)			Age, sex	37
<i>NAT1</i>	OC	62	122	Int. vs. wt/wt	3.7 (1.60-8.46)	Rapid vs. wt/wt	3.3 (1.31-8.56)	-	97
<i>NAT1</i>	OC, P	121	172	Rapid+Int. vs. wt/wt+Slow	0.8 (0.5-1.4)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	98
<i>NAT1</i>	L	129	172	Rapid+Int. vs. wt/wt+Slow	1.0 (0.6-1.7)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	98
<i>NAT1</i>	OC, P	143	300	Rapid+Int. vs. wt/wt+Slow	0.94 (0.61-1.45) ^d			-	99
<i>NAT1</i>	L	148	300	Rapid+Int. vs. wt/wt+Slow	1.22 (0.81-1.85) ^d			-	99
<i>NAT1</i>	L	88	172	Rapid+Int. vs. wt/wt	1.37 (0.79-2.39)			-	100
<i>NAT2</i>	OC	62	122	Int. vs. Rapid	1.3 (0.66-2.4)	Slow vs. Rapid	2.3 (0.8-7.2)	-	97
<i>NAT2</i>	OC, P, L	75	200			Slow vs. Rapid	2.63 (1.45-4.76) ⁴	-	42
<i>NAT2</i>	OC, P	121	172	Slow vs. Rapid+Int.	1.7 (1.0-3.0)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	98
<i>NAT2</i>	L	129	172	Slow vs. Rapid+Int.	0.9 (0.5-1.6)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	98
<i>NAT2</i>	OC, P, L	145	164	Int. vs. Rapid	1.69 (1.04-2.75) ^d	Slow vs. Rapid	1.53 (0.73-3.19) ⁴	-	18
<i>NAT2</i>	OC	341	552	Int. vs. Rapid	1.1 (0.6-2.0)	Slow vs. Rapid	1.2 (0.7-2.2)	Age, ethnicity	101
<i>NAT2</i>	L	88	172			Slow vs. Rapid	1.45 (0.84-2.51)	-	100

Table I. Continued.

Gene and poly-morphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Result-2 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Covariates	Ref.
<i>NAT2</i>	OC	94	92	Int. vs. Rapid	1.78 (0.39-8.09) ^d	Slow vs. Rapid	1.73 (0.39-7.56) ^d	-	23
<i>NAT2</i>	P	279	325			Slow vs. Rapid	1.3 (0.8-2.0)	Age, sex, smoking, ethnicity, education level	33
<i>NAT2</i>	OC	231	212	4/11 vs. 11/11	0.79 (0.44-1.43)	4/4 vs. 11/11	1.95 (1.05-3.60)	-	27
<i>NAT2</i>	OC, P, L, O	210	245	Slow vs. Rapid+ Int	.098 (0.67-1.45)			Age, sex	37
<i>NAT2</i> *14	L	45	104	wt/vt vs. wt/wt	0.68 (0.19-2.39)	vt/vt vs. wt/wt	13.87 (0.60-318.0)	-	102
<i>NAT2</i> *5	L	45	104	wt/vt vs. wt/wt	0.71 (0.17-3.01)	vt/vt vs. wt/wt	7.34 (1.51-36.01)	-	102
<i>NAT2</i> *6	L	45	104	wt/vt vs. wt/wt	3.85 (1.17-12.69)	vt/vt vs. wt/wt	38.31 (8.01-182.3)	-	102
<i>NAT2</i> *7	L	45	104	wt/vt vs. wt/wt	0.20 (0.05-0.76)	vt/vt vs. wt/wt	4.45 (0.78-25.33)	-	102
<i>EPHX1</i> codon 113	OC, P	121	172	Tyr/His vs. Tyr/Tyr	0.4 (0.2-0.7)	His/His vs. Tyr/Tyr	0.8 (0.4-1.8)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	103
<i>EPHX1</i> codon 113	L	129	172	Tyr/His vs. Tyr/Tyr	0.4 (0.2-0.7)	His/His vs. Tyr/Tyr	0.5 (0.2-1.1)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	103
<i>EPHX1</i> codon 113	P	137	99	Tyr/His vs. Tyr/Tyr	0.46 (0.24-0.86) ^d	His/His vs. Tyr/Tyr	0.19 (0.09-0.42) ^d	-	92
<i>EPHX1</i> codon 113	L	204	203	Tyr/His vs. Tyr/Tyr	0.64 (0.41-1.02)	His/His vs. Tyr/Tyr	0.60 (0.24-1.47)	Age, sex, smoking	73
<i>EPHX1</i> codon 113	OC, P, L	280	289	Tyr/His vs. Tyr/Tyr	0.83 (0.56-1.23)	His/His vs. Tyr/Tyr	0.89 (0.45-1.75)	Age, sex	104
<i>EPHX1</i> codon 113	OC, L	142	213	Tyr/His+Tyr/Tyr vs. His/His	2.1 (1.0-4.0)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, region of subject recruitment	105
<i>EPHX1</i> codon 113	OC, L	81	122	Tyr/His+Tyr/Tyr vs. His/His	2.4 (0.5-12.2)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, region of subject recruitment	105
<i>EPHX1</i> codon 113	OC, P, L, O	210	245	Tyr/His vs. Tyr/Tyr	1.06 (0.70-1.60)	His/His vs. Tyr/Tyr	1.52 (0.86-2.69)	Age, sex	37
<i>EPHX1</i> codon 139	OC, P	121	172	His/Arg vs. His/His	1.17 (0.70-1.95) ^d	Arg/Arg vs. His/His	2.27 (0.37-13.88) ^d	-	103
<i>EPHX1</i> codon 139	L	129	172	His/Arg vs. His/His	1.21 (0.73-1.99) ^d	Arg/Arg vs. His/His	2.88 (0.52-16.09) ^d	-	103
<i>EPHX1</i> codon 139	P	137	99	His/Arg vs. His/His	0.95 (0.50-1.81) ^d	Arg/Arg vs. His/His	1.47 (0.48-4.50) ^d	-	92
<i>EPHX1</i> codon 139	L	204	203	His/Arg vs. His/His	0.95 (0.58-1.55)	Arg/Arg vs. His/His	0.27 (0.05-1.43)	Age, sex, smoking	73
<i>EPHX1</i> codon 139	OC, P, L	280	289	His/Arg vs. His/His	0.75 (0.51-1.12)	Arg/Arg vs. His/His	1.38 (0.50-3.80)	Age, sex	104
<i>EPHX1</i> codon 139	OC, L	142	213	His/Arg+Arg/Arg vs. His/His	1.3 (0.8-2.2)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, region of subject recruitment	105
<i>EPHX1</i> codon 139	OC, L	81	122	His/Arg+Arg/Arg vs. His/His	1.3 (0.6-2.7)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, region of subject recruitment	105
<i>EPHX1</i> codon 139	OC, P, L, O	210	245	His/Arg vs. His/His	0.69 (0.46-1.03)	Arg/Arg vs. His/His	1.21 (0.40-3.72)	Age, sex	37

Table I. Continued.

Gene and poly-morphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Result-2 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Covariates	Ref.
<i>NQO1</i> 465	OC, P, L, O	350	364	CT vs. CC	1.10 (0.60-2.05)	TT vs. CC	-	Age, sex	106
<i>NQO1</i> 465	OC, P, L	294	333	CT vs. CC	0.64 (0.29-1.43) ^d	TT vs. CC	-	-	94
<i>NQO1</i> 609	OC, P, L	724	1,226	CT vs. CC	0.89 (0.73-1.09)	TT vs. CC	1.56 (0.94-2.59)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	38
<i>NQO1</i> 609	OC, P, L, O	350	366	CT vs. CC	0.89 (0.64-1.23)	TT vs. CC	1.01 (0.43-2.36)	Age, sex	106
<i>UGT1A10</i> codon 139	OC, L	113	115	Glu/Lys vs. Glu/Glu	0.20 (0.05-0.87)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	108
<i>UGT1A10</i> codon 244	OC, L	115	111	Leu/Ile vs. Leu/Leu	0.94 (0.26-3.4)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	108
<i>UGT1A7</i>	OC, L	194	388	Int. vs. High	1.5 (0.78-2.7)	Low vs. High	3.7 (1.7-8.7)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, ethnicity, region of subject recruitment	109
<i>SULT1A1</i>	OC, P, L, O	123	247	Arg/His vs. Arg/Arg	1.26 (0.73-2.19)	His/His vs. Arg/Arg	3.60 (1.01-12.88)	Smoking, alcohol, fruits, vegetables, physical activity	110

^aOC, oral cavity; P, pharynx; L, larynx; O, other; NS, not specified. ^bInt., intermediate; wt, wild-type; vt, variant-type. ^cOR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. ^dOR and 95% CI were calculated from the genotype distribution. ^eMale. ^fIncluding premalignancies.

111,114-116) of 9 (67%) studies (43,58,111-116), *ADH3**1/*1 homozygotes showed increased risk for HNC.

DNA repair genes (Table III). A wide variety of DNA damage may be induced by normal endogenous metabolic processes or by environmental carcinogens. If not repaired, such damage can lead to gene mutations and genomic instability, which in turn may cause malignant transformation of cells. Normal function of DNA repair enzymes is essential for removal of damage. It has been shown that reduced DNA repair capacity is associated with increased risk of cancer. Genetic polymorphisms in DNA repair genes that contribute to variations in DNA repair capacity may be related to risk of developing cancers, including esophageal cancer.

***XRCC1*.** *XRCC1*, which is encoded by *X-ray repair cross complementary 1 (XRCC1)*, is involved in the core processes of single-strand break repair and base excision repair (254,255). Mutant hamster ovary cell lines that lack *XRCC1* are hypersensitive to ionizing radiation, hydrogen peroxide and alkylating agents, which leads to a 10-fold increase in the frequency of spontaneous chromosome aberrations and deletions. Polymorphisms in *XRCC1*, including Arg194Trp, Arg280His and Arg399Gln, have been described. Although the biochemical and biologic characteristics of the variants have not been determined, it has been reported that individuals with the *XRCC1* 399Gln variant show increased sister chromatid exchange after treatment with a tobacco-specific carcinogen, NNK (256).

Four (57%) ORs (133,134,136,137) from 7 studies (80,133-138) of the Trp/Trp genotype vs. the Arg/Arg genotype at codon 194 were >1, whereas the remaining 3 (43%) (80,135,138) were not. Two (50%) ORs (80,136) from 4 studies (80,136,138,139) of the His/His genotype vs. the Arg/Arg genotype at codon 280 were >1, whereas the remaining 2 (50%) (135,139) were not. Six (55%) ORs (24,80,133,135,136,139) from 11 studies (24,80,131-140) of the Gln/Gln genotype vs. the Arg/Arg genotype at codon 399 were >1, whereas the remaining 5 (45%) (132,134,137, 138,140) were not. The results for the relations between *XRCC1* polymorphisms and HNC were inconsistent.

***XPD*.** *XPD*, xeroderma pigmentosum complementary group D, is an evolutionarily conserved ATP-dependent helicase involved in the nucleotide excision repair pathway. *XPD* has 2 functions: nucleotide excision repair and basal transcription as part of the transcription factor complex, TFIIH (257). Polymorphisms, such as 22,541AC and 35,931CA, have been identified. Individuals homozygous for the variant genotype of *XPD* have suboptimal DNA repair capacity (258).

All 4 studies (137,138,142,145) of the genotype at nucleotide 22,541 of *XPD* and risk for HNC showed a decreased risk in AA homozygotes compared with CC homozygotes. Five (136,138,140,142,145) of 6 (83%) studies (136-138,140, 142,145) of the genotype at nucleotide 35,931 and HNC risk showed an increased risk in CC homozygotes compared with AA homozygotes.

Table II. Studies on polymorphisms of alcohol metabolic enzymes and risk of head and neck cancer.

Gene and poly-morphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1	OR and 95% CI ^b	Result-2	OR and 95% CI ^b	Covariates	Ref.
<i>ADH3</i>	P, L	39 ^{d,e}	37 ^{d,e}	*2/*1 vs. *2/*2	0.26 (0.06-1.20) ^c	*1/*1 vs. *2/*2	1.36 (0.26-6.96) ^c	-	58
<i>ADH3</i>	OC	137	146	*2/*1 vs. *2/*2	0.91 (0.43-1.90) ^c	*1/*1 vs. *2/*2	1.39 (0.66-2.90) ^c	-	111
<i>ADH3</i>	OC, P	119	167	*2/*1 vs. *2/*2	0.7 (0.4-1.4)	*1/*1 vs. *2/*2	1.1 (0.6-2.2)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	43
<i>ADH3</i>	L	125	167	*2/*1 vs. *2/*2	1.0 (0.5-1.8)	*1/*1 vs. *2/*2	0.7 (0.4-1.4)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	43
<i>ADH3</i>	OC, P, L	173	194	*2/*1 vs. *2/*2	0.8 (0.4-1.7)	*1/*1 vs. *2/*2	0.9 (0.4-1.9)	Age, sex, ethnicity	112
<i>ADH3</i>	OC, P	229	575	*2/*1 vs. *2/*2	0.80 (0.53-1.21) ^c	*1/*1 vs. *2/*2	0.82 (0.52-1.29) ^c	-	113
<i>ADH3</i>	OC	333	541	*2/*1 vs. *2/*2	1.3 (0.9-1.9)	*1/*1 vs. *2/*2	1.1 (0.7-1.6)	Age, sex, ethnicity	114
<i>ADH3</i>	OC	93	99			*1/*1 vs. *2/*2	1.1 (0.4-3.3)	Sex, smoking, alcohol, referring hospital	115
<i>ADH3</i>	OC, P, L	141	94	*2/*1 vs. *2/*2	1.11 (0.42-2.93) ^c	*1/*1 vs. *2/*2	1.25 (0.48-3.26) ^c	-	116
<i>ALDH2</i>	OC, P, L	34 ^{d,e}	487 ^{d,e}	*1/*2 vs. *1/*1	11.14 (5.09-24.36)	*2/*2 vs. *1/*1	-	Smoking, alcohol, age at admission	117
<i>ALDH2</i>	OC	92	147	*1/*2 vs. *1/*1	1.18 (0.65-2.13)	*2/*2 vs. *1/*1	1.35 (0.57-2.17)	Age, sex, alcohol	17
<i>ALDH2</i>	OC	114	33	*1/*2 vs. *1/*1	2.9 (1.1-7.8)	*2/*2 vs. *1/*1	-	-	66
<i>ALDH2</i>	OC, P, L	33 ^{d,e}	526 ^{d,e}	*1/*2 vs. *1/*1	18.52 (7.72-44.44)	*2/*2 vs. *1/*1	-	-	118
<i>ALDH2</i>	OC, P, L, O	192	192	*1/*2 vs. *1/*1	1.18 (0.78-1.79) ^c	*2/*2 vs. *1/*1	0.58 (0.19-1.79) ^c	-	119
<i>ALDH2</i>	OC, P	192	642	*1/*2 vs. *1/*1	1.55 (1.11-2.14) ^c	*2/*2 vs. *1/*1	-	-	120
<i>ADH1C</i>	L	245	251	*1/*2+*2/*2 vs. *1/*1	0.94 (0.62-1.43)			Smoking, alcohol	75
<i>ADH1C</i>	OC, P, L	87 ^f	1036	*1/*2 vs. *1/*1	0.52 (0.27-1.04) ^c	*2/*2 vs. *1/*1	0.27 (0.10-0.71) ^c	-	121
<i>ADH1C</i>	OC, P, L, O	521	599	*1/*2 vs. *1/*1	1.1 (0.9-1.4)	*2/*2 vs. *1/*1	1.2 (0.9-1.8)	Age, sex, ethnicity	122
<i>ADH1C</i>	OC, P, L	84	525	*1/*2 vs. *1/*1	0.52 (0.31-0.88) ^c	*2/*2 vs. *1/*1	0.32 (0.15-0.66) ^c	-	123
<i>ADH1C</i>	OC, P	192	642	*1/*2 vs. *1/*1	2.09 (1.31-3.34) ^c	*2/*2 vs. *1/*1	-	-	120
<i>ADH1B</i>	L	245	251	*1/*2 vs. *1/*1	0.86 (0.41-1.82)	*2*/2 vs. *1/*1	-	Smoking, alcohol	75
<i>ADH1B</i>	OC, P	192	642	*1/*2 vs. *1/*1	0.20 (0.12-0.36) ^c	*2*/2 vs. *1/*1	0.21 (0.12-0.35) ^c	-	120
<i>ADH2</i>	OC, P, L	33 ^{d,e}	526 ^{d,e}	*1/*1 vs. *1/*2 +*2/*2	6.67 (2.78-16.7)			-	118

^aOC, oral cavity; P, pharynx; L, larynx; O, other, ^bOR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. ^cOR and 95% CI were calculated from the genotype distribution. ^dMale. ^eAlcoholic. ^fHeavy drinker.

