Inhibition of miR-96 enhances the sensitivity of colorectal cancer cells to oxaliplatin by targeting TPM1
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Abstract. Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the major threats to human health worldwide. In the treatment of CRC, chemoresistance affects the efficacy of platinum-based therapies. Oxaliplatin is one of the most commonly used first-line medications for the treatment of CRC; however, chemoresistance is common among patients receiving oxaliplatin treatment, which significantly decreases its therapeutic efficacy. The present study focused on the roles of microRNA (miR)-96 in the oxaliplatin resistance of CRC cells and the underlying mechanisms. First, the expression of miR-96 was compared between CRC and adjacent tissues. Furthermore, target genes of miR-96 were predicted, and a dual-luciferase reporter assay was employed to confirm whether the candidate tropomyosin 1 (TPM1) is a direct target of miR-96. In addition, CRC cells were transfected with miR-96 inhibitor, miR-negative control, small interfering RNA (siRNA) targeting TPM1 or siRNA NC, and then treated with oxaliplatin. CCK-8 assay and flow cytometry were performed to examine the proliferation and apoptosis of the CRC cell line SW480. Next, reverse transcription-quantitative PCR and western blot analysis were performed to determine the mRNA and/or protein levels of miR-96, Bcl-2, BAX and TPM1. The results indicated that miR-96 was upregulated in CRC compared with normal adjacent tissues, while TPM1 was downregulated. The luciferase activity was reduced following transfection with miR-96 mimics and luciferase reporter plasmid containing the wild-type sequence of the 3'-untranslated region of TPM1. Furthermore, knockdown of miR-96 combined with oxaliplatin reduced the viability and induced apoptosis of CRC cells, which was further verified by decreased expression of Bcl-2 and the increased expression of TPM1 and BAX. Taken together, the downregulation of miR-96 enhanced the sensitivity of CRC cells to oxaliplatin.

Introduction

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common malignancies worldwide (1,2). The routine therapeutic strategy for CRC is surgery combined with platinum-based treatment (3,4). Oxaliplatin, as a platinum-based drug, inhibits the progression of CRC by binding to and cross-linking the DNA of cancer cells (3). Although advances have been made in the treatment of CRC, the overall survival rate of CRC patients remains unsatisfactory due to chemoresistance and recurrence (5,6). A previous study indicated that chemoresistance emerges in over 20% of all CRC patients within 6 months of therapy (7). Therefore, exploration of novel therapies to enhance the chemosensitivity of CRC cells to oxaliplatin is imperative.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs/miRs) are a class of non-coding RNAs. Increasing evidence demonstrated that miRNAs are involved in various physiological and pathological processes, including cell proliferation, metastasis, invasion and apoptosis, and dysregulation of certain miRNAs is associated with multiple types of cancer by acting as either oncogenic or tumor-suppressive agents (8-12). miR-96 plays a vital role in the progression of various cancer types (13,14). Studies indicated that miR-96 has a role as an oncogene or tumor suppressor, depending on the type of cancer (13‑16). In CRC, miR-96 demonstrated to act as an oncogene and increase cell proliferation (17,18). However, the potential function of miR-96 in regulating the sensitivity of CRC to oxaliplatin and the associated mechanisms remain to be elucidated.

In the present study, the possible role of miR-96 in regulating the resistance of CRC cells to oxaliplatin was investigated. The proliferation and apoptotic rates of CRC cells treated with oxaliplatin and miR-96 inhibitor was determined. Subsequently, the expression of proliferation- and apoptosis-associated genes was examined after treatment with oxaliplatin and miR-96 inhibitor. The results indicated that knockdown of miR-96 enhances the sensitivity of CRC cells to oxaliplatin.

Materials and methods

Tissue samples. A total of 40 CRC tissues and 40 adjacent tumor tissues were obtained from 40 patients (25 males and 15 females; age range 48-74 years) diagnosed with CRC at the First People's Hospital of Lianyungang (China) between Jan 2016 and Oct 2017. The tissue samples were confirmed by pathological examination and immediately stored in liquid
nitrogen after surgery. Tumor size was recorded as the diameter of the tumor; metastasis was confirmed as positive when tumor cells were found on the nearby lymph nodes and the degree of differentiation of the tumor was determined by histological examination. All patients provided written informed consent. The present study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the First People's Hospital of Lianyungang (China).