Cell-cycle control genes (Table IV)

p53. The *p53* tumor suppressor gene is frequently mutated in various human cancers including HNC (259-262). A G-to-C polymorphism in codon 72 of exon 4 results in an Arg-to-Pro substitution. Although both variants are morphologically wild-type, the Pro/Pro genotype is less effective in suppressing cellular transformation (263). Individuals with the Pro/Pro genotype showed a higher risk for HNC than individuals with the Arg/Arg genotype in 15 (153,154,156-159,161-167,169,170) of 20 (75%) studies (21,153-171). Two (10%) studies (169,170) showed a significantly higher risk for HNC in Pro/Pro homozygotes than in Arg/Arg homozygotes. These results suggest that the *p53* codon 72 polymorphism may play a role in susceptibility to HNC.

Cyclin D1. Cyclin D1 plays an important role in the multi-stage development of HNC (264). *Cyclin D1* mRNA is alternatively spliced to produce 2 transcripts, and the splicing pattern may be modulated by a common G870A polymorphism within the splice donor site in exon 4. This polymorphism increases the frequency of alternative splicing, leading to an altered protein. Six (67%) ORs (173-177, 179) from 9 studies (172-180) of the GA genotype vs. the GG genotype at nucleotide position 870 were <1, and 7 (78%) ORs (173-179) for the AA genotype vs. GG were <1. These results suggest that the A allele may be associated with decreased risk for HNC.

Others (Table V-IX). Relations between polymorphisms in other genes, such as folate metabolic and extracellular degra-

Table III. Studies on polymorphisms of DNA repair genes and risk of head and neck cancer.

Gene and polymorphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1	OR and 95% CI ^b	Result-2	OR and 95% CI ^b	Covariates	Ref.
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 194	OC, P, L	98	161	Arg/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	1.3 (0.6-2.9)	Trp/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	-	Age, sex	132
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 194	L	88	178	Arg/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	0.89 (0.37-2.13) ^c	Trp/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	-	-	24
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 194	OC, P, L	120	145	Arg/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	2.46 (1.41-4.29)	Trp/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	2.21 (1.34-3.49)	Age, smoking, alcohol	133
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 194	NS	95	98	Arg/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	1.97 (0.79-4.96)	Trp/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	1.69 (0.28-10.39)	-	134
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 194	OC	310	348	Arg/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	1.16 (0.78-1.74) ^c	Trp/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	0.57 (0.14-2.31) ^c	-	80
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 194	P	417	495	Arg/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	0.79 (0.60-1.05)	Trp/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	0.48 (0.27-0.86)	Age, sex, smoking	135
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 194	OC	110	110	Arg/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	2.65 (1.40-5.04)	Trp/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	9.5 (1.14-79.47)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, betel quid chewing	136
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 194	OC	106	164	Arg/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	2.26 (1.20-4.28)	Trp/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	1.97 (0.86-4.51)	betel quid chewing	137
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 194	OC	309	387	Arg/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	0.9 (0.9-1.0)	Trp/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	0.9 (0.9-1.0)	Age, sex, smoking	138
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 280	P	332	283	Arg/His vs. Arg/Arg	0.64 (0.43-0.97)	His/His vs. Arg/Arg	0.66 (0.09-4.7)	Age, sex, ethnicity	139
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 280	OC, P, L	135	168	Arg/His vs. Arg/Arg	0.95 (0.50-1.83)	His/His vs. Arg/Arg	-	Age, smoking, alcohol	133
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 280	OC	310	348	Arg/His vs. Arg/Arg	1.13 (0.79-1.61) ^c	His/His vs. Arg/Arg	1.16 (0.23-5.79) ^c	-	80
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 280	OC	110	110	Arg/His vs. Arg/Arg	1.29 (0.70-2.36)	His/His vs. Arg/Arg	2.16 (0.92-24.4)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, betel quid chewing	136
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 280	OC	307	387	Arg/His vs. Arg/Arg	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	His/His vs. Arg/Arg	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	Age, sex, smoking	138
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 399	OC, P, L	98	161	Arg/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	0.8 (0.4-1.1)	Gln/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	0.1 (0.04-0.6)	Age, sex	132
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 399	P	334	282	Arg/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	1.0 (0.74-1.5)	Gln/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	1.3 (0.72-2.4)	Age, sex, ethnicity	139
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 399	L	88	178	Arg/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	1.08 (0.63-1.86) ^c	Gln/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	1.32 (0.57-3.08) ^c	-	24
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 399	OC, P, L	129	157	Arg/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	0.84 (0.50-1.41)	Gln/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	1.22 (0.71-2.10)	Age, smoking, alcohol	133
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 399	OC, P, L	525	757	Arg/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	0.91 (0.66-1.25)	Gln/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	0.40 (0.11-1.51)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, ethnicity, center	140
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 399	OC	310	348	Arg/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	1.03 (0.74-1.42) ^c	Gln/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	1.39 (0.79-2.43) ^c	-	80
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 399	NS	95	98	Arg/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	0.83 (0.45-1.52)	Gln/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	0.86 (0.35-2.10)	-	134
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 399	P	425	501	Arg/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	0.82 (0.62-1.08)	Gln/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	1.20 (0.69-2.06)	Age, sex, smoking	135
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 399	OC	110	110	Arg/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	2.31 (1.29-4.12)	Gln/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	6.35 (1.99-20.19)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, betel quid chewing	136

Table III. Continued.

Gene and poly-morphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1	OR and 95% CI ^b	Result-2	OR and 95% CI ^b	Covariates	Ref.
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 399	OC	106	164	Arg/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	0.64 (0.35-1.16)	Gln/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	0.30 (0.10-0.88)	Betel quid chewing	137
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 399	OC	309	385	Arg/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	0.9 (0.9-1.0)	Gln/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	0.9 (0.9-1.0)	Age, sex, smoking	138
<i>XRCC1</i> 26,304	OC, P, L	203	424	CT vs. CC	0.73 (0.43-1.22) ^c	TT vs. CC	-	-	141
<i>XRCC1</i> 28,152	OC, P, L	203	424	GA vs. GG	0.75 (0.52-1.08) ^c	AA vs. GG	1.34 (0.80-2.24) ^c	-	141
<i>XRCC1</i> 28,152	L	293	319	GA vs. GG	1.23 (0.87-1.74)	AA vs. GG	0.79 (0.47-1.32)	-	142
<i>XRCC2</i> codon 188	OC, P	119	165	His/His+His/Arg vs. Arg/Arg	1.8 (1.0-3.5)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	143
<i>XRCC2</i> codon 188	L	127	165	His/His+His/Arg vs. Arg/Arg	1.0 (0.5-2.0)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	143
<i>XRCC3</i> codon 241	OC, P, L	367	354	Thr/Met vs. Thr/Thr	0.90 (0.66-1.24)	Met/Met vs. Thr/Thr	1.29 (0.81-2.03)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	144
<i>XRCC3</i> codon 241	OC, P	119	166	Thr/Met vs. Thr/Thr	0.6 (0.4-1.1)	Met/Met vs. Thr/Thr	0.7 (0.3-1.4)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	143
<i>XRCC3</i> codon 241	L	127	166	Thr/Met vs. Thr/Thr	0.7 (0.4-1.2)	Met/Met vs. Thr/Thr	0.7 (0.3-1.4)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	143
<i>XRCC3</i> codon 241	OC, P, L	516	760	Thr/Met vs. Thr/Thr	1.01 (0.76-1.33)	Met/Met vs. Thr/Thr	1.04 (0.80-1.35)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, ethnicity, center	140
<i>XRCC3</i> codon 241	OC	310	348	Thr/Met vs. Thr/Thr	0.88 (0.64-1.23) ^c	Met/Met vs. Thr/Thr	1.64 (0.66-4.10) ^c	-	80
<i>XRCC3</i> codon 241	OC	106	164	Thr/Met vs. Thr/Thr	2.31 (1.09-4.91)	Met/Met vs. Thr/Thr	0.66 (0.04-10.92)	Betel quid chewing	137
<i>XPB</i> 22,541	OC, P, L	189	496	CA vs. CC	1.01 (0.70-1.63)	AA vs. CC	0.90 (0.52-1.56)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	145
<i>XPB</i> 22,541	L	286	319	CA vs. CC	0.61 (0.43-0.87)	AA vs. CC	0.62 (0.36-1.04)	-	142
<i>XPB</i> 22,541	OC	106	164	CA vs. CC	1.74 (0.94-3.22)	AA vs. CC	0.85 (0.30-2.37)	Betel quid chewing	137
<i>XPB</i> 22,541	OC	308	388	CA vs. CC	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	AA vs. CC	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	Age, sex, smoking	138
<i>XPB</i> 23,047	OC, P, L	180	400	CG+GG vs. CC	0.31 (0.04-2.57) ^c			-	146
<i>XPB</i> 23,591	OC, P, L	313	313	GA+AA vs. GG	1.28 (0.93-1.76)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	147
<i>XPB</i> 23,591	OC	305	387	GA vs. GG	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	AA vs. GG	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	Age, sex, smoking	138
<i>XPB</i> 35,931	OC, P, L	189	496	AC vs. AA	1.12 (0.77-1.62)	CC vs. AA	1.65 (0.98-2.77)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	145
<i>XPB</i> 35,931	L	293	320	AC vs. AA	0.61 (0.43-0.87)	CC vs. AA	1.53 (0.95-2.46)	-	142
<i>XPB</i> 35,931	OC, P, L	544	775	AC vs. AA	1.04 (0.80-1.37)	CC vs. AA	1.03 (0.69-1.52)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, ethnicity, center	140
<i>XPB</i> 35,931	OC	110	110	AC vs. AA	2.16 (1.20-3.86)	CC vs. AA	2.72 (1.07-6.91)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, betel quid chewing	136
<i>XPB</i> 35,931	OC	105	164	AC vs. AA	0.69 (0.35-1.39)	CC vs. AA	2.04 (0.19-21.66)	Betel quid chewing	137
<i>XPB</i> 35,931	OC	309	388	AC vs. AA	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	CC vs. AA	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	Age, sex, smoking	138
<i>XPG</i>	OC	200	921	His/Asp+His/His vs. Asp/Asp	2.08 (1.04-4.17)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, ethnicity, educational level	148

Table III. Continued.

Gene and polymorphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1	OR and 95% CI ^b	Result-2	OR and 95% CI ^b	Covariates	Ref.
<i>XPG</i>	P	56	921	His/Asp+His/His vs. Asp/Asp	2.27 (0.71-7.14)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, ethnicity, educational level	148
<i>XPG</i>	L	73	921	His/Asp+His/His vs. Asp/Asp	2.17 (0.77-6.25)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, ethnicity, educational level	148
<i>XPG</i>	OC	122	241	His/Asp vs. Asp/Asp	1.01 (0.61-1.69)	HisHis vs. Asp/Asp	0.81 (0.42-1.58)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	28
<i>XPC</i> PAT	OC, P, L	287	311	Null/Positive vs. Null/Null	1.44 (1.01-2.05)	Positive/Positive vs. Null/Null	1.85 (1.12-3.05)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	149
<i>XPC</i> PAT	OC, P, L	73	82	Null/Positive vs. Null/Null	0.95 (0.48-1.88) ^c	Positive/Positive vs. Null/Null	0.89 (0.33-2.40) ^c	-	150
<i>XPC</i> PAT	OC	106	164	Null/Positive vs. Null/Null	0.83 (0.46-1.48)	Positive/Positive vs. Null/Null	1.60 (0.55-4.66)	Betel quid chewing	137
<i>XPC</i> exon 15	OC	106	164	CA vs. CC	0.87 (0.48-1.55)	AA vs. CC	1.35 (0.50-3.92)	Betel quid chewing	137
<i>XPC</i> intron 9	OC	122	241	Null/Positive vs. Null/Null	0.86 (0.52-1.42)	Positive/Positive vs. Null/Null	0.75 (0.36-1.55)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	28
<i>XPA</i> 5'-UTR	OC	122	241	AG vs. AA	2.15 (1.19-3.90)	GG vs. AA	1.88 (0.97-3.62)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	28
<i>XPF</i> 5'-UTR	OC	122	241	TA vs. TT	0.86 (0.53-1.38)	AA vs. TT	0.69 (0.28-1.69)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	28
<i>MGMT</i> codon 65	OC	106	164	Trp/Cys vs. Trp/Trp	-	Cys/Cys vs. Trp/Trp	-	Betel quid chewing	137
<i>MGMT</i> codon 84	OC, P, L	514	754	Leu/Phe vs. Leu/Leu	0.75 (0.56-1.02)	Phe/Phe vs. Leu/Leu	0.64 (0.26-1.60)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, ethnicity, center	140
<i>MGMT</i> codon 84	OC	106	164	Leu/Phe vs. Leu/Leu	1.11 (0.54-2.26)	Phe/Phe vs. Leu/Leu	0.37 (0.01-15.73)	Betel quid chewing	137
<i>MGMT</i> codon 143	OC, P, L	536	751	Ile/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.72 (0.52-0.99)	Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	0.66 (0.20-1.91)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, ethnicity, center	140
<i>hOGG1</i> codon 326	OC, L	169	338	Ser/Cys vs. Ser/Ser	1.6 (1.04-2.6)	Cys/Cys vs. Ser/Ser	4.1 (1.3-13)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	151
<i>hOGG1</i> codon 326	P	333	283	Ser/Cys vs. Ser/Ser	1.8 (1.1-2.9)	Cys/Cys vs. Ser/Ser	1.4 (0.86-2.4)	Age, sex, ethnicity	139
<i>hOGG1</i> codon 326	NS	706	1,196	Ser/Cys vs. Ser/Ser	0.93 (0.76-1.14)	Cys/Cys vs. Ser/Ser	0.98 (0.65-1.48)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	152
<i>ERCC1</i> 8,092	OC, P, L	313	313	CA vs. CC	0.86 (0.62-1.19) ^c	AA vs. CC	0.94 (0.44-2.03) ^c	-	147
<i>ERCC1</i> 8,092	OC	122	241	CA vs. CC	0.56 (0.33-0.93)	AA vs. CC	1.56 (0.72-3.36)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	28
<i>RAD51</i> 135	OC, P, L	716	719	GC vs. GG	0.95 (0.69-1.30)	CC vs. GG	0.99 (0.06-16.70)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	153
<i>RAD51</i> 172	OC, P, L	716	719	GT vs. GG	0.96 (0.75-1.21)	TT vs. GG	0.64 (0.47-0.88)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	153

^aOC, oral cavity; P, pharynx; L, larynx; NC, not specified, ^bOR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; ^cOR and 95% CI were calculated from the genotype distribution.

Table IV. Studies on polymorphisms of cell-cycle control genes and risk of head and neck cancer.