Cell culture and transfection. The CRC cell line SW480 was purchased from the American Type Culture Collection. Cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) containing 10% FBS (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) at 35°C in an atmosphere with 5% CO₂. miR-96 inhibitor, miR-negative control (miR-NC), small interfering RNA (siRNA) targeting tropomyosin 1 (TPM1) and siRNA NC were designed and provided by Guangzhou RiboBio Co., Ltd. The cells were transfected with miR-96 inhibitor, miR-NC, miR-96 mimics, siRNA TPM1 and siRNA NC using Lipofectamine³⁰⁰ (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) for 48 h. A total of 20 µmol/l oxaliplatin (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA) was used to investigate oxaliplatin sensitivity. The sequences of the miRNAs and siRNAs used were: miR-96 inhibitor, 5'-GCAAAAGUGUUCAGUUGCCAAA-3'; miR-NC, 5'-CAGUACUUUUGUUGAAGAACA-3'; miR-96 mimic, 5'-UUUGGCAUCAGCAAUUUUGUC-3'; siRNA TPM1, 5'-CCCGTAAGCTGCTCATCAT-3' and siRNA NC, 5'-CCCAACGGTGTAGCTGCT-3'.

CCK-8 assay. Cells were seeded into a 96-well plate at a density of 2x10⁴ cells/well. At 0, 8, 16 and 44 h, the cells were stained with 20 µl CCK-8 solution (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) for 4 h according to the manufacturer's instructions. Subsequently, the absorbance rate of the cells at a wavelength of 450 nm was examined with a spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.).

Flow cytometry. Cells were trypsinized and collected by centrifugation at room temperature at 1,000 x g for 5 min. The cells were then washed with pre-cooled PBS and resuspended in binding buffer (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) in the centrifuge tubes. Subsequently, the cells were stained with Annexin-V FITC and propidium iodide (BD Biosciences). Flow cytometry was used to detect apoptosis. The sequences of the Annexin-V and PI primers were used for the qPCR: forward, 5'-TTTGGCCTAGACATC-3' and reverse, 5'-GAGCAGGCTGGAAGA-3'; U6 forward, 5'-ATTGGAACGATACTAGAAGAAG-3' and reverse, 5'-GGACGCTTCAGAATT-3'; TPM1 forward, 5'-CTCTCAACGATATGACTCTCCA-3' and reverse, 5'-TTTTTTATGCTTACAGCTCTT-3'; miR inhibitor, 5'-TGGATGTGGTTGGGTC-3' and reverse, 5'-TGCAATTGTTTGGGGACAG-3'; BAX forward, 5'-TCCACCAAGAAGCTGACGAG-3' and reverse, 5'-GTCACCCCATGATGTTCT-3'; GAPDH forward, 5'-CGAGCACATCGCTCAGACA-3' and reverse, 5'-GTGGTGAAGACCGCAGTGGGA-3'.

Western blotting. Cells or tissues were lysed and total protein was extracted using RIPA buffer (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA). Protein concentration was measured with a bicinchoninic acid kit (Pierce; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). Subsequently, 30 µg of protein/lane was separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and transferred onto PVDF membranes. The membranes were blocked with 5% non-fat milk for 1 h at 37°C under exclusion of direct light. Subsequently, the membranes were incubated with the following primary antibodies (all purchased from Abcam) overnight at 4°C: Anti-Bcl-2 (cat. no. ab59348; 1:1,000), anti-BAX (cat. no. ab32503; 1:1,000), anti-TPM1 (cat. no. ab55915; 1:1,000) and anti-GAPDH (cat. no. ab9485; 1:2,000). The membranes were then incubated with horseradish peroxidase-labeled secondary antibodies (cat. no. ab6721; 1:5,000; Abcam) at 37°C for 1 h. Protein signal was visualized with an ECL detection system (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and the density of the protein bands was analyzed using a chemiluminescence substrate (Sigma-Aldrich; Merck KGaA). GAPDH was used as an internal control. Densitometric analysis was performed using Image J (version 2.1.4; National Institutes of Health).

Dual-luciferase reporter assay. The online database TargetScan (http://www.targetscan.org/vert_71/) predicted TPM1 as a target gene of miR-96. The wild-type or mutant sequence from the 3'-untranslated region (3'-UTR) of TPM1 containing the binding site for miR-96 was cloned into the luciferase gene reporter vector pMIR (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.). The reporter plasmids were then co-transfected with miR-96 mimics or miR-NC into SW480 cells with Lipofectamine³⁰⁰ (Invitrogen; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) containing 10% FBS (Gibco; Thermo Fisher Scientific, Inc.) for 48 h. A dual-luciferase reporter assay kit (Beyotime Institute of Biotechnology) was used to detect luciferase activity on a GloMax Explorer Multimode microplate reader (Promega Corp.). Luciferase activity was normalized to Renilla luciferase activity.

Statistical analysis. SPSS 18.0 (SPSS, Inc.) was used to analyze the data. Values are expressed as the mean ± SD. Pairwise Student's t-test was performed to compare the expression of miR-96 and TPM1 in CRC tissues and adjacent normal tissues. One-way ANOVA with Tukey's post hoc test or two-way ANOVA with Sidak's multiple comparisons test was applied to analyze differences among multiple groups. The χ² test was used for the analysis of clinical information presented in Table I. Pearson's correlation coefficient was used
for correlation analysis. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

miR-96 is upregulated in CRC tissues. RT-qPCR was performed to determine the expression of miR-96 in CRC tissues and normal tissues. The results indicated that the expression level of miR-96 in CRC tissues was significantly higher compared with adjacent tissues (Fig. 1). Moreover, increased expression of miR-96 was positively associated with the tumor size and metastasis (Table I).

TPM1 is a direct target of miR-96. The online database TargetScan predicted that miR-96 directly binds to the 3'-UTR of TPM1 (Fig. 2A). The expression level of TPM1 in CRC tissues was significantly lower compared with adjacent tissues (Fig. 2B), and the expression of miR-96 and TPM1 was negatively correlated (r=-0.4459; P=0.0039; Fig. 2C). To further confirm the targeting interaction, a dual-luciferase reporter assay was performed. The results demonstrated that the relative luciferase activity of CRC cells transfected with miR-96 mimics and a luciferase reporter vector containing the wild-type sequence of the 3'-UTR of TPM1 was significantly decreased compared with the NC group, while there were no significant differences between miR-96 mimic- and NC-transfected cells in the mutant group (Fig. 2D).

Downregulation of miR-96 increases the sensitivity of CRC cells to oxaliplatin via targeting TPM1. Cells were transfected with miR-96 inhibitor and a CCK-8 assay and flow cytometry were performed to determine the cell viability and apoptosis of CRC cells following different treatments. As presented in Fig. 3, the expression of miR-96 in CRC tissues was significantly higher compared with adjacent normal tissues. **P<0.01. miR-96, microRNA-96; CRC, colorectal cancer.

---

Table I. Clinical information of patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>High (relative expression of miR-96 in CRC tissue &gt;1; n=25)</th>
<th>Low (relative expression of miR-96 in CRC tissue &lt;1; n=15)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.4638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.8003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (cm)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0258a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-moderate</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.4119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.0222a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aP<0.05. miR-96, microRNA-96; CRC, colorectal cancer.*
inhibited the viability of SW480 cells compared with the blank control group, and the cell viability was markedly decreased in the oxaliplatin + miR-96 inhibitor group compared with the oxaliplatin group (Fig. 4A). In addition, the apoptotic rate of the SW480 cells in the oxaliplatin group was significantly higher compared with the control group, and the apoptotic rate in the oxaliplatin + miR-96 inhibitor group significantly increased compared with the oxaliplatin group (Fig. 4B). Transfection of siRNA TPM1 in oxaliplatin + miR-96 inhibitor-treated cells significantly decreased cell viability and increased cell apoptosis compared with the oxaliplatin + miR-96 inhibitor group (Fig. 4).