Gene and poly-morphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Result-2 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Covariates	Ref.
<i>p53</i> codon 72	P	73	105	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.23 (0.58-2.60) ^d	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	2.02 (0.89-4.56) ^d	-	154
<i>p53</i> codon 72	P	20	31	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.41 (0.39-5.13) ^d	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	0.63 (0.12-3.32) ^d	-	155
<i>p53</i> codon 72	P	64	99	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.13 (0.52-2.48)	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	2.00 (0.86-4.67)	-	156
<i>p53</i> codon 72	OC, P, L, O	140	120	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	0.96 (0.57-1.61) ^d	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	0.49 (0.19-1.26) ^d	-	21
<i>p53</i> codon 72	OC, P, L, O	163	163	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.20 (0.77-1.89) ^d	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.08 (0.36-3.20) ^d	-	157
<i>p53</i> codon 72	OC	190	308	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.03 (0.70-1.52) ^d	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.06 (0.56-2.01) ^d	-	158
<i>p53</i> codon 72	OC	72	153	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.91 (0.73-4.99) ^d	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.66 (0.55-4.98) ^d	-	159
<i>p53</i> codon 72	L	20	40	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	0.28 (0.08-0.96) ^d	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	0.18 (0.02-1.82) ^d	-	160
<i>p53</i> codon 72	OC, P, L	304	333	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.04 (0.75-1.44)	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.01 (0.54-1.91)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	161
<i>p53</i> codon 72	OC	82	164	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.06 (0.56-2.02) ^d	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.60 (0.41-6.20) ^d	-	162
<i>p53</i> codon 72	OC	110	26	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	2.21 (0.89-5.51) ^d	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	4.40 (0.90-21.56) ^d	-	163
<i>p53</i> codon 72	P	102	148	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.55 (0.85-2.83) ^d	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.93 (0.94-3.98) ^d	-	164
<i>p53</i> codon 72	OC	97	97	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	0.71 (0.37-1.36) ^d	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.22 (0.58-2.56) ^d	-	165
<i>p53</i> codon 72	OC	44	20	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.00 (0.28-3.58) ^d	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.67 (0.31-8.93) ^d	-	166
<i>p53</i> codon 72	OC, P, L, O	50	142	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	0.51 (0.22-1.18)	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	3.27 (0.90-11.87)	-	167
<i>p53</i> codon 72	OC, P, L, O	122	193	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.44 (0.90-2.30) ^d	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	0.13 (0.02-1.04) ^d	-	168
<i>p53</i> codon 72	P	53	53	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.78 (0.62-5.14)	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	3.67 (1.16-11.56)	-	169
<i>p53</i> codon 72	P	107	285	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	0.97 (0.58-1.64)	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	2.62 (1.10-6.30)	-	170
<i>p53</i> codon 72	P	77	141	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	0.23 (0.09-0.53)	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	0.80 (0.23-2.59)	-	171
<i>p53</i> codon 72	OC, P, L	716	719	Arg/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	0.92 (0.73-1.14)	Pro/Pro vs. Arg/Arg	1.10 (0.69-1.73)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	153
<i>p53</i> duplication (intron 3)	P	73	105	dup(-)/dup(+) vs. dup(-)/dup(-)	4.97 (1.53-16.09) ^d	dup(+)/dup(+) vs. dup(-)/dup(-)	-	-	154
<i>p53</i> intron 6	P	73	105	A1/A2 vs. A1/A1	2.86 (0.92-8.91) ^d	A2/A2 vs. A1/A1	-	-	154
<i>cyclin D1</i> 870	OC, P, L	233	248	GA vs. GG	1.15 (0.75-1.76)	AA vs. GG	1.77 (1.04-3.02)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	172
<i>cyclin D1</i> 870	P	84	91	GA vs. GG	0.84 (0.38-1.88) ^d	AA vs. GG	0.36 (0.15-0.88) ^d	-	173
<i>cyclin D1</i> 870	OC	70	93	GA vs. GG	0.83 (0.37-1.88) ^d	AA vs. GG	0.80 (0.32-1.98) ^d	-	174

Table IV. Continued.

Gene and polymorphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Result-2 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Covariates	Ref.
<i>cyclin D1</i> 870	OC, P, L	147	135	GA vs. GG	0.74 (0.44-1.26) ^d	AA vs. GG	0.75 (0.38-1.49) ^d	-	175
<i>cyclin D1</i> 870	L	66	110	GA vs. GG	0.37 (0.17-0.83) ^d	AA vs. GG	0.17 (0.07-0.42) ^d	-	176
<i>cyclin D1</i> 870	OC	174	155	GA vs. GG	0.65 (0.40-1.07) ^d	AA vs. GG	0.30 (0.14-0.64) ^d	-	177
<i>cyclin D1</i> 870	L	63	102	GA vs. GG	3.02 (1.39-6.56)	AA vs. GG	0.66 (0.24-1.79)	-	178
<i>cyclin D1</i> 870	P	94	187	GA vs. GG	0.43 (0.23-0.82) ^d	AA vs. GG	0.52 (0.25-1.05) ^d	-	179
<i>cyclin D1</i> 870	OC	176	142	GA vs. GG	1.29 (0.73-2.28)	AA vs. GG	1.20 (0.63-2.27)	Age, sex	180
<i>cyclin D1</i> 1,722	OC	176	142	GC vs. GG	1.20 (0.70-2.07)	CC vs. GG	0.91 (0.48-1.73)	Age, sex	180
<i>p21</i> codon 31	P	76	66	Ser/Arg vs. Ser/Ser	1.13 (0.15-8.25) ^d	Arg/Arg vs. Ser/Ser	1.38 (0.16-11.94) ^d	-	184
<i>p21</i> codon 31	NS	48	110	Ser/Arg+Arg/Arg vs. Ser/Ser	2.31 (0.87-6.11) ^d	-	-	-	185
<i>p21</i> codon 31	P	47	119	CA vs. CC	1.25 (0.47-3.31) ^d	AA vs. CC	1.24 (0.44-3.51) ^d	-	186
<i>p21</i> -2,298	NS	52	104	GA vs. GG	1.24 (0.54-2.86) ^d	AA vs. GG	-	-	181
<i>p21</i> 68	NS	52	104	CA vs. CC	1.65 (0.75-3.63) ^d	AA vs. CC	-	-	181
<i>p21</i> 70	OC, P, L	712	1,222	CT vs. CC	1.47 (1.12-1.93)	TT vs. CC	2.01 (0.64-6.31)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	182
<i>p21</i> 98	OC, P, L	712	1,222	CA vs. CC	1.32 (1.00-1.73)	AA vs. CC	2.50 (0.92-6.81)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	182
<i>p21</i> codon 149	OC	30	50	Asp/Gly+Gly/Gly vs. Asp/Asp	3.56 (1.06-12.23)	-	-	-	183
<i>PLUNC</i> -1,888	P	232	282	TC vs. TT	1.2 (0.8-1.7)	CC vs. TT	3.3 (1.8-6.1)	Age, sex	187
<i>PLUNC</i> -2,128	P	239	281	TC vs. TT	0.9 (0.6-1.4)	CC vs. TT	2.8 (1.7-4.9)	Age, sex	187
<i>PLUNC</i> -3,348	P	233	279	AC vs. AA	1.3 (0.6-3.1)	CC vs. AA	1.5 (0.6-3.6)	Age, sex	187
<i>p16</i> 540	NS	208	224	CG vs. CC	1.01 (0.64-1.61)	GG vs. CC	0.74 (0.12-4.57)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	188
<i>p16</i> 580	NS	208	224	CT vs. CC	0.97 (0.58-1.64)	TT vs. CC	0.49 (0.04-5.49)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	188
<i>p27</i> codon 109	OC, P, L	713	1,224	VG vs. VV	0.92 (0.75-1.12)	GG vs. VV	1.20 (0.81-1.77)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	189
<i>p73</i> G4C14/A4T14	OC, P, L	708	1,229	GC/AT vs. GC/GC	1.36 (1.12-1.66)	AT/AT vs. GC/GC	1.11 (0.73-1.69)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	190
<i>MDM</i> -309	OC, P, L	157	185	wt/vt vs. wt/wt	0.74 (0.46-1.19) ^d	vt/vt vs. wt/wt	0.75 (0.39-1.43) ^d	-	191
<i>FUS2</i>	P	114	55	TA vs. TT	0.50 (0.25-1.01) ^d	AA vs. TT	0.49 (0.17-1.48) ^d	-	192
<i>hCHK2</i>	OC, P, L	215	229	AG vs. AA	0.40 (0.17-0.93)	GG vs. AA	-	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	193
<i>H-ras</i> 81	OC	176	142	TC vs. TT	1.59 (0.98-2.56)	CC vs. GG	1.78 (0.67-4.74)	Age, sex	180
<i>IFN-alpha</i>	P	64	99	1-2 vs. 1-1	1.21 (0.51-2.83)	2-2 vs. 1-1	2.76 (1.13-6.73)	-	156

^aOC, oral cavity; P, pharynx; L, larynx; O, other; NC, not specified. ^bdup, duplication; wt, wild-type; vt, variant-type. ^cOR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. ^dOR and 95% CI were calculated from the genotype distribution.

Table V. Studies on polymorphisms of folate metabolic enzymes and risk of head and neck cancer.

Gene and polymorphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Result-2 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Covariates	Ref.
<i>MTHFR</i> 677	OC	135	146	CT vs. CC	0.6 (0.3-1.2)	TT vs. CC	0.5 (0.2-1.4)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, place of residence	124
<i>MTHFR</i> 677	NS	50	54	CT vs. CC	1.00 (0.44-2.26) ^d	TT vs. CC	-	-	231
<i>MTHFR</i> 677	OC, P, L	537	545	CT vs. CC	1.21 (0.9-1.6)	TT vs. CC	0.72 (0.5-1.2)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	125
<i>MTHFR</i> 677	P	65	100	CT vs. CC	1.43 (0.70-2.95) ^d	TT vs. CC	1.56 (0.63-3.82) ^d	-	126
<i>MTHFR</i> 677	OC	110	120	CT vs. CC	1.88 (1.06-3.34) ^d	TT vs. CC	0.96 (0.32-2.95) ^d	-	127
<i>MTHFR</i> 1,298	OC, P, L	537	545	AC vs. AA	0.69 (0.5-0.9)	CC vs. AA	0.28 (0.1-0.6)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	125
<i>MTHFR</i> 1,298	P	65	100	AC vs. AA	0.78 (0.41-1.49) ^d	CC vs. AA	1.42 (0.42-4.81) ^d	-	126
<i>MTHFR</i> 1,793	OC, P, L	537	545	GA vs. GG	1.35 (0.9-2.1)	AA vs. GG	-	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	125
<i>SHMT1</i> 34,761	OC, P, L	721	1,234	CT vs. CC	0.99 (0.81-1.20)	TT vs. CC	1.22 (0.91-1.64)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	128
<i>SHMT1</i> 34,840	OC, P, L	721	1,234	CG vs. CC	1.03 (0.84-1.25)	GG vs. CC	1.05 (0.77-1.43)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	128
<i>SHMT1</i> 34,859	OC, P, L	721	1,234	CT vs. CC	1.11 (0.91-1.35)	TT vs. CC	1.10 (0.81-1.49)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	128
<i>MTR</i> 2,756	OC, P, L	721	1,442	AG vs. AA	1.31 (1.07-1.60)	GG vs. AA	1.00 (0.55-1.84)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	129
<i>MTRR</i> 66	OC, P, L	721	1,442	GA vs. GG	1.02 (0.82-1.26)	AA vs. GG	0.68 (0.52-0.90)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	129
<i>TSER</i>	OC, P, L	704	1,085	2R3R vs. 3R3R	1.23 (0.98-1.55)	2R2R vs. 3R3R	1.01 (0.77-1.33)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	130
<i>Factor V</i>	OC	102	120	wt/vt vs. wt/wt	0.98 (0.29-3.31) ^d	vt/vt vs. wt/wt	-	-	131
<i>Prothrombin</i> 20,210	OC	102	120	wt/vt vs. wt/wt	0.94 (0.25-3.59) ^d	vt/vt vs. wt/wt	-	-	131

^aOC, oral cavity; P, pharynx; L, larynx; NC, not specified; ^bwt, wild-type; vt, variant-type. ^cOR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. ^dOR and 95% CI were calculated from the genotype distribution.

dition enzymes, apoptosis signaling and immune response factors, have been investigated. However, the number of studies was limited, and we found it difficult to draw conclusions.

3. Discussion

Molecular epidemiologic studies have provided evidence that individual susceptibility to cancer is mediated by both genetic and environmental factors. Interest in the role of genetic polymorphisms in HNC has increased recently, possibly due to advances in DNA analysis technologies or our knowledge of the human genome. The most intensively studied genes are those encoding enzymes that metabolize carcinogens and include *GSTM1*, *GSTT1* and *GSTP1*. This is likely because these variants are well characterized, and increased cancer risk associated with these variations is plausible.

A considerable amount of work has been done on these genes in relation to risk for HNC. One of the major problems

of these studies is that many have a small sample size (<100 cases or <100 controls). Case-control studies with small sample size are reported to inflate ORs (232). To clarify the effect of genes on the risk of HNC, meta-analysis is useful because it is a statistical method to integrate and analyze previous research results. Therefore, the results of meta-analyses carry greater significance than the results of individual studies. At present, 23 studies describing meta-analyses of relations between genetic polymorphisms and risk of HNC have been published (232-234). The genetic polymorphisms examined were those in the *GSTM1*, *GSTT1*, *GSTP1*, *XRCC1* codons 194 and 399, and *CYP1A1* codon 462. Among these polymorphisms, a significant relation was observed between the *GSTM1*-null genotype and increased risk for HNC (Table II). When the studies on *GSTM1* were stratified as to Asians and Caucasians, the risk of HNC was more pronounced in Asian than in Caucasian populations (233). Polymorphisms in other genes, including *GSTT1*, *GSTP1*,

Table VI. Studies on polymorphisms of extracellular matrix degradation enzymes and risk of head and neck cancer.

Gene and polymorphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1	OR and 95% CI ^b	Result-2	OR and 95% CI ^b	Covariates	Ref.
<i>MMP-1</i>	OC, P	125 ^d	249 ^d	1G/2G vs. 1G/1G	0.7 (0.4-1.2)	2G/2G vs. 1G/1G	0.3 (0.1-0.6)	Age, smoking	194
<i>MMP-1</i>	OC, P, L, O	140	345	1G/2G vs. 1G/1G	0.53 (0.27-1.05) ^c	2G/2G vs. 1G/1G	0.91 (0.47-1.75) ^c	-	195
<i>MMP-1</i>	OC	121	147	1G/2G vs. 1G/1G	2.16 (0.95-4.93) ^c	2G/2G vs. 1G/1G	2.17 (0.96-4.93) ^c	-	196
<i>MMP-1</i>	OC	96	120	1G/2G vs. 1G/1G	1.91 (0.77-4.73) ^c	2G/2G vs. 1G/1G	4.19 (1.72-10.24) ^c	-	197
<i>MMP-1</i>	OC, P, L	300	300	1G/2G vs. 1G/1G	0.73(0.47-1.14) ^c	2G/2G vs. 1G/1G	1.89 (1.21-2.97) ^c	-	198
<i>MMP-1</i>	OC	156	141	1G/2G vs. 1G/1G	0.81 (0.42-1.56)	2G/2G vs. 1G/1G	0.56 (0.29-1.09)	Age	199
<i>MMP-2</i>	OC	121	147	CT vs. CC	0.62 (0.34-1.15) ^c	TT vs. CC	-	-	200
<i>MMP-2</i>	OC, P, L	239	250	CT vs. CC	0.54 (0.34-0.87) ^c	TT vs. CC	-	-	201
<i>MMP-3</i>	OC, P	125 ^d	249 ^d	5A/6A vs. 5A/5A	0.9 (0.5-1.6)	6A/6A vs. 5A/5A	0.5 (0.2-1.1)	Age, smoking	194
<i>TIMP-2 -418</i>	OC, P, L	239	250	CC+GC vs. GG	1.43 (0.98-2.08)			Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	201
<i>TIMP-2 -418</i>	OC	158	168	GC vs. GG	21.31 (9.82-46.21)	CC vs. GG	40.88 (2.24-744.4)	-	202
<i>GPIa 807</i>	OC	110	114	CT vs. CC	1.25 (0.56-2.77) ^c	TT vs. CC	3.50 (1.29-9.47) ^c	-	203
<i>Urokinase 3'-UTR</i>	OC	130	106	CT vs. CC	2.83 (1.35-5.96)			-	204

^aOC, oral cavity; P, pharynx; L, larynx; O, other. ^bOR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. ^cOR and 95% CI were calculated from the genotype distribution. ^dMale.

Table VII. Studies on polymorphisms of apoptosis signaling factors and risk of head and neck cancer.

Gene and polymorphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1	OR and 95% CI ^b	Result-2	OR and 95% CI ^b	Covariates	Ref.
<i>FAS -1,377</i>	OC, P, L	721	1,234	GA vs. GG	0.91 (0.73-1.15)	AA vs. GG	2.23 (1.07-4.64)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	205
<i>FAS -670</i>	OC, P, L	721	1,234	AG vs. AA	1.21 (0.98-1.51)	GG vs. AA	1.29 (0.99-1.68)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	205
<i>FAS -670</i>	P	170	224	AG vs. AA	2.00 (1.19-3.33)	GG vs. AA	3.19 (1.76-5.77)	Age, sex	206
<i>FASLG -844</i>	OC, P, L	721	1,234	CT vs. CC	0.93 (0.76-1.13)	TT vs. CC	0.82 (0.61-1.11)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	205
<i>FASLG IVS2nt -124</i>	OC, P, L	721	1,234	AG vs. AA	0.97 (0.78-1.20)	GG vs. AA	0.83 (0.46-1.50)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	205
<i>TRAIL-R1 422</i>	NS	19	45	GA vs. GG	1.04 (0.23-4.71) ^c	AA vs. GG	6.00 (1.17-30.72) ^c	-	207
<i>TRAIL-R1 422</i>	NS	37	48	GA+AA vs. GG	4.52 (1.37-14.94) ^c			-	208
<i>TRAIL-R2 626</i>	NS	19	45	CG vs. CC	1.75 (0.39-7.91) ^c	GG vs. CC	4.50 (0.97-20.83) ^c	-	207
<i>TRAIL-R2 626</i>	NS	41	48	CG+GG vs. CC	4.72 (1.57-14.17) ^c			-	208

^aOC, oral cavity; P, pharynx; L, larynx. ^bOR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval; ^cOR and 95% CI were calculated from the genotype distribution.

XRCC1 (codon 399), and *CYP1A1* (codon 462), tend to be associated with an increased risk for HNC. One possible explanation for the lack of significant interaction is that gene-environment interactions are heterogeneous by ethnicity, in which case, pooling data from different ethnicities would dilute the interaction. Another possible explanation is

that these gene-environment interactions are heterogeneous by tumor site. For instance, oral cancers may have different genetic backgrounds from those of laryngeal cancers. In addition, the genotype frequencies in controls vary among populations. In the Indian population, the prevalence of the *GSTM1*- and *GSTT1*-null genotypes is particularly low. It

Table VIII. Studies on polymorphisms of immune response factors and risk of head and neck cancer.

Gene and poly-morphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Result-2 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Covariates	Ref.
<i>TNF-alpha</i> -308	P	47	119	AG vs. AA	2.67 (1.03-6.92) ^d	GG vs. AA	-	-	186
<i>TNF-alpha</i> -308	P	140	274	GA vs. GG	0.77 (0.49-1.21)	AA vs. GG	1.38 (0.56-3.39)	-	209
<i>TNF-alpha</i> -308	OC	192	146	GA vs. GG	2.16 (1.10-4.24)	AA vs. GG	-	-	210
<i>TNF-alpha</i> -308	OC	137	102	GA vs. GG	0.60 (0.27-1.37)	AA vs. GG	-	-	54
<i>TNF-alpha</i> -308	P	23	50	GA vs. GG	0.8 (0.2-2.6)	AA vs. GG	-	-	211
<i>TNF-alpha</i> -1,031	P	23	50	TC vs. TT	0.9 (0.3-2.7)	CC vs. TT	-	-	211
<i>TNF-alpha</i> -238	OC	192	146	GA vs. GG	0.26 (0.08-0.8)	AA vs. GG	-	-	210
<i>TNF-alpha</i> -806	P	23	50	CT vs. CC	0.3 (0.0-2.9)	TT vs. CC	-	-	211
<i>TNF-alpha</i> -857	P	23	50	CT vs. CC	0.9 (0.3-2.8)	TT vs. CC	-	-	211
<i>TNF-alpha</i> -863	P	23	50	CA vs. CC	1.2 (0.4-3.6)	AA vs. CC	-	-	211
<i>IL-1 beta</i>	OC	153	711	TC vs. TT	1.21 (0.81-1.79)	CC vs. TT	0.87 (0.45-1.71)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, center	212
<i>IL-1 beta</i>	P	98	699	TC vs. TT	1.53 (0.94-2.49)	CC vs. TT	2.39 (1.19-4.81)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, center	212
<i>IL-1 beta</i>	L	288	699	TC vs. TT	1.08 (0.78-1.50)	CC vs. TT	1.06 (0.63-1.78)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, center	212
<i>IL-1</i> -511	OC	130	105	CT vs. CC	1.32 (0.71-2.46) ^d	TT vs. CC	0.87 (0.41-1.83) ^d	-	213
<i>IL-1</i> exon 5	OC	130	105	E1E2 vs. E1E1	0.54 (0.09-3.27) ^d	E2E2 vs. E1E1	-	-	213
<i>IL-8</i>	OC	153	725	TA vs. TT	0.96 (0.61-1.50)	AA vs. TT	1.10 (0.66-1.83)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, center	212
<i>IL-8</i>	P	107	725	TA vs. TT	1.02 (0.59-1.76)	AA vs. TT	1.38 (0.75-2.54)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, center	212
<i>IL-8</i>	L	313	725	TA vs. TT	0.66 (0.46-0.94)	AA vs. TT	0.82 (0.54-1.25)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, center	212
<i>IL-8</i>	OC	158	156	TA vs. TT	1.76 (1.11-2.79)	AA vs. TT	-	-	214
<i>IL-10</i> -1,082	P	89	130	AG vs. AA	1.1 (0.7-2.8)	GG vs. AA	1.1 (0.8-2.8)	Age, sex, ethnicity	215
<i>IL-10</i> -592	P	89	130	CA vs. CC	1.0 (0.5-3.1)	AA vs. CC	1.2 (0.5-3.4)	Age, sex, ethnicity	215
<i>IL-10</i> -819	P	89	130	CT vs. CC	1.0 (0.5-3.1)	TT vs. CC	1.2 (0.5-3.4)	Age, sex, ethnicity	215
<i>IL-4</i> -590	OC	130	105	TT vs. TC	1.8 (0.9-3.4)	CC vs. TC	6.0 (1.2-30.7)	-	213
<i>IL-4</i> intron 3	OC	130	105	RP1/RP2 vs. RP1/RP1	0.63 (0.35-1.13) ^d	RP2/RP2 vs. RP1/RP1	0.41 (0.10-1.79) ^d	-	213
<i>IL-18</i> -137	P	89	130	GC vs. GG	1.2 (0.5-3.0)	CC vs. GG	2.1 (0.4-4.3)	Age, sex, ethnicity	215
<i>IL-18</i> -607	P	89	130	AC vs. AA	1.0 (0.7-2.6)	CC vs. AA	1.4 (0.9-3.3)	Age, sex, ethnicity	215
<i>IL-6</i> -174	OC	162	156	GC vs. GG	3.74 (2.29-6.11)	CC vs. GG	7.39 (2.61-20.92)	Age, sex, ethnicity	216
<i>TLR10</i> 720	P	477	567	AC vs. AA	0.93 (0.70-1.24)	CC vs. AA	0.95 (0.67-1.34)	-	217
<i>TLR10</i> 891	P	477	570	GA vs. GG	0.87 (0.63-1.19)	AA vs. GG	0.23 (0.03-1.99)	-	217
<i>TLR10</i> 908	P	476	568	AG vs. AA	1.17 (0.88-1.56)	GG vs. AA	1.56 (0.61-4.00)	-	217
<i>TLR10</i> 976	P	479	569	TC vs. TT	0.92 (0.67-1.28)	CC vs. TT	0.39 (0.08-1.93)	-	217
<i>TLR10</i> 1.031	P	471	540	GT vs. GG	0.88 (0.68-1.14)	TT vs. GG	0.72 (0.48-1.09)	-	217
<i>TLR10</i> 1.104	P	475	547	AC vs. AA	0.85 (0.63-1.14)	CC vs. AA	0.99 (0.70-1.41)	-	217
<i>TLR10</i> 1.141	P	470	550	GA vs. GG	0.84 (0.65-1.09)	AA vs. GG	1.48 (0.89-2.46)	-	217
<i>PTGS2</i>	OC	153	711	TC vs. TT	1.07 (0.73-1.58)	CC vs. TT	0.65 (0.32-1.36)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, center	212
<i>PTGS2</i>	P	99	711	TC vs. TT	1.34 (0.82-2.17)	CC vs. TT	1.37 (0.62-3.06)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, center	212
<i>PTGS2</i>	L	281	711	TC vs. TT	0.88 (0.63-1.22)	CC vs. TT	0.60 (0.34-1.05)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, center	212
<i>PIGR</i> IVS3-156	P	175	317	Positive/Null vs. Positive/Positive	1.49 (0.98-2.26) ^d	Null/Null vs. Positive/Positive	1.31 (0.73-2.33) ^d	-	218

Table VIII. Continued.

Gene and polymorphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Result-2 ^b	OR and 95% CI ^c	Covariates	Ref.
<i>PIGR</i> 1,093	P	175	317	GA vs. GG	1.08 (0.73-1.59) ^d	AA vs. GG	0.66 (0.34-1.30) ^d	-	218
<i>PIGR</i> 1,739	P	175	317	CT vs. CC	0.37 (0.24-0.56) ^d	TT vs. CC	0.45 (0.17-1.18) ^d	-	218
<i>HLA-E</i> 77	P	100	100	CT vs. CC	1.35 (0.74-2.44) ^d	TT vs. CC	2.24 (0.83-6.07) ^d	-	107
<i>HLA-E</i> 107	P	100	100	AG vs. AA	1.84 (0.72-4.66) ^d	GG vs. AA	3.55 (1.38-9.08) ^d	-	107
<i>MPO</i> -463	L	245	270	GA vs. GG	0.62 (0.42-0.91)	AA vs. GG	0.86 (0.24-3.02)	-	219
<i>MPO</i> -463	P	255	270	GA vs. GG	0.78 (0.54-1.13)	AA vs. GG	1.39 (0.49-4.00)	-	219
<i>NFKbeta1</i>	OC	212	201	del/ins vs. del/del	1.18 (0.73-1.88)	ins/ins vs. del/del	1.60 (0.93-2.77)	-	220
<i>CCR5</i>	L	34	267	wt/vt vs. wt/wt	0.59 (0.08-4.67)	vt/vt vs. wt/wt	-	-	221
<i>CTLA-4</i>	OC	118	147	AG vs. AA	1.89 (0.87-4.10) ^d	GG vs. AA	1.72 (0.78-3.79) ^d	-	222
<i>HSP70-2</i>	P	140	274	P1/P2 vs. P1/P1	1.24 (0.78-1.99)	P2/P2 vs. P1/P1	2.31 (1.26-4.22)	-	209
<i>CR2</i> IVS-848	P	175	317	Positive/Null vs. Positive/Positive	0.76 (0.10-5.61) ^d	Null/Null vs. Positive/Positive	0.50 (0.07-3.56) ^d	-	218
<i>Tx</i> SNP3	P	82	80	GC vs. GG	2.76 (1.39-5.45) ^d	CC vs. GG	2.81 (1.03-7.68) ^d	-	223

^aOC, oral cavity; P, pharynx; L, larynx. ^bdel, deletion; ins, insertion; wt, wild-type; vt, variant-type. ^cOR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. ^dOR and 95% CI were calculated from the genotype distribution.

Table IX. Studies on polymorphisms of growth factors, vitamin and sex hormone, and risk of head and neck cancer.

Gene and polymorphic site	Tumor site ^a	Cases	Controls	Result-1	OR and 95% CI ^b	Result-2	OR and 95% CI ^b	Covariates	Ref.
Growth factor									
<i>EGFR</i> CA repeat	OC	124	138	one allele \leq 16 vs. both alleles $>$ 16	1.8 (0.9-3.5)	both alleles \leq 16 vs. both alleles $>$ 16	2.1 (0.9-5.2)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, fruit and vegetables consumption	224
<i>IGF-2</i> Msp1	OC	60	45	AG vs. AA	9.11 (3.62-22.96) ^c	AA vs. GG	18.67 (2.07-168.1) ^c	-	225
<i>IGFR2R</i>	OC	93	94	167 bp/other vs. other/other	2.7 (1.16-6.48)	167 bp/167 bp vs. other/other	1.0 (0.18-5.69)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, hospital	226
<i>INS</i> 1127 Pst1	OC	60	45	TC vs. TT	0.72 (0.29-1.80) ^c	CC vs. TT	0.44 (0.07-2.82) ^c	-	225
<i>TGFalpha</i>	OC	131	132	c1/c2 vs. c1/c1	0.6 (0.2-1.3)	c2/c2 vs. c1/c1	-	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol, fruit and vegetables consumption	224
<i>TGFbeta1</i> -509	P	108	120	CT vs. CC	1.31 (0.64-2.66)	TT vs. CC	2.48 (1.17-5.26)	-	227
<i>TGFbeta1</i> 869	P	108	120	TC vs. TT	1.51 (0.74-3.08)	CC vs. TT	2.78 (1.29-5.99)	-	227
<i>VEGF</i> -460	OC	137	230	TC vs. TT	0.02 (0.01-0.05) ^c	CC vs. TT	-	-	228
Vitamin									
<i>VDR</i> FokI	OC, P, L	719	821	Ff vs. FF	0.85 (0.68-1.06)	ff vs. FF	0.64 (0.47-0.87)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	229
<i>VDR</i> TaqI	OC, P, L	719	821	Tt vs. TT	0.97 (0.77-1.22)	tt vs. TT	0.72 (0.53-0.98)	Age, sex, smoking, alcohol	229
Sex hormone									
<i>AR</i>	OC, P, L	103 ^d	100 ^d	CAG repeat $>$ 20 vs. \leq 20	2.54 (1.3-4.8)	-	-	-	230

^aOC, oral cavity; P, pharynx; L, larynx. ^bOR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval. ^cOR and 95% CI were calculated from the genotype distribution. ^dMale.

Table X. Summary of previous meta-analyses of genetic polymorphisms and head and neck cancer risk.

Gene and polymorphic site	Year	Result	Summary OR (95% CI) ^a	No. of included studies	Ref.
<i>GSTM1</i>	2003	Null vs. Positive	1.23 (1.06-1.42)	30	232
<i>GSTT1</i>	2003	Null vs. Positive	1.17 (0.98-1.40)	21	232
<i>GSTP1</i>	2003	Ile/Val+Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.10 (0.92-1.31)	9	232
<i>CYP1A1</i> codon 462	2003	Ile/Val+Val/Val vs. Ile/Ile	1.32 (0.95-1.82)	12	232
<i>GSTM1</i>	2006	Null vs. Positive	1.50 (1.21-1.87)	30	233
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 194	2005	Arg/Trp+Trp/Trp vs. Arg/Arg	0.85 (0.59-1.23)	3	234
<i>XRCC1</i> codon 399	2005	Gln/Gln vs. Arg/Arg	1.13 (0.81-1.58)	4	234

^aOR, odds ratio; 95% CI, 95% confidence interval.

will be of interest to explore further whether these genotypes are more relevant in specific ethnic groups with respect to the risk for HNC. Additional data have been published since the last meta-analysis, and a meta-analysis that includes the most recent data should be conducted to clarify the role of these polymorphisms.

Alcohol consumption is a major risk factor for HNC as well as esophageal cancer, and dose-response trends have been reported (6). There are consistent findings that the *1/*2 genotype of *ALDH2* is associated with increased risk of HNC. In contrast, the *2/*2 genotype of the gene might be associated with decreased risk of HNC. The latter finding may seem somewhat confusing. A meta-analysis showed that the *1/*2 genotype of *ALDH2* is associated with increased risk and that the *2/*2 genotype is associated with a decreased risk of esophageal cancer (265). These findings may be due to markedly lower alcohol consumption in *2/*2 vs. *1/*1 homozygotes because *2/*2 homozygotes are alcohol intolerant and can have severe reactions following intake of small amounts of alcohol (250,265). Reduced consumption of alcohol may reduce the risk for HNC as well as the risk for esophageal cancer.

ADH2 influences serum concentrations of acetaldehyde after ingestion of alcohol. There has been only 1 study of the relation between *ADH2* polymorphisms and HNC risk. *ADH2**1/*1 homozygotes shows significantly increased risk for HNC (118). *ADH2**1/*1 homozygotes also show a significantly increased risk for esophageal cancer (10). Because HNC and esophageal cancer have similar etiologies, *ADH2**1/*1 homozygotes may have increased risk for both HNC and esophageal cancer. To confirm this hypothesis, further studies needed to confirm the relation between *ADH2* polymorphisms and risk for HNC.

There have been consistent findings that the Tyr/His and His/His genotypes of *EPHX1* codon 113 are associated with increased risk of HNC. However, results for the relation between *EPHX1* codon 139 polymorphisms and risk of HNC are inconsistent. These results may be due to differences in activity between the *EPHX1* His113 variant and *EPHX1* Arg139 variant.

In addition to *ALDH2* and *EPHX1* codon 113, there are consistent findings that the *p53* codon 72 Pro/Pro genotype is

associated with increased HNC risk. Several researchers reported significant associations between the *p53* codon 72 Pro/Pro genotype and lung (266), esophageal (10), gastric (2667) and skin (268) cancers. To confirm the degree to which the *p53* codon 72 polymorphism contributes to HNC, meta-analyses should be conducted.