**Inhibition of miR-96 decreases the expression of Bcl-2 and BAX, and increases expression of TPM1.** As presented in Fig. 5, oxaliplatin significantly decreased the mRNA and protein expression of Bcl-2, and increased the expression of TPM1 and BAX compared with the control group. Changes in protein expression were more apparent following treatment with oxaliplatin + miR-96 inhibitor and were attenuated in cells co-transfected with siRNA TPM1.

**Discussion**

Although advances have been made in the treatment of CRC in recent years (20), multidrug resistance remains the major obstacle of chemotherapy (3). Aberrant expression of certain miRNAs has crucial roles in the development of chemoresistance (21). The tumor suppressor gene miR-410 is downregulated in lung cancer tissues and induced apoptosis in lung cancer cells (22). miR-25 is downregulated in melanoma
cells and promoted the progression of melanoma (23). In the present study, the potential roles of miR‑96 in CRC were investigated. The results indicated that miR‑96 was upregulated in CRC tissues. Previous studies also showed that the expression of miR‑96 is increased in CRC tissues and that miR‑96 enhanced the viability of CRC cells (17,18). Therefore, it was hypothesized that knockdown of miR‑96 may reverse the tumorigenic behavior of CRC cells. Considering the potential of miRNA in the chemotherapy of cancers, the present study focused on the effects of downregulation of miR‑96 on the sensitivity of CRC cells to oxaliplatin.

Oxaliplatin, as a first-line anticancer agent, is a preferential therapeutic for CRC (3). In the present study, oxaliplatin suppressed the viability and promoted the apoptosis of CRC cells. Of note, miR‑96 was reported to be associated with the sensitivity of cancer cells to oxaliplatin (24); however, whether miR‑96 affects the chemosensitivity of CRC cells remains to be elucidated. The present study indicated that miR‑96 inhibitor + oxaliplatin was more potent in inhibiting cell viability and increasing cell apoptosis of CRC cells compared with oxaliplatin alone, which demonstrated that knockdown of miR‑96 enhanced the sensitivity of CRC cells to oxaliplatin. However, the underlying molecular mechanisms remained elusive.

Previous findings demonstrated that miRNAs regulate the expression of genes by targeting the 3'‑UTR of their target genes (9). TPM1, predicted as a target gene of miR‑96 with the online database TargetScan 7.1, has a crucial role in mediating cell proliferation and differentiation (25). Furthermore, TPM1 is downregulated in CRC, suggesting that TPM1 may act as a tumor suppressor in CRC (25). Of note, knockdown of TPM1 in miR‑96 inhibitor-treated CRC cells regulated the behavior of CRC cells, including proliferation and apoptosis. Treatment with oxaliplatin + miR‑96 inhibitor reduced the proliferation and promoted apoptosis of CRC cells, while this effect was inhibited by silencing of TPM1. Therefore, it may be inferred that TPM1 has positive effects on the chemosensitivity of CRC cells.

To further investigate the underlying molecular mechanisms, the expression of proliferation- and apoptosis-associated...
factors, BAX and Bcl-2 was then examined. The results indicated that compared with oxaliplatin alone, treatment with oxaliplatin + miR-96 inhibitor was more potent in downregulating the expression of Bcl-2 and upregulating TPM1 and Bax in CRC cells, while this effect was inhibited by co-transfection of siRNA TPM1. These results suggested that suppression of miR-96 enhanced the chemosensitivity of CRC cells, which was negatively affected by siRNA TPM1.

As a limitation, the present study was limited to in vitro experimentation using cell lines. miRNAs may have various targets, or a target may be regulated by various upstream genes, which is to be further investigated in the future. Moreover, the current study only included one cell line. The roles of miR-96 should also be investigated in other CRC cells in future work.

In conclusion, the present study indicated that miR-96 was upregulated in CRC. Knockdown of miR-96 enhanced the chemosensitivity of CRC cells to oxaliplatin via targeting TPM1. These results may lay a foundation for further studies for the potential clinical application of miR-96 as a therapeutic for the treatment of CRC.
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