We previously published a review of genetic polymorphisms and risk of esophageal cancer (10). HNC and esophageal cancer have similar etiologies, and the association between HNC and esophageal cancer is well known (269,270). For instance, in a median 29-month follow-up period, esophageal cancer was diagnosed in 7.4% of patients with HNC (269). Similar patterns of genetic polymorphisms between HNC and esophageal cancer risks are observed. The Val allele of *CYP1A1* codon 462, Pro/Pro genotype of *p53* codon 72 and the *1/*2 genotype of *ALDH2* may increase both risks for HNC and esophageal cancer. However, the *GSTM1*-null genotype significantly increases the risk of HNC compared with *GSTM1*-positive genotype, but it does not increase the risk of esophageal cancer (OR, 1.07; 95% CI, 0.76-1.51) according to the results of meta-analyses (232,233,265). Similarly, the *GSTT1*-null genotype may increase the risk of HNC (OR, 1.17; 95% CI, 0.98-1.40) compared with *GSTT1*-positive genotype, but it does not increase the risk of esophageal cancer (OR, 0.99; 95% CI, 0.80-1.22) (232,265). In contrast to HNC, the occurrence of esophageal cancer shows a remarkable geographic bias. Most patients with esophageal cancer live in the 'esophageal cancer belt', which stretches from North-Central China westward through Central Asia to Northern Iran. Environmental risk factor(s) other than tobacco smoking, alcohol consumption and betel quid chewing may affect the geographic bias, and differences in genetic polymorphisms may also affect the bias.

Most genetic association studies use a case-control design. One important factor is the number of cases available to study. There are some advantages to increasing the number of control subjects (that is, having >1 matched control for each case). In practice 2:1 matching of control subjects to cases often provides the most efficient design for relatively common diseases. The size of the population required to determine a relative risk of a polymorphism is dependent on the allele frequency of the polymorphism. For example, with 90% power,

750 cases and the same number of controls are necessary to calculate an OR of >1.5 and a minor allele frequency of 0.4. Six hundred cases and the same number of controls are necessary for the same effect size and a minor allele frequency of 0.2 (271). Programs for estimating required sample size are available [<http://hydra.usc.edu/gxe/> (272) and <http://Statgen.iop.kcl.ac.uk/gpc> (273)].

The best scientific evidence for associations of genetic factors with risk for HNC will come from large cohort studies that consider simultaneously the different factors potentially involved in carcinogenesis of the head and neck, including genetic polymorphisms and environmental factors, such as drinking alcohol and smoking tobacco. Identification of genetic factors that modify the impacts of environmental factors will depend on direct exploration of interactions between genes and environment (274). Furthermore, simultaneous analysis of multiple polymorphic genes should be done to address the possibility of identifying gene-gene interactions. The results of such studies will allow us to estimate the relative contribution of individual genetic variations to overall HNC risk.

References

- Parkin DM, Pisani P and Ferlay J: Global cancer statistics. *CA Cancer J Clin* 49: 33-64, 1999.
- Jemal A, Tiwari RC, Murray T, *et al*: Cancer statistics, 2004. *CA Cancer J Clin* 54: 8-29, 2004.
- Yun HJ, Bogaerts J, Awada A and Lacombe D: Clinical trial design limitations in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Curr Opin Oncol* 19: 210-215, 2007.
- Hiyama T, Sato T, Yoshino K, Tsukuma H, Hanai A and Fujimoto I: Second primary cancer following laryngeal cancer with special reference to smoking habits. *Jpn J Cancer Res* 83: 334-339, 1992.
- Geisler SA and Olshan AF: *GSTM1*, *GSTT1*, and the risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a mini-HuGE review. *Am J Epidemiol* 154: 95-105, 2001.
- Zeka A, Gore R and Kriebel D: Effects of alcohol and tobacco on aerodigestive cancer risks: a meta-regression analysis. *Cancer Causes Control* 14: 897-906, 2003.
- Bartsch H, Nair U, Risch A, Rojas M, Wikman H and Alexandrov K: Genetic polymorphism of *CYP* genes, alone or in combination, as a risk modifier of tobacco-related cancers. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 9: 3-28, 2000.
- Yokoyama A and Omori T: Genetic polymorphisms of alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenases and risk for esophageal and head and neck cancers. *Alcohol* 35: 175-185, 2005.
- Lazarus P and Park JY: Metabolizing enzyme genotype and risk for upper aerodigestive tract cancer. *Oral Oncol* 36: 421-431, 2000.
- Hiyama T, Yoshihara M, Tanaka S and Chayama K: Genetic polymorphisms and esophageal cancer risk. *Int J Cancer* 121: 1643-1658, 2007.
- Hiyama T, Tanaka S, Kitadai Y, *et al*: *p53* codon 72 polymorphism in gastric cancer susceptibility in patients with *Helicobacter pylori*-associated chronic gastritis. *Int J Cancer* 100: 304-308, 2002.
- Hiyama T, Yokozaki H, Kitadai Y, Haruma K, Yasui W, Kajiyama G and Tahara E: Overexpression of human telomerase RNA is an early event in oesophageal carcinogenesis. *Virchows Arch* 434: 483-487, 1999.
- Hiyama T, Haruma K, Kitadai Y, *et al*: *K-ras* mutation in *Helicobacter pylori*-associated chronic gastritis in patients with and without gastric cancer. *Int J Cancer* 97: 562-566, 2002.
- Park JY, Muscat JE, Ren Q, *et al*: *CYP1A1* and *GSTM1* polymorphisms and oral cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 6: 791-797, 1997.
- Matthias C, Bockmuhl U, Jahnke V, *et al*: Polymorphism in cytochrome P450 CYP2D6, CYP1A1, CYP2E1 and glutathione S-transferase, *GSTM1*, *GSTM3*, *GSTT1* and susceptibility to tobacco-related cancers: studies in upper aerodigestive tract cancers. *Pharmacogenetics* 8: 91-100, 1998.
- Oude Ophuis MB, van Lieshout EMM, Roelofs HJM, Peters WHM and Manni JJ: Glutathione S-transferase M1 and T1 and cytochrome P4501A1 polymorphisms in relation to the risk for benign and malignant head and neck lesions. *Cancer* 82: 936-943, 1998.
- Katoh T, Kaneko S, Kohshi K, *et al*: Genetic polymorphisms of tobacco- and alcohol-related metabolizing enzymes and oral cavity cancer. *Int J Cancer* 83: 606-609, 1999.
- Morita S, Yano M, Tsujinaka T, *et al*: Genetic polymorphisms of drug-metabolizing enzymes and susceptibility to head-and-neck squamous-cell carcinoma. *Int J Cancer* 80: 685-688, 1999.
- Sato M, Sato T, Izumo T and Amagasa T: Genetically high susceptibility to oral squamous cell carcinoma in terms of combined genotyping of *CYP1A1* and *GSTM1* genes. *Oral Oncol* 36: 267-271, 2000.
- Olshan AF, Weissler MC, Watson MA and Bell DA: *GSTM1*, *GSTT1*, *GSTP1*, *CYP1A1*, and *NAT1* polymorphisms, tobacco use, and the risk of head and neck cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 9: 185-191, 2000.
- McWilliams JE, Evans AJ, Beer TM, Andersen PE, Cohen JJ, Everts EC and Henner WD: Genetic polymorphisms in head and neck cancer risk. *Head Neck* 22: 609-617, 2000.
- Sreelekha TT, Ramadas K, Pandey M, Thomas G, Nalinakumari KR and Pillai MR: Genetic polymorphisms of *CYP1A1*, *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* genes in Indian oral cancer. *Oral Oncol* 37: 593-598, 2001.
- Hahn M, Hagedorn G, Kuhlisch E, Schackert HK and Eckelt U: Genetic polymorphisms of drug-metabolizing enzymes and susceptibility to oral cavity cancer. *Oral Oncol* 38: 486-490, 2002.
- Varzim G, Monteiro E, Silva RA, Fernandes J and Lopes C: *CYP1A1* and *XRCC1* gene polymorphisms in SCC of the larynx. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 12: 495-499, 2003.
- Evans AJ, Henner WD, Eilers KM, *et al*: Polymorphisms of *GSTT1* and related genes in head and neck cancer risk. *Head Neck* 26: 63-70, 2004.
- Xie H, Hou L, Shields PG, *et al*: Metabolic polymorphisms, smoking, and oral cancer in Puerto Rico. *Oncol Res* 14: 315-320, 2004.
- Marques CFS, Koifman S, Koifman RJ, Boffetta P, Brennan P and Hatagima A: Influence of *CYP1A1*, *CYP2E1*, *GSTM3*, and *NAT2* genetic polymorphisms in oral cancer susceptibility: results from a case-control study in Rio de Janeiro. *Oral Oncol* 42: 632-637, 2006.
- Sugimura T, Kumimoto H, Tohno I, *et al*: Gene-environment interaction involved in oral carcinogenesis: molecular epidemiological study for metabolic and DNA repair gene polymorphisms. *J Oral Pathol Med* 35: 11-18, 2006.
- Tanimoto K, Hayashi S, Yoshiga K and Ichikawa T: Polymorphisms of the *CYP1A1* and *GSTM1* gene involved in oral squamous cell carcinoma in association with a cigarette dose. *Oral Oncol* 35: 191-196, 1999.
- Sato M, Sato T, Izumo T and Amagasa T: Genetic polymorphism of drug-metabolizing enzymes and susceptibility to oral cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 20: 1927-1931, 1999.
- Ko Y, Abel J, Harth V, *et al*: Association of CYP1B1 codon 432 mutant allele in head and neck squamous cell cancer is reflected by somatic mutations of p53 in tumor tissue. *Cancer Res* 61: 4398-4404, 2001.
- Kao SY, Wu CH, Lin SC, *et al*: Genetic polymorphism of cytochrome P4501A1 and susceptibility to oral squamous cell carcinoma and oral precancerous lesions associated with smoking/betel use. *J Oral Pathol Med* 31: 505-511, 2002.
- Cheng YJ, Chien YC, Hildesheim A, *et al*: No association between genetic polymorphisms of CYP1A1, GSTM1, GSTT1, GSTP1, NAT2, and nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Taiwan. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 12: 179-180, 2003.
- Gronau S, Jerg DKG and Riechelmann H: Gene polymorphisms in detoxification enzymes as susceptibility factor for head and neck cancer? *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 128: 674-680, 2003.
- Gattas GJF, De Carvalho MB, Siraque MS, Curioni OA, Kohler P, Eluf-Neto J and Wunsch-Filho V: Genetic polymorphisms of *CYP1A1*, *CYP2E1*, *GSTM1*, and *GSTT1* associated with head and neck cancer. *Head Neck* 28: 819-826, 2006.
- Cha IH, Park JY, Chung WY, Choi MA, Kim HJ and Park KK: Polymorphisms of *CYP1A1* and *GSTM1* genes and susceptibility to oral cancer. *Yonsei Med J* 48: 233-239, 2007.

37. Boccia S, Cadoni G, Sayed-Tabatabaei FA, *et al*: *CYP1A1*, *CYP2E1*, *GSTM1*, *GSTT1*, *EPHX1* exons 3 and 4, and *NAT2* polymorphisms, smoking, consumption of alcohol and fruit and vegetables and risk of head and neck cancer. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 134: 93-100, 2007.
38. Li G, Liu Z, Sturgis EM, Chamberlain RM, Spitz MR and Wei Q: *CYP2E1* G1532C, *NQO1* Pro187Ser, and *CYP1B1* Val432Leu polymorphisms are not associated with risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 14: 1034-1036, 2005.
39. Hildesheim A, Chen CJ, Caporaso NE, *et al*: Cytochrome P4502E1 genetic polymorphisms and risk of nasopharyngeal carcinoma: results from a case-control study conducted in Taiwan. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 4: 607-610, 1995.
40. Hung HC, Chuang J, Chien YC, *et al*: Genetic polymorphisms of *CYP2E1*, *GSTM1*, and *GSTT1*; environmental factors and risk of oral cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 6: 901-905, 1997.
41. Hildesheim A, Anderson LM, Chen CJ, *et al*: *CYP2E1* genetic polymorphisms and risk of nasopharyngeal carcinoma in Taiwan. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 89: 1207-1212, 1997.
42. Gonzalez MV, Alvarez V, Pello MF, Menendez MJ, Suarez C and Coto E: Genetic polymorphism of N-acetyltransferase-2, glutathione S-transferase-M1, and cytochromes P450IIE1 and P450IID6 in the susceptibility to head and neck cancer. *J Clin Pathol* 51: 294-298, 1998.
43. Bouchardy C, Hirvonen A, Coutelle C, Ward PJ, Dayer P and Benhamou S: Role of alcohol dehydrogenase 3 and cytochrome P-4502E1 genotypes in susceptibility to cancers of the upper aerodigestive tract. *Int J Cancer* 87: 734-740, 2000.
44. Kongruttanachok N, Sukdikul S, Setavarin S, *et al*: Cytochrome P450 2E1 polymorphism and nasopharyngeal carcinoma development in Thailand: a correlative study. *BMC Cancer* 1: 4, 2001.
45. Liu S, Park JY, Schantz SP, Stern JC and Lazarus P: Elucidation of *CYP2E1* 5' regulatory *RsalI/PstI* allelic variants and their role in risk for oral cancer. *Oral Oncol* 37: 437-445, 2001.
46. Neuhaus T, Ko YD, Lorenzen K, *et al*: Association of cytochrome P450 2E1 polymorphisms and head and neck squamous cell cancer. *Toxicol Lett* 151: 273-282, 2004.
47. Gajecka M, Rydzanicz M, Jaskula-Sztul R, Kujawski M, Szyfter W and Szyfter K: *CYP1A1*, *CYP2D6*, *CYP2E1*, *NAT2*, *GSTM1* and *GSTT1* polymorphisms or their combinations are associated with the increased risk of the laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Mutat Res* 574: 112-123, 2005.
48. Yang XR, Diehl S, Pfeiffer R, *et al*: Evaluation of risk factors for nasopharyngeal carcinoma in high-risk nasopharyngeal carcinoma families in Taiwan. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 14: 900-905, 2005.
49. Worrall SE, Corrigan M, High A, *et al*: Susceptibility and outcome in oral cancer: preliminary data showing an association with polymorphism in cytochrome P450 *CYP2D6*. *Pharmacogenetics* 8: 433-439, 1998.
50. Topic E, Stefanovic M, Nikolic V, Zoricic I, Ivanisevic AM and Zuntar I: Detection of *CYP2D6**3 and *2D6**4 allelic variants by PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 36: 655-658, 1998.
51. Topic E, Stefanovic M, Ivanisevic AM, Petrinovic R and Curcic I: The cytochrome P450 2D6 (*CYP2D6*) gene polymorphism among breast and head and neck cancer patients. *Clin Chim Acta* 296: 101-109, 2000.
52. Topcu Z, Chiba I, Fujieda M, *et al*: *CYP2A6* gene deletion reduces oral cancer risk in betel quid chewers in Sri Lanka. *Carcinogenesis* 23: 595-598, 2002.
53. Tiwawech D, Srivatanakul P, Karalak A and Ishida T: Cytochrome P450 2A6 polymorphism in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Cancer Lett* 241: 135-141, 2006.
54. Chen WC, Tsai MH, Wan L, Chen WC, Tsai CH and Tsai FJ: *CYP17* and tumor necrosis factor- α gene polymorphisms are associated with risk of oral cancer in Chinese patients in Taiwan. *Acta Otolaryngol* 125: 96-99, 2005.
55. Trizna Z, Clayman GL, Spitz MR, Briggs KL and Goepfert H: Glutathione S-transferase genotypes as risk factors for head and neck cancer. *Am J Surg* 170: 499-501, 1995.
56. Jahnke V, Matthias C, Fryer A and Strange R: Glutathione S-transferase and cytochrome-P450 polymorphism as risk factors for squamous cell carcinoma of the larynx. *Am J Surg* 172: 671-673, 1996.
57. Deakin M, Elder J, Hendrickse C, *et al*: Glutathione S-transferase *GSTT1* genotypes and susceptibility to cancer: studies of interactions with *GSTM1* in lung, oral, gastric and colorectal cancers. *Carcinogenesis* 17: 881-884, 1996.
58. Coutelle C, Ward PJ, Fleury B, *et al*: Laryngeal and oropharyngeal cancer, and alcohol dehydrogenase 3 and glutathione S-transferase M1 polymorphisms. *Hum Genet* 99: 319-325, 1997.
59. Kihara M, Kihara M, Kubota A, Furukawa M and Kimura H: *GSTM1* gene polymorphism as a possible marker for susceptibility to head and neck cancers among Japanese smokers. *Cancer Lett* 112: 257-262, 1997.
60. Jaskula-Sztul R, Reinikainen M, Husgafvel-Pursiainen K, Szmeja Z, Szyfter W, Szyfter K and Hirvonen A: Glutathione S-transferase M1 and T1 genotypes and susceptibility to smoking related larynx cancer. *Biomarkers* 3: 149-155, 1998.
61. Jourenkova N, Reinikainen M, Bouchardy C, Dayer P, Benhamou S and Hirvonen A: Larynx cancer risk in relation to glutathione S-transferase M1 and T1 genotypes and tobacco smoking. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 7: 19-23, 1998.
62. Lafuente A, Maristany M, Arias C, *et al*: Glutathione and glutathione S-transferase in human squamous cell carcinomas of the larynx and *GSTM1* dependent risk. *Anticancer Res* 18: 107-111, 1998.
63. Jourenkova-Mironova N, Voho A, Bouchardy C, Wikman H, Dayer P, Benhamou S and Hirvonen A: Glutathione S-transferase *GSTM1*, *GSTM3*, *GSTP1* and *GSTT1* genotypes and the risk of smoking-related oral and pharyngeal cancers. *Int J Cancer* 81: 44-48, 1999.
64. Cheng L, Sturgis EM, Eicher SA, Char D, Spitz MR and Wei Q: Glutathione-S-transferase polymorphisms and risk of squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Int J Cancer* 84: 220-224, 1999.
65. Nazar-Stewart V, Vaughan TL, Burt RD, Chen C, Berwick M and Swanson GM: Glutathione S-transferase M1 and susceptibility to nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 8: 547-551, 1999.
66. Nomura T, Noma H, Shibahara T, Yokoyama A, Muramatsu T and Ohmori T: Aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 and glutathione S-transferase M1 polymorphisms in relation to the risk for oral cancer in Japanese drinkers. *Oral Oncol* 36: 42-46, 2000.
67. Park JY, Muscat JE, Kaur T, Schantz SP, Stern JC, Richie JP Jr and Lazarus P: Comparison of *GSTM* polymorphisms and risk for oral cancer between African-Americans and Caucasians. *Pharmacogenetics* 10: 123-131, 2000.
68. Hong YJ, Lee JK, Lee GH and Hong SI: Influence of glutathione S-transferase M1 and T1 genotypes on larynx cancer risk among Korean smokers. *Clin Chem Lab Med* 38: 917-919, 2000.
69. Cabelguenne A, Loriot MA, Stucker I, *et al*: Glutathione-associated enzymes in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma and response to cisplatin-based neoadjuvant chemotherapy. *Int J Cancer* 93: 725-730, 2001.
70. Hanna E, MacLeod S, Vural E and Lang N: Genetic deletions of glutathione-S-transferase as a risk factor in squamous cell carcinoma of the larynx: a preliminary report. *Am J Otolaryngol* 22: 121-123, 2001.
71. Kietthubthwe S, Sriplung H and Au WW: Genetic and environmental interactions on oral cancer in Southern Thailand. *Environ Mol Mutagen* 37: 111-116, 2001.
72. Buch SC, Notani PN and Bhisey RA: Polymorphism at *GSTM1*, *GSTM3* and *GSTT1* gene loci and susceptibility to oral cancer in an Indian population. *Carcinogenesis* 23: 803-807, 2002.
73. To-Figueras J, Gene M, Gomez-Catalan J, *et al*: Microsomal epoxide hydrolase and glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms in relation to laryngeal carcinoma risk. *Cancer Lett* 187: 95-101, 2002.
74. Bardakci F, Canbay E, Degerli N, Coban L and Canbay EI: Relationship of tobacco smoking with *GSTM1* gene polymorphism in laryngeal cancer. *J Cell Mol Med* 7: 307-312, 2003.
75. Risch A, Ramroth H, Raedts V, *et al*: Laryngeal cancer risk in Caucasians is associated with alcohol and tobacco consumption but not modified by genetic polymorphisms in class I alcohol dehydrogenases *ADH1B* and *ADH1C*, and glutathione-S-transferases *GSTM1* and *GSTT1*. *Pharmacogenetics* 13: 225-230, 2003.
76. Unal M, Tamer L, Ates NA, *et al*: Glutathione S-transferase M1, T1, and P1 gene polymorphism in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Am J Otolaryngol* 25: 318-322, 2004.

77. Sikdar N, Paul RR and Roy B: Glutathione S-transferase M3 (A/A) genotype as a risk factor for oral cancer and leukoplakia among Indian tobacco smokers. *Int J Cancer* 109: 95-101, 2004.
78. Gaudet MM, Olshan AF, Poole C, Weissler MC, Watson M and Bell DA: Diet, GSTM1 and GSTT1 and head and neck cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 25: 735-740, 2004.
79. Drummond SN, De Marco L, Noronha JCM and Gomez RS: GSTM1 polymorphism and oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Oncol* 40: 52-55, 2004.
80. Majumder M, Sikdar N, Paul RR and Roy B: Increased risk of oral leukoplakia and cancer among mixed tobacco users carrying XRCC1 variant haplotypes and cancer among smokers carrying two risk genotypes: one of each of two loci, GSTM3 and XRCC1 (codon 280). *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 14: 2106-2112, 2005.
81. Tiwawech D, Srivatanakul P, Karalak A and Ishida T: Glutathione S-transferase M1 gene polymorphism in Thai nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 6: 270-275, 2005.
82. Oude Ophuis M, Manni JJ and Peters WHM: Glutathione S-transferase T1 null polymorphism and the risk for head and neck cancer. *Acta Otolaryngol* 126: 311-317, 2006.
83. Sharma A, Mishra A, Das BC, Sardana S and Sharma JK: Genetic polymorphism at GSTM1 and GSTT1 gene loci and susceptibility to oral cancer. *Neoplasma* 53: 309-315, 2006.
84. Peters ES, McClean MD, Marsit CJ, Luckett B and Kelsey KT: Glutathione S-transferase polymorphisms and the synergy of alcohol and tobacco in oral, pharyngeal, and laryngeal carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 15: 2196-2202, 2006.
85. Acar H, Ozturk K, Muslumanoglu MH, Yildirim MS, Cora T, Cilingir O and Ozer B: Relation of glutathione S-transferase genotypes (GSTM1 and GSTT1) to laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma risk. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 169: 89-93, 2006.
86. Capoluongo E, Almadori G, Concolino P, et al: GSTT1 and GSTM1 allelic polymorphisms in head and neck cancer patients from Italian Lazio region. *Clin Chem Acta* 376: 174-178, 2007.
87. Jourenkova-Mironova N, Voho A, Bouchardy C, Wikman H, Dayer P, Benhamou S and Hirvonen A: Glutathione S-transferase GSTM3 and GSTP1 genotypes and larynx cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 8: 185-188, 1999.
88. Matthias C, Bockmuhl U, Jahnke V, et al: The glutathione S-transferase GSTP1 polymorphism: effects on susceptibility to oral/pharyngeal and laryngeal carcinomas. *Pharmacogenetics* 8: 1-6, 1998.
89. Park JY, Schantz SP, Stern JC, Kaur T and Lazarus P: Association between glutathione S-transferase π genetic polymorphisms and oral cancer risk. *Pharmacogenetics* 9: 497-504, 1999.
90. Katoh T, Kaneko S, Takasawa S, et al: Human glutathione S-transferase P1 polymorphism and susceptibility to smoking related epithelial cancer; oral, lung, gastric, colorectal and urothelial cancer. *Pharmacogenetics* 9: 165-169, 1999.
91. Kelders WPA, Oude Ophuis MB, Roelofs HMJ, Peters WHM and Manni JJ: The association between glutathione S-transferase P1 genotype and plasma level in head and neck. *Laryngoscope* 112: 462-466, 2002.
92. Amador AG, Righi PD, Radpour S, et al: Polymorphisms of xenobiotic metabolizing genes in oropharyngeal carcinoma. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 93: 440-445, 2002.
93. Oude Ophuis MB, Roelofs HNJ, van den Brandt PA, Peters WHM and Manni JJ: Polymorphisms of the glutathione S-transferase P1 gene and head and neck cancer susceptibility. *Head Neck* 25: 37-43, 2003.
94. Cho CG, Lee SK, Nam SY, et al: Association of the GSTP1 and NQO1 polymorphisms and head and neck squamous cell carcinoma risk. *J Korean Med Sci* 21: 1075-1079, 2006.
95. Hamel N, Karimi S, Hebert-Blouin MN, et al: Increased risk of head and neck cancer in association with GSTT1 nullizygosity for individuals with low exposure to tobacco. *Int J Cancer* 87: 452-454, 2000.
96. Drummond SN, Gomez RS, Noronha JCM, Pordeus IA, Barbosa AA and De Marco L: Association between GSTT-1 gene deletion and the susceptibility to oral squamous cell carcinoma in cigarette-smoking subjects. *Oral Oncol* 41: 515-519, 2005.
97. Katoh T, Kaneko S, Boissy R, Watson M, Ikemura K and Bell DA: A pilot study testing the association between N-acetyltransferases 1 and 2 and risk of oral squamous cell carcinoma in Japanese people. *Carcinogenesis* 19: 1803-1807, 1998.
98. Jourenkova-Mironova N, Wikman H, Bouchardy C, Mitrunen K, Dayer P, Benhamou S and Hirovonen A: Role of arylamine N-acetyltransferase 1 and 2 (NAT1 and NAT2) genotypes in susceptibility to oral/pharyngeal and laryngeal cancers. *Pharmacogenetics* 9: 533-537, 1999.
99. Fronhoffs S, Bruning T, Ortiz-Pallardo E, et al: Real-time PCR analysis of the N-acetyltransferase NAT1 allele *3, *4, *10, *11, *14 and *17 polymorphism in squamous cell cancer of head and neck. *Carcinogenesis* 22: 1405-1412, 2001.
100. Varzim G, Monteiro E, Siva R, Pinheiro C and Lopes C: Polymorphisms of arylamine N-acetyltransferase (NAT1 and NAT2) and larynx cancer susceptibility. *ORL* 64: 206-212, 2002.
101. Chen C, Ricks S, Doody DR, Fitzgibbons ED, Porter PL and Schwartz SM: N-acetyltransferase 2 polymorphisms, cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption, and oral squamous cell cancer risk. *Carcinogenesis* 22: 1993-1999, 2001.
102. Unal M, Tamer L, Akbas Y, Pata YS, Vayisoglu Y, Degirmenci U and Camdeviren H: Genetic polymorphism of N-acetyltransferase 2 in the susceptibility to laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Head Neck* 27: 1056-1060, 2005.
103. Jourenkova-Mironova N, Mitrunen K, Bouchardy C, Dayer P, Benhamou S and Hirvonen A: High-activity microsomal epoxide hydrolase genotypes and the risk of oral, pharynx, and larynx cancers. *Cancer Res* 60: 534-536, 2000.
104. Wenghoefer M, Pesch B, Harth V, et al: Association between head and neck cancer and microsomal epoxide hydrolase genotypes. *Arch Toxicol* 77: 37-41, 2003.
105. Park JY, Schantz SP and Lazarus P: Epoxide hydrolase genotype and oropharyngeal cancer risk: interaction with GSTM1 genotype. *Oral Oncol* 39: 483-490, 2003.
106. Begleiter A, Norman A, Leitao D, et al: Role of NQO1 polymorphisms as risk factors for squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Oral Oncol* 41: 927-933, 2005.
107. Hirankarn N, Kimkong I and Mutirangura A: HLA-E polymorphism in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Tissue Antigens* 64: 588-592, 2004.
108. Elahi A, Bendaly J, Zheng Z, Muscat JE, Richie JP, Schantz SP and Lazarus P: Detection of UGT1A10 polymorphisms and their association with orolaryngeal carcinoma risk. *Cancer* 98: 872-880, 2003.
109. Zheng Z, Park JY, Guillemette C, Schantz SP and Lazarus P: Tobacco carcinogen-detoxifying enzyme UGT1A7 and its association with orolaryngeal cancer risk. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 93: 1411-1418, 2001.
110. Boccia S, Cadoni G, La Torre G, et al: A case-control study investigating the role of sulfotransferase 1A1 polymorphism in head and neck cancer. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 132: 466-472, 2006.
111. Harty LC, Caporaso NE, Hayes RB, et al: Alcohol dehydrogenase 3 genotype and risk of oral cavity and pharyngeal cancers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 89: 1968-1975, 1997.
112. Olshan AF, Weissler MC, Watson MA and Bell DA: Risk of head and neck cancer and the alcohol dehydrogenase 3 genotype. *Carcinogenesis* 22: 57-61, 2001.
113. Sturgis EM, Dahlstrom KR, Guan Y, Eicher SA, Strom SS, Spitz MR and Wei Q: Alcohol dehydrogenase 3 genotype is not associated with risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity and pharynx. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 10: 273-275, 2001.
114. Schwartz SM, Doody DR, Fitzgibbons ED, Ricks S, Porter PL and Chen C: Oral squamous cell cancer risk in relation to alcohol consumption and alcohol dehydrogenase-3 genotypes. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 10: 1137-1144, 2001.
115. Zavras AI, Wu T, Laskaris G, et al: Interaction between a single nucleotide polymorphism in the alcohol dehydrogenase 3 gene, alcohol consumption and oral cancer risk. *Int J Cancer* 97: 526-530, 2002.
116. Nishimoto IN, Pinheiro NA, Rogatto SR, et al: Alcohol dehydrogenase 3 genotype as a risk factor for upper aerodigestive tract cancers. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 130: 78-82, 2004.
117. Yokoyama A, Muramatsu T, Ohmori T, et al: Alcohol-related cancers and aldehyde dehydrogenase-2 in Japanese alcoholics. *Carcinogenesis* 19: 1383-1387, 1998.
118. Yokoyama A, Muramatsu T, Ohmori T, et al: Alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenase gene polymorphisms and oropharyngo-laryngeal, esophageal and stomach cancers in Japanese alcoholics. *Carcinogenesis* 22: 433-439, 2001.

119. Hashimoto T, Uchida K, Okayama N, *et al*: ALDH2 1510 G/A (Glu487/Lys) polymorphism interaction with age in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Tumor Biol* 27: 334-338, 2006.
120. Asakage T, Yokoyama A, Haneda T, *et al*: Genetic polymorphisms of alcohol and aldehyde dehydrogenases, and drinking, smoking and diet in Japanese men with oral and pharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Carcinogenesis* 28: 865-874, 2007.
121. Visapaa JP, Gotte K, Benesova M, *et al*: Increased cancer risk in heavy drinkers with the alcohol dehydrogenase 1C*1 allele, possibly due to salivary acetaldehyde. *Gut* 53: 871-876, 2004.
122. Peters ES, McClean MD, Liu M, Eisen EA, Mueller N and Kelsey KT: The *ADH1C* polymorphism modifies the risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck associated with alcohol and tobacco use. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 14: 476-482, 2005.
123. Homann N, Stücker F, König IR, *et al*: Alcohol dehydrogenase 1C*1 allele is a genetic marker for alcohol-associated cancer in heavy drinkers. *Int J Cancer* 118: 1998-2002, 2006.
124. Weinstein SJ, Gridley G, Harty LC, *et al*: Folate intake, serum homocysteine and methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (*MTHFR*) C677T genotype are not associated with oral cancer risk in Puerto Rico. *J Nutr* 132: 762-767, 2002.
125. Neumann AS, Lyons HJ, Shen H, *et al*: Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase polymorphisms and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a case-control analysis. *Int J Cancer* 115: 131-136, 2005.
126. Capaccio P, Ottaviani F, Cuccarini V, Cenuales S, Cesana BM and Pignataro L: Association between methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase polymorphisms, alcohol intake and oropharyngeal carcinoma in northern Italy. *J Laryngol Otol* 119: 371-376, 2005.
127. Vairaktaris E, Yapijakis C, Kessler P, *et al*: Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase polymorphism and minor increase of risk for oral cancer. *J Cancer Res Clin Oncol* 132: 219-222, 2006.
128. Zhang Z, Shi Q, Sturgis EM, Spitz MR and Wei Q: Polymorphisms and haplotypes of serine hydroxymethyltransferase and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a case-control analysis. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 15: 557-564, 2005.
129. Zhang Z, Shi Q, Liu Z, Sturgis EM, Spitz MR and Wei Q: Polymorphisms of *methionine synthase* and *methionine synthase reductase* and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a case-control analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 14: 1188-1193, 2005.
130. Zhang Z, Shi Q, Sturgis EM, Spitz MR, Hong WK and Wei Q: Thymidylate synthase 5'- and 3'-untranslated region polymorphisms associated with risk and progression of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Clin Cancer Res* 10: 7903-7910, 2004.
131. Vairaktaris E, Yapijakis C, Wilfang J, *et al*: Are Factor V and prothrombin mutations associated with increased risk of oral cancer? *Anticancer Res* 25: 2561-2256, 2005.
132. Olshan AF, Watson MA, Weissler MC and Bell DA: *XRCC1* polymorphisms and head and neck cancer. *Cancer Lett* 178: 181-186, 2002.
133. Tae K, Lee HS, Park BJ, *et al*: Association of DNA repair gene *XRCC1* polymorphisms with head and neck cancer in Korean population. *Int J Cancer* 111: 805-808, 2004.
134. Demokan S, Demir D, Suoglu Y, Kiyak E, Akar U and Dalay N: Polymorphisms of the *XRCC1* repair gene in head and neck cancer. *Pathol Oncol Res* 11: 22-25, 2005.
135. Cao Y, Miao XP, Huang MY, *et al*: Polymorphisms of *XRCC1* genes and risk of nasopharyngeal carcinoma in the Cantonese population. *BMC Cancer* 6: 167, 2006.
136. Ramachandran S, Ramadas K, Hariharan R, Kumar RR and Pillai MR: Single nucleotide polymorphisms of DNA repair genes *XRCC1* and *XPD* and its molecular mapping in Indian oral cancer. *Oral Oncol* 42: 350-362, 2006.
137. Kietthubthaw S, Sriplung H, Au WW and Ishida T: Polymorphism in DNA repair genes and oral squamous cell carcinoma in Thailand. *Int J Hyg Environ Health* 209: 21-29, 2006.
138. Majumder M, Sikdar N, Ghosh S and Roy B: Polymorphisms at *XPD* and *XRCC1* DNA repair loci and increased risk of oral leukoplakia and cancer among *NAT2* slow acetylators. *Int J Cancer* 120: 2148-2156, 2007.
139. Cho EY, Hildesheim A, Chen CJ, *et al*: Nasopharyngeal carcinoma and genetic polymorphisms of DNA repair enzymes *XRCC1* and *hOGG1*. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 12: 1100-1104, 2003.
140. Huang WY, Olshan AF, Schwartz SM, *et al*: Selected genetic polymorphisms in *MGMT*, *XRCC1*, *XPD*, and *XRCC3* and risk of head and neck cancer: a pooled analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 14: 1747-1753, 2005.
141. Sturgis EM, Castillo EJ, Li L, *et al*: Polymorphisms of DNA repair gene *XRCC1* in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Carcinogenesis* 20: 2125-2129, 1999.
142. Gajecka M, Rydzanicz M, Jaskula-Sztul R, Wierzbicka M, Szyfter W and Szyfter K: Reduced DNA repair capacity in laryngeal cancer subjects. *Adv Otorhinolaryngol* 62: 25-37, 2005.
143. Benhamou S, Tuimala J, Bouchardy C, Dayer P, Sarasin A and Hirvonen A: DNA repair gene *XRCC2* and *XRCC3* polymorphisms and susceptibility to cancers of the upper aerodigestive tract. *Int J Cancer* 112: 901-904, 2004.
144. Shen H, Sturgis EM, Dahlstrom KR, Zheng Y, Spitz MR and Wei Q: A variant of the DNA repair gene *XRCC3* and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a case-control analysis. *Int J Cancer* 99: 869-872, 2002.
145. Sturgis EM, Zheng R, Li L, *et al*: *XPD/ERCC2* polymorphisms and risk of head and neck cancer: a case-control analysis. *Carcinogenesis* 21: 2219-2223, 2000.
146. Sturgis EM, Castillo EJ, Li L, Eicher SA, Strom SS, Spitz MR and Wei Q: *XPD/ERCC2* exon 8 polymorphisms: rarity and lack of significance in risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Oral Oncol* 38: 475-477, 2002.
147. Sturgis EM, Dahlstrom KR, Spitz MR and Wei Q: DNA repair gene *ERCC1* and *ERCC2/XPD* polymorphisms and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 128: 1084-1088, 2002.
148. Cui Y, Morgenstern H, Greenland S, *et al*: Polymorphism of *xeroderma pigmentosum group G* and the risk of lung cancer and squamous cell carcinomas of the oropharynx, larynx, and esophagus. *Int J Cancer* 118: 714-720, 2006.
149. Shen H, Sturgis EM, Khan SG, *et al*: An interonic poly (AT) polymorphism of the DNA repair gene *XPC* and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a case-control study. *Cancer Res* 61: 3321-3325, 2001.
150. Yang M, Kang MJ, Choi Y, *et al*: Associations between *XPC* expression, genotype, and the risk of head and neck cancer. *Environ Mol Mutagen* 45: 374-379, 2005.
151. Elahi A, Zheng Z, Park J, Eyring K, McCaffrey T and Lazarus P: The human *OGG1* DNA repair enzyme and its association with orolaryngeal cancer risk. *Carcinogenesis* 23: 1229-1234, 2002.
152. Zhang Z, Shi Q, Wang LE, *et al*: No association between *hOGG1* Ser³²⁶Cys polymorphism and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 13: 1081-1083, 2004.
153. Lu J, Wang LE, Xiong P, Sturgis E, Spitz MR and Wei Q: 172G>T variant in the 5' untranslated region of DNA repair gene *RAD51* reduces risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck and interacts with a *P53* codon 72 variant. *Carcinogenesis* 28: 988-994, 2007.
154. Birgander R, Sjalander A, Zhou Z, Fan C, Beckman L and Beckman G: p53 polymorphisms and haplotypes in nasopharyngeal cancer. *Hum Hered* 46: 49-54, 1996.
155. Yung WCW, Ng MH, Sham JST and Choy DTK: p53 codon 72 polymorphism in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 93: 181-182, 1997.
156. Golovleva I, Birgander R, Sjalander A, Lundgren E and Beckman L: Interferon- α and *P53* alleles involved in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Carcinogenesis* 18: 645-649, 1997.
157. Hamel N, Black MJ, Ghadirian P and Foulkes WD: No association between p53 codon 72 polymorphism and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Br J Cancer* 82: 757-759, 2000.
158. Summersgill KF, Smith EM, Kirchner HL, Haugen TH and Turek LP: p53 polymorphism, human papillomavirus infection in the oral cavity, and oral cancer. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 90: 334-339, 2000.
159. Tandle AT, Sanghvi V and Saranath D: Determination of p53 genotypes in oral cancer patients from India. *Br J Cancer* 84: 739-742, 2001.
160. Sourvinos G, Rizos E and Spandidos DA: p53 codon 72 polymorphism is linked to the development and not the progression of benign and malignant laryngeal tumours. *Oral Oncol* 37: 572-578, 2001.

161. Shen H, Zheng Y, Sturgis EM, Spitz MR and Wei Q: *P53* codon 72 polymorphism and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a case-control study. *Cancer Lett* 183: 123-130, 2002.
162. Drummond SN, De Marco L, Pordeus IDA, Barbosa AA and Gomez RS: TP53 codon 72 polymorphism in oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Anticancer Res* 22: 3379-3382, 2002.
163. Nagpal JK, Patnaik S and Das BR: Prevalence of high-risk human papilloma virus types and its association with p53 codon 72 polymorphism in tobacco addicted oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) patients of Eastern India. *Int J Cancer* 97: 649-653, 2002.
164. Tiwawech D, Srivantanakul P, Karaluk A and Ishida T: The p53 codon 72 polymorphism in Thai nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Cancer Lett* 198: 69-75, 2003.
165. Kietthubthwe S, Sriplung H, Au WW and Ishida T: The p53 codon 72 polymorphism and risk of oral cancer in Southern Thailand. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev* 4: 209-214, 2003.
166. Katiyar S, Thelma BK, Murthy NS, *et al*: Polymorphism of the p53 codon 72 arg/pro and the risk of HPV type 16/18-associated cervical and oral cancer in India. *Mol Cell Biochem* 252: 117-124, 2003.
167. Cortezzi SS, Provazzi PJ, Sobrinho JS, *et al*: Analysis of human papillomavirus prevalence and TP53 polymorphism in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 150: 44-49, 2004.
168. Scheckenback K, Lieven O, Gotte K, Bockmuhl U, Zots R, Bier H and Balz V: p53 codon 72 polymorphic variants, loss of allele-specific transcription, and human papilloma virus 16 and/or 18 E6 messenger RNA expression in squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 13: 1805-1809, 2004.
169. Twu CW, Jiang RS, Shu CH and Lin JC: Association of p53 codon 72 polymorphism with risk of hypopharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma in Taiwan. *J Formos Med Assoc* 105: 99-104, 2006.
170. Sousa H, Santos AM, Catarino R, *et al*: Linkage of TP53 codon 72 pro/pro genotype as predictive factor for nasopharyngeal carcinoma development. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 15: 362-366, 2006.
171. Perrone F, Mariani L, Pastore E, *et al*: p53 codon 72 polymorphisms in human papillomavirus-negative and papillomavirus-positive squamous cell carcinomas of the oropharynx. *Cancer* 109: 2461-2465, 2007.
172. Zheng Y, Shen H, Sturgis EM, *et al*: Cyclin D1 polymorphism and risk for squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a case-control study. *Carcinogenesis* 22: 1195-1199, 2001.
173. Deng L, Zhao XR, Pan KF, Wang Y, Deng XY, Lu YY and Cao Y: Cyclin D1 polymorphism and susceptibility to NPC using DHPLC. *Acta Biochem Biophys Sinica* 34: 16-20, 2002.
174. Wong YK, Lin SC, Chang CS, Tseng YH, Liu CJ, Lin HC and Chang KW: Cyclin D1 genotype in areca-associated oral squamous cell carcinoma. *J Oral Pathol Med* 32: 265-270, 2003.
175. Nishimoto IN, Pinheiro NA, Rogatto SR, Carvalho AL, Simpson AJ, Carballero OL and Kowalski LP: Cyclin D1 gene polymorphism as a risk factor for squamous cell carcinoma of the upper aerodigestive system in non-alcoholics. *Oral Oncol* 40: 604-610, 2004.
176. Monteiro E, Varzim G, Pires AM, Teixeira M and Lopes C: Cyclin D1 A870G polymorphism and amplification in laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma: implications of tumor localization and tobacco exposure. *Cancer Detect Prev* 28: 237-243, 2004.
177. Holley SL, Matthias C, Jahnke V, Fryer AA, Strange RC and Hoban PR: Association of cyclin D1 polymorphism with increased susceptibility to oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Oncol* 41: 156-160, 2005.
178. Rydzanicz M, Golusinski P, Mielcarek-Kuchta D, Golusinski W and Szyfter K: Cyclin-D1 gene (*CCND1*) polymorphism and the risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the larynx. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 263: 43-48, 2006.
179. Catarino RJ, Breda E, Coelho V, Pinto D, Sousa H, Lopes C and Medeiros R: Association of the A870G cyclin D1 gene polymorphism with genetic susceptibility to nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Head Neck* 28: 603-608, 2006.
180. Sathyan KM, Nalinakumari KR, Abraham T and Kannan S: Influence of single nucleotide polymorphisms in H-Ras and cyclin D1 genes on oral cancer susceptibility. *Oral Oncol* 42: 607-613, 2006.
181. Rodrigues FCC, Kawasaki-Oyama RS, Fo JFG, *et al*: Analysis of *CDKN1A* polymorphisms: markers of cancer susceptibility? *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 142: 92-98, 2003.
182. Li G, Liu Z, Sturgis EM, Shi Q, Chamberlain RM, Spitz MR and Wei Q: Genetic polymorphisms of *p21* are associated with risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Carcinogenesis* 26: 1596-1602, 2005.
183. Ralhan R, Agarwal S, Mathur M, Wasylyk B and Srivastava A: Association between polymorphism in *p21^{Waf1/Cip1}* cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor gene and human oral cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 6: 2440-2447, 2000.
184. Sun Y, Hildesheim A, Li H, *et al*: No point mutation but a codon 31^{ser, arg} polymorphism of the *WAF-1/CIP-1/p21* tumor suppressor gene in nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC): the polymorphism distinguishes Caucasians from Chinese. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 4: 261-267, 1995.
185. Facher EA, Becich MJ, Deka A and Law JC: Association between human cancer and two polymorphisms occurring together in the *p21^{Waf1/Cip1}* cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor gene. *Cancer* 79: 2424-2429, 1997.
186. Tsai MH, Chen WC and Tsai FJ: Correlation of p21 gene codon 31 polymorphism and TNF- α gene polymorphism with nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *J Clin Lab Anal* 16: 146-150, 2002.
187. He Y, Zhou G, Zhai Y, Dong X, Lv L, He F and Yao K: Association of *PLUNC* gene polymorphisms with susceptibility to nasopharyngeal carcinoma in a Chinese population. *J Med Genet* 42: 172-176, 2005.
188. Zheng Y, Shen H, Sturgis EM, Wang LE, Shete S, Spitz MR and Wei Q: Haplotypes of two variants in *p16 (CDKN2/MTS-1/INK4a)* exons 3 and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: a case-control study. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 11: 640-645, 2002.
189. Li G, Sturgis EM, Wang LE, *et al*: Association between the V109G polymorphism of the *p27* gene and the risk and progression of oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 10: 3996-4002, 2004.
190. Li G, Sturgis EM, Wang LE, *et al*: Association of a *p73* exon 2 G4C14-to-A4T14 polymorphism with risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Carcinogenesis* 25: 1911-1916, 2004.
191. Alhopuro P, Ylisaukko-Oja SK, Koskinen WJ, *et al*: The *MDM2* promoter polymorphism SNP309T-G and the risk of uterine leiomyosarcoma, colorectal cancer, and squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *J Med Genet* 42: 694-698, 2005.
192. Duh FM, Fivash M, Moody M, *et al*: Characterization of new SNP c767A/T (Arg222Trp) in the candidate TSG *FUS2* on human chromosome 3p21.3: prevalence in Asian populations and analysis of association with nasopharyngeal cancer. *Mol Cell Probes* 18: 39-44, 2004.
193. Zheng Y, Li L, Shen H, *et al*: Polymorphic *hCHK2/hCds1* codon 84 allele and risk of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck - a case-control analysis. *Carcinogenesis* 22: 2005-2008, 2001.
194. Zinzindohoue F, Blons H, Hans S, *et al*: Single nucleotide polymorphisms in *MMP1* and *MMP3* gene promoters as risk factor in head neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Anticancer Res* 24: 2021-2026, 2004.
195. Hashimoto T, Uchida K, Okayama N, *et al*: Association of matrix metalloproteinase (MMP)-1 promoter polymorphism with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Cancer Lett* 211: 19-24, 2004.
196. Lin SC, Chung MY, Huang JW, Shieh TM, Liu CJ and Chang KW: Correlation between functional genotypes in the *matrix metalloproteinases-1* promoter and risk of oral squamous cell carcinomas. *J Oral Pathol Med* 33: 323-326, 2004.
197. Cao ZG and Li CZ: A single nucleotide polymorphism in the matrix metalloproteinase-1 promoter enhances oral squamous cell carcinoma susceptibility in a Chinese population. *Oral Oncol* 42: 32-38, 2006.
198. O-charoenrat P, Leksrisakul P and Sangruchi S: A functional polymorphism in the matrix metalloproteinase-1 gene promoter is associated with susceptibility and aggressiveness of head and neck cancer. *Int J Cancer* 118: 2548-2553, 2006.
199. Vairaktaris E, Yapijalis C, Derka S, *et al*: Association of matrix metalloproteinase-1 (-1607 1G/2G) polymorphism with increased risk for oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Anticancer Res* 27: 459-464, 2007.

200. Lin SC, Lo SS, Liu CJ, Chung MY, Huang JW and Chang KW: Functional genotype in *matrix metalloproteinases-2* promoter is a risk factor for oral carcinogenesis. *J Oral Pathol Med* 33: 405-409, 2004.
201. O-charoenrat P and Khantapura P: The role of genetic polymorphisms in the promoters of the matrix metalloproteinase-2 and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-2 genes in head and neck cancer. *Oral Oncol* 42: 257-267, 2006.
202. Vairaktaris E, Yapijakis C, Yiannopoulos A, *et al*: Strong association of the tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase-2 polymorphism with an increased risk of oral squamous cell carcinoma in Europeans. *Oncol Rep* 17: 963-968, 2007.
203. Vairaktaris E, Yapijakis C, Derka S, *et al*: Association of platelet glycoprotein Ia polymorphism with minor increase of risk for oral cancer. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 32: 455-457, 2006.
204. Tsai MH, Chen WC, Chen HY and Tsai FJ: Urokinase gene 3'-UTR T/C polymorphism is associated with oral cancer. *J Clin Lab Anal* 18: 276-279, 2004.
205. Zhang Z, Wang LE, Sturgis EM, *et al*: Polymorphisms of *FAS* and *FAS* ligand genes involved in the death pathway and risk and progression of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Clin Cancer Res* 12: 5596-5602, 2006.
206. Jrad BBH, Mahfouth W, Bouaouina N, *et al*: A polymorphism in *FAS* gene promoter associated with increased risk of nasopharyngeal carcinoma and correlated with anti-nuclear autoantibodies induction. *Cancer Lett* 233: 21-27, 2006.
207. Fisher MJ, Virmani AK, Wu L, *et al*: Nucleotide substitution in the ectodomain of TRAIL receptor *DR4* is associated with lung cancer and head and neck cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 7: 1688-1697, 2001.
208. Teng MS, Brandwein-Gensler MS, Teixeira MS, Martignetti JA and Duffey DC: A study of TRAIL receptors in squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 131: 407-412, 2005.
209. Jalbout M, Bouaouina N, Gargouri J, Corbex M, Ahmed SB and Chouchane L: Polymorphism of the stress protein HSP70-2 gene is associated with the susceptibility to the nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Cancer Lett* 193: 75-81, 2003.
210. Liu CJ, Wong YK, Chang KW, Chang HC, Liu HF and Lee YJ: Tumor necrosis factor- α promoter polymorphism is associated with susceptibility to oral squamous cell carcinoma. *J Oral Pathol Med* 34: 608-612, 2005.
211. Ho SY, Wang YJ, Huang PC, *et al*: Evaluation of the associations between the single nucleotide polymorphisms of the promoter region of the tumor necrosis factor- α gene and nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *J Chin Med Assoc* 69: 351-357, 2006.
212. Campa D, Hashibe M, Zaridze D, *et al*: Association of common polymorphisms in inflammatory genes with risk of developing cancers of the upper aerodigestive tract. *Cancer Causes Control* 18: 449-455, 2007.
213. Tsai MH, Chen WC, Tsai CH, Hang LW and Tsai FJ: Interleukin-4 gene, but not the interleukin-1 beta gene polymorphism, is associated with oral cancer. *J Clin Lab Anal* 19: 93-98, 2005.
214. Vairaktaris E, Yapijakis C, Serefoglou Z, *et al*: The interleukin-8 (-251A/T) polymorphism is associated with increased risk for oral squamous cell carcinoma. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 33: 504-507, 2007.
215. Pratesi C, Bortolin MT, Bidoli E, *et al*: Interleukin-10 and interleukin-18 promoter polymorphisms in an Italian cohort of patients with undifferentiated carcinoma of nasopharyngeal type. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 55: 23-30, 2006.
216. Vairaktaris E, Yiannopoulos A, Vylliotis A, *et al*: Strong association of interleukin-6 -174 G>C promoter polymorphism with increased risk of oral cancer. *Int J Biol Markers* 21: 246-250, 2006.
217. Zhou XX, Jia WH, Shen GP, *et al*: Sequence variants in toll-like receptor 10 are associated with nasopharyngeal carcinoma risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 15: 862-866, 2006.
218. Hirunsatit R, Kongruttanachok N, Shotelersuk K, Supiyaphun P, Voravud N, Sakuntabhai A and Mutirangura A: Polymeric immunoglobulin receptor polymorphisms and risk of nasopharyngeal cancer. *BMC Genet* 4: 3, 2003.
219. Cascorbi I, Henning S, Brockmoller J, *et al*: Substantially reduced risk of cancer of the aerodigestive tract in subjects with variants-463A of the myeloperoxidase gene. *Cancer Res* 60: 644-649, 2000.
220. Lin SC, Liu CJ, Yeh WI, Lui MT, Chang KW and Chang CS: Functional polymorphism in *NF- κ B1* promoter is related to the risks of oral squamous cell carcinoma occurring on older male areca (betel) chewers. *Cancer Lett* 243: 47-54, 2006.
221. Degerli N, Yilmaz E and Bardakci F: The Δ 32 allele distribution of the *CCR5* gene and its relationship with certain cancers in a Turkish population. *Clin Biochem* 38: 248-252, 2005.
222. Wong YK, Chang KW, Cheng CY and Liu CJ: Association of *CTLA-4* gene polymorphism with oral squamous cell carcinoma. *J Oral Pathol Med* 35: 51-54, 2006.
223. Ren W, Zheng H, Li M, *et al*: A functional single nucleotide polymorphism site detected in nasopharyngeal carcinoma-associated transforming gene *Tx*. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 157: 49-52, 2005.
224. Kang D, Gridley G, Huang WY, *et al*: Microsatellite polymorphisms in the epidermal growth factor receptor (*EGFR*) gene and the transforming growth factor- α (*TGFA*) gene and risk of oral cancer in Puerto Rico. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 15: 343-347, 2005.
225. Kaur R, Nagpal JK and Das BR: Polymorphism in IGF-2 as a surrogate marker for predisposition towards tobacco chewing-mediated oral cancer. *Tumor Biol* 26: 147-152, 2005.
226. Zarvas AI, Pitiphat W, Wu T, Cartosov V, Lam A, Douglass CW and Diehl SR: Insulin-like growth factor II receptor gene-167 genotype increases the risk of oral squamous cell carcinoma in humans. *Cancer Res* 63: 296-297, 2003.
227. Wei YS, Zhu YH, Du B, *et al*: Association of transforming growth factor-beta1 gene polymorphisms with genetic susceptibility to nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Clin Chim Acta* 380: 165-169, 2007.
228. Ku KT, Wan L, Peng HC, Tsai MH, Tsai CH and Tsai FJ: Vascular endothelial growth factor gene-460 C/T polymorphism is a biomarker for oral cancer. *Oral Oncol* 41: 497-502, 2005.
229. Liu Z, Calderon JJ, Zhang Z, Sturgis EM, Spitz MR and Wei Q: Polymorphisms of vitamin D receptor gene protect against the risk of head and neck cancer. *Pharmacogenet Genomics* 15: 159-165, 2005.
230. dos Santos ML, Sibov TT, Nishimoto IN, Kowalski LP, Miracca EC and Nagai MA: The CAG repeat polymorphism in the androgen receptor gene (AR) and its relationship to head and neck cancer. *Oral Oncol* 40: 177-182, 2004.
231. Kureshi N, Ghaffar S, Siddiqui S, Salahuddin I and Frossard PM: Head and neck cancer susceptibility: a genetic marker in the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene. *ORL* 66: 241-245, 2004.
232. Hashibe M, Brennan P, Strange RC, *et al*: Meta- and pooled analyses of *GSTM1*, *GSTT1*, *GSTP1*, and *CYP1A1* genotypes and risk of head and neck cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 12: 1509-1517, 2003.
233. Tripathy CB and Roy N: Meta-analysis of glutathione S-transferase M1 genotype and risk toward head and neck cancer. *Head Neck* 28: 217-224, 2006.
234. Hu Z, Ma H, Chen F, Wei Q and Shen H: *XRCC1* polymorphisms and cancer risk: a meta-analysis of 38 case-control studies. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 14: 1810-1818, 2005.
235. Yang CX, Matsuo K, Wang ZM and Tajima K: Phase I/II enzyme gene polymorphisms and esophageal cancer: a meta-analysis of the literature. *World J Gastroenterol* 11: 2531-2538, 2005.
236. Landi MT, Bertazzi PA, Shields PG, *et al*: Association between *CYP1A1* genotype, mRNA expression and enzymatic activity in humans. *Pharmacogenetics* 4: 242-246, 1994.
237. Dodd LE, Sengupta S, Chen IH, *et al*: Genes involved in DNA repair and nitrosamine metabolism and those located on chromosome 14q32 are dysregulated in nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 15: 2216-2225, 2006.
238. Albano E, Tomasi A, Persson JO, Terelius Y, Gorla-Gatti L, Ingelman-Sundberg M and Dianzani MU: Role of ethanol-inducible cytochrome P450 (P450IIE1) in catalysing the free radical activation of aliphatic alcohols. *Biochem Pharmacol* 41: 1895-1902, 1991.
239. Seidegard J, Pero RW, Miller DG and Beattie EJ: A glutathione transferase in human leukocytes as a marker for the susceptibility to lung cancer. *Carcinogenesis* 7: 751-753, 1986.
240. Pemble S, Schroeder KR, Spencer SR, *et al*: Human glutathione S-transferase theta (*GSTT1*): cDNA cloning and the characterization of a genetic polymorphism. *Biochem J* 300: 271-276, 1994.
241. Saadat M: Genetic polymorphisms of glutathione S-transferase T1 (*GSTT1*) and susceptibility to gastric cancer: a meta-analysis. *Cancer Sci* 97: 505-509, 2006.

242. Wenzlaff AS, Cote ML, Bock CH, Land SJ and Schwartz AG: GSTM1, GSTT1 and GSTP1 polymorphisms, environmental tobacco smoke exposure and risk of lung cancer among never smokers: a population-based study. *Carcinogenesis* 26: 395-401, 2005.
243. Nakajima T, Wang RS, Nimura Y, *et al*: Expression of cytochrome P450s and glutathione S-transferase in human esophagus with squamous cell carcinomas. *Carcinogenesis* 17: 1477-1481, 1996.
244. Berhane K, Widersten M, Engstrom A, Kozarich JW and Mannervik B: Detoxication of base propenals and other alpha, beta-unsaturated aldehyde products of radical reactions and lipid peroxidation by human glutathione transferases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 91: 1480-1484, 1994.
245. Hein DW, Doll MA, Fretland AJ, *et al*: Molecular genetics and epidemiology of the NAT1 and NAT2 acetylation polymorphisms. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 9: 29-42, 2000.
246. Hassett C, Aicher L, Sidhu JS and Omiecinski CJ: Human microsomal epoxide hydrolase: genetic polymorphism and functional expression *in vitro* of amino acid variants. *Hum Mol Genet* 3: 421-428, 1994.
247. Harrison DJ, Hubbard AL, MacMillan J, Wyllie AH and Smith CA: Microsomal epoxide hydrolase gene polymorphism and susceptibility to colon cancer. *Br J Cancer* 79: 168-171, 1999.
248. Wu X, Gwyn K, Amos CI, Makan N, Hong WK and Spitz MR: The association of microsomal epoxide hydrolase polymorphisms and lung cancer risk in African-Americans and Mexican-Americans. *Carcinogenesis* 22: 923-928, 2001.
249. Lancaster JM, Brownlee HA, Bell DA, *et al*: Microsomal epoxide hydrolase polymorphism as a risk factor for ovarian cancer. *Mol Carcinog* 17: 160-162, 1996.
250. Matsuo K, Wakai K, Hirose K, Ito H, Saito T and Tajima K: Alcohol dehydrogenase 2 His47Arg polymorphism influences drinking habit independently of aldehyde dehydrogenase 2 Glu487Lys polymorphism: analysis of 2,299 Japanese subjects. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 15: 1009-1013, 2006.
251. Lee HC, Lee HS, Jung SH, Yi SY, Jung HK, Yoon JH and Kim CY: Association between polymorphisms of ethanol-metabolizing enzymes and susceptibility to alcoholic cirrhosis in a Korean male population. *J Korean Med Sci* 16: 745-750, 2001.
252. Otsuka M, Harada N, Itabashi T and Ohmori S: Blood and urinary levels of ethanol, acetaldehyde, and C₄ compounds such as diacetyl, acetoin, and 2,3-butanediol in normal male students after ethanol ingestion. *Alcohol* 17: 119-124, 1999.
253. Tiemersma EW, Wark PA, Ocke MC, Bunschoten A, Otten MH, Kok FJ and Kampman E: Alcohol consumption, alcohol dehydrogenase 3 polymorphism, and colorectal adenomas. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 12: 419-425, 2003.
254. Thompson LH, Brookman KW, Jones NJ, Allen SA and Carrono AV: Molecular cloning of the human XRCC1 gene, which corrects defective DNA strand break repair and sister chromatid exchange. *Mol Cell Biol* 10: 6160-6171, 1990.
255. Kubota Y, Nash RA, Klungland A, Schar P, Barnes DE and Lindahl T: Reconstitution of DNA base excision-repair with purified human proteins: interaction between DNA polymerase beta and the XRCC1 protein. *EMBO J* 15: 6662-6670, 1996.
256. Abdel-Rahman SZ and El-Zein RA: The 399Gln polymorphism in the DNA repair gene XRCC1 modulates the genotoxic response induced in human lymphocytes by the tobacco-specific nitrosamine NNK. *Cancer Lett* 159: 63-71, 2000.
257. Drapkin R, Sancar A and Reinberg D: Where transcription meets repair. *Cell* 77: 9-12, 1994.
258. Qiao Y, Spitz MR, Shen H, *et al*: Modulation of repair of ultraviolet damage in the host-cell reactivation assay by polymorphic XPC and XPD/ERCC2 genotypes. *Carcinogenesis* 23: 295-299, 2002.
259. Hiyama T, Yokozaki H, Shimamoto F, Haruma K, Yasui W, Kajiyama G and Tahara E: Frequent p53 gene mutations in serrated adenomas of the colorectum. *J Pathol* 186: 131-139, 1998.
260. Karsai S, Abel U, Roesch-Ely M, *et al*: *Helicobacter pylori* eradication therapy for high-grade mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphomas of the stomach with analysis of p53 and K-ras alteration and microsatellite instability. *Int J Oncol* 18: 1207-1212, 2001.
261. Hiyama T, Tanaka S, Yoshihara M, *et al*: Chromosomal and microsatellite instability in sporadic gastric cancer. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 19: 756-760, 2004.
262. Karsai S, Abel U, Roesch-Ely M, *et al*: Comparison of p16(INK4a) expression with p53 alterations in head and neck cancer by tissue microarray analysis. *J Pathol* 211: 314-322, 2007.
263. Thomas M, Kalita A, Labrecque S, Pim D, Banks L and Matlashewski G: Two polymorphic variants of wild-type p53 differ biochemically and biologically. *Mol Cell Biol* 19: 1092-1100, 1999.
264. Perez-Ordóñez B, Beauchemin M and Jordan RC: Molecular biology of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *J Clin Pathol* 59: 445-453, 2006.
265. Lewis SJ and Smith GD: Alcohol, ALDH2, and esophageal cancer: a meta-analysis which illustrates the potentials and limitations of a Mendelian randomization approach. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 14: 1967-1971, 2005.
266. Hu Y, McDermott MP and Ahrendt SA: The p53 codon 72 proline allele is associated with p53 gene mutations in non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 11: 2502-2509, 2005.
267. Yi SY and Lee WJ: A p53 genetic polymorphism of gastric cancer: difference between early gastric cancer and advanced gastric cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 12: 6536-6539, 2006.
268. Han J, Cox DG, Colditz GA and Hunter DJ: The p53 codon 72 polymorphism, sunburns, and risk of skin cancer in US Caucasian women. *Mol Carcinog* 45: 694-700, 2006.
269. Scherubl H, von Lampe B, Faiss S, *et al*: Screening for oesophageal neoplasia in patients with head and neck cancer. *Br J Cancer* 86: 239-243, 2002.
270. Hashimoto CL, Iriya K, Baba ER, *et al*: Lugol's dye spray chromoendoscopy establishes early diagnosis of esophageal cancer in patients with primary head and neck cancer. *Am J Gastroenterol* 100: 275-282, 2005.
271. Hall IP and Blakey JD: Genetic association studies in Thorax. *Thorax* 60: 357-359, 2005.
272. Gauderman WJ: Sample size requirements for matched case-control studies of gene-environment interaction. *Stat Med* 21: 35-50, 2002.
273. Purcell S, Cherny SS and Sham PC: Genetic power calculator: design of linkage and association genetic mapping studies of complex traits. *Bioinformatics* 19: 149-150, 2003.
274. Hoover RN: Cancer-nature, nurture, or both. *N Engl J Med* 343: 135-136, 2000